MissionSite top unreached locations

Valley Head **McDonald Chape** TUSCALOOSA, AL Ashford Triana Evergreen Wyrtlewood Loxley Lineville Headland n Springeregational Dynamic County Line Selma Owens Cro REGION: North Central Region CONGREGATIONAL DYNAMIC Fairview Pell City Union Grove ASSOCIATION: Tuscaloosa County Blountsville Ham Pisgah Gordo Edwardsville Avon Orrville BrooksidDISTRICT: 09: West Central District Hobson City Flo In partnership with the Hill Brighton Emelle Langston SmcCOUNTY: Tuscaloosa shland Altoona Vestavia Hi Intercultural Institute Baileyton Spanish FSITESCAPE: Cityscape arbon Hill Waterloo Phenix Intercultural Institute Billingsley FairhopeDENSITY PATTERN: Kown Jacksonville Birmingh Ragla for Contextual Ministrynion Blue Orange Beach Kansas Rehobeth

h@Copyright 2031; Intercultural/Institute for Contextual Ministry Abbeville Stevenson Forkland Roanoke Millport

CENSUS TRACT: 01125011200 Springs Car Benton Franklin Alabama Baptist Convention La Fayette Mill Hodges Addison State Board of Missionsiton Thorsby Cu

MissionSite (TM) Table of Contents


| 1 | Site Location Summary | 3 |
|----|---|----|
| 2 | Spirituality Indicators | 11 |
| 3 | Current Demographic Summary | 15 |
| 4 | Projected Demographic Summary | 20 |
| 5 | Ethnographic Summary | 25 |
| 6 | Unreached Households by Lifestyle Segment | 30 |
| 7 | Identifying Focus Groups in this Location | 35 |
| 8 | Potential Cultural Bridges | 36 |
| 9 | Potential Cultural Barriers | 42 |
| 10 | Potential Cultural Themes | 45 |
| 11 | Potential Shared Places | 47 |
| 12 | Potential Shared Projects | 49 |
| 13 | Communication Media Content | 51 |
| 14 | Communication Media Usage | 55 |
| 15 | Using the Bridges, Barriers, Themes, etc. | 59 |
| 16 | Biblical Missional Multiplication | 61 |
| 17 | Establishing Redemption Relationships | 62 |
| 18 | Your MissionSite and the Missional Suite | 63 |
| 19 | Notes and Sources | 65 |
| 20 | Appendix: ALSBOM Churches by Distance | 66 |

Fulton Locust Fork Sipsey Notasulga Prichard Moores Mill Newville Vestavia Hills Crossville Silas Saks Carolina Cherokee Cottonwood Emelle New Hope Samson aver Midland City Leighton Chatom Pollard Hartselle Ragland Hoove for Contextual Ministry Concord Helena Troy Faunsdale Thomasville Bailey 201 Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

Site Location Summary

The site location of this MissionSite (TM) report is based upon the SITESCAPE typology developed by the staff of IICM. The SITESCAPE is an analysis of the 0-0.5 mile, 0.5-1.5 mile, and 1.5-3 mile bands around each of the 65,399 US census tract centroids (or geographical centers). Each census tract has been assigned a large number of variables based upon this analysis. These variables include information regarding the spirituality of this particular location. This report reflects a "Top Unreached Location" meaning that this site has a significant number of households that have not been reached by the gospel of Jesus Christ. Sitescape definitions may be found online at the MissionalCyclopedia.org site.


| | Location Typography | CODE | LOCATION |
|---|---------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | Region | 0102 | North Central Region |
| 2 | Association | 1890 | Tuscaloosa |
| 3 | District | 09 | West Central District |
| 4 | County Location | 01125 | Tuscaloosa |
| 5 | Zipcode | 35401 | Tuscaloosa |
| 6 | Sitescape Category | 4 | Cityscape |
| 7 | Sitescape Group | 4.1 | Small Cities |
| 8 | Sitescape Subgroup | 4.1 | Small cities near remote area |
| 9 | Sitescape Density Pattern | K | 100000-100000-50000 |



ony New Site Marion Henagar Alexandria Red Bay Brent Coaling Brookside Roanoke Warrior Moint Spanish Fort Blountsville Theodore Dozier Monroeville Waldo Sylver Silbertown Brewton Coost Section Elberta Sand Rock Decatur Wilsonville Westover Sylvan Contextual Institute Springville Coopyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

IVIOS

Site Location Summary - Map of the Site Location



Clenwood Grimes Millry Rutledge Saraland Grove Hill Gordon Hanceville Vance Rehobeth Akron Arab Bayou La Batre Monroeville Cedar Bluff Roanoke Sylvan Springs <u>Intercultural Institute</u> re Ladonia Petrey Hueytown Kinston Twin Sardis City Thomaston Gordertal Ministry Contextual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Copyright 2012, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Copyright 2014, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Copyright 2014, Intercultural Ministry Copyright 2017, Intercultural Ministry Copyright 2017, Intercultural Ministry Copyright 2

Site Location Summary - Urbanicity

One key issue in site location is the degree of urbanicity - how rural or how urban is this setting? A number of government agencies have tackled this question in order to better compare various types of communities in the United States. The chart below provides a summary of how various government agencies have defined this particular location. IICM took the Rural Urban Commuting Areas (RUCA) from the US Department of Agriculture and created an index value based on the most rural value being a "0" and the most urban value being a "100". You will also see their ERS RUCA Commuting Value. The percent of households that commute from a non-metro to a metro area is also given.

| | RURAL / URBAN | CODE | EXPLANATION |
|---|----------------------------|------|--|
| 1 | Metro or Non-Metro | 1 | Metro |
| 2 | Urban Influence | 2 | Small-in a metro area with fewer than 1 million residents |
| 3 | Rural / Urban Continuum | 3 | County in metro area of fewer than 250,000 population |
| 4 | NCHS Rural Urban Codes | 4 | Small Metro - counties in a metropolitan statistical area of 50,000 to 249,999 |
| | | | population |
| 5 | NCES Urban Centric Locale | 13 | City: Small: Territory inside an urbanized area and inside a principal city with |
| | Codes | | population less than 100,000. |
| 6 | IICM RUCA Values Index | 100 | Metropolitan core commuting: No additional code |
| 7 | ERS RUCA Commuting | 1 | Metropolitan area core: primary flow within an urbanized area |
| | Value | | |
| 8 | Percent Commuting to Metro | 0 | Percent commuting from non metro to metro areas |

S Notasulga Midway Aubum Hokes Bluff Blue Ridge Lake View Thomaston Leighten Hytep Wadley Graysville Level Plains Franklin Baileyton Goldville Dadeville Selver Intercultural Institute dmont Huguley Boaz Kansas Valley Grande Center Point Stevenson Confectual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

Site Location Summary - Band Composition

Below is a summary of the composition of the three bands around the center of the census tract. Values for the total population, and various types of group quarters in each band are provided below. In addition to current population estimates, each band has been compared to all of the other census tract bands around the country. Population density, language diversity, foreign born diversity, ancestry diversity and racial (broad census categories) diversity have all been indexed across the country with a "0" value representing the least value and 100 representing the most in each category. For an explanation of these indices please see the Sitescape article at MissionalCyclopedia.org.

| BAND COMPOSITION | 0-0.5 MILES | 0.5-1.5 MILES | 1.5-3 MILES |
|--------------------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| 2010 Population | 1,314 | 20,280 | 42,193 |
| 2010 Households | 228 | 7,978 | 17,868 |
| 2010 Group Quarters Population | 876 | 6,094 | 2,330 |

| BAND COMPOSITION | 0-3 MILES | 3-7 MILES | 7-10 MILES |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Population Density National Index | 52 | 38 | 21 |
| Language Diversity National Index | 29 | 19 | 13 |
| Foreign Born Diversity National Index | 64 | 62 | 53 |
| Ancestry Diversity National Index | 28 | 22 | 48 |
| Racial Diversity National Index | 66 | 59 | 28 |

Gand Blountsville Madrid Oakman Coffeeville Mignon Mulga Kinsey Elba Hayden Birmingham Doub Cadsden Slocomb Coker Dauphin Island Auburn Alexander City Atmos Intercultural Institute Iabaster Clio Kinston Eclectic Underwood-Petersville Fort Deposit Certex and Ministry Contextual Ministry © Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

Site Location Summary - County Environment

In 2004, the US Department of Agriculture created a county typology that categorizes all of the 3140 US counties into 6 economic-dependency types and 7 other county-types. Although the specific bands of this report may differ from the dominant characteristics of the county, the county environment is useful to better understand the site location context. Further information about these categories may be found at the MissionalCyclopedia.org website.

| COUNTY ENVIRONMENT | CODE | INDICATORS |
|-------------------------------|------|------------|
| Housing Stress County | 1 | True |
| Low-education County | 0 | False |
| Low-employment County | 0 | False |
| Persistent Poverty County | 0 | False |
| Population Loss County | 0 | False |
| Non-metro Recreation County | 0 | False |
| Retirement Destination County | 0 | False |

| ECONOMIC DEPENDENCY | CODE | INDICATORS |
|---|------|------------|
| Farm-dependent county indicator | 0 | False |
| Mining-dependent county indicator | 0 | False |
| Manufacturing-dependent county indicator | 0 | False |
| Federal/State government-dependent county indicator | 0 | False |
| Services-dependent county indicator | 0 | False |
| Nonspecialized-dependent county indicator | 1 | True |

Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Heath Columbia

Site Location Summary - Social Environment

The MOSAIC USA lifestyle segmentation system was created by Experian, a global company based in the UK. This segmentation system has the US population subdivided into 60 lifestyle segments and 12 social groups. IICM has built upon this by creating a typology of six social environments of which each segment and social group is a part. The chart below gives the number of households that are in the 0-0.5 mile band that are in each of the six social environment. The households in each environment share a common situation that produces shared cultural practices, values and spiritual issues. For an explanation of these community cultures, please consult the corresponding articles at MissionalCyclopedia.org.

| SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT | 0-0.5 MI BAND | HHLDS | PERCENT |
|----------------------|--|-------|---------|
| Upscale Communities | Affluent, White-collar Families | 0 | 0% |
| Mainstay Communities | Established, Diverse Households | 1 | 0.44% |
| Working Communities | Blue-collar, Working Families | 0 | 0% |
| Country Communities | Rural, Agri. & Mining Families | 0 | 0% |
| Aspiring Communities | Young Singles / Aspiring-Multihousing | 66 | 28.95% |
| Urban Communities | High Density, Inner-city Neighborhoods | 161 | 70.61% |

West Jefferson Kimberly Excel Fort Rucker Hueytown Ardmore Walnut Grove Russellville Taylor With seemb Wadley Franklin Odenville Detroit Mountainboro Montgomery sville Sanford Frisco City Andalusia East Brewton Lake Purdy Oxford for Contextual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

Using the Site Location Summary

The site location summary has been designed to aid APEPT missional response teams, church planters and church planting sponsors in determining potential sites for starting new ministries and new congregations and choosing appropriate personnel for the location. Below is a list of several issues that need to be considered in choosing a potential site location.

Issues for Your Consideration

- 1. The Sitescape category, group and density pattern listed on page 3 identifies this location within a continuum that reaches from country to town to suburb to city. Ideally, church planters and missional team members should have already acculturated to this type of location. It may be difficult for a "City Person" to minister effectively in a "country" location and vice versa.
- 2. The urbanicity designations on page 5 may have implications for ministry development. The extent of commuting within a metro area may need to be considered in choosing a potential building site or in developing evening events or ministries. The percent of the population that commute from a non-metro to metro area may also need to be taken into consideration.



Using the Site Location Summary

Issues for Your Consideration - continued

- 3. Band composition and the comparison of population between the bands on page 6 may have implications regarding types of anticipated ministry development from "a neighborhood" congregation to a more "regional" approach. The numbers and types of group quarters (usually some form of multi-family housing) may suggest the need for multi-housing ministry. The density and diversity indices compare this site to other site locations across the country. Values over 50 in each of these indicates a higher level of diversity or density than most other locations.
- 4. Each US location exists in a county or county-equivalent, as shown on page 7. This overall context may affect the environment of this site location. The type of economic dependence of a county may shape the background of a church planter that will be needed for this particular site.
- 5. The dominant social environments in this particular site noted on page 8 create specific cultural practices and values that will need to be taken into consideration when starting a new ministry or new congregation. Please read the summary articles at MissionalCyclopedia.org for the top 2 largest social environments. What implications do you see based on the dominant social environments in this location?

Shorter Sweet Water St. Florian Wilton Cedar Bluff Enterprise Kansas Hammondville Odenville Lake Lake Purdy Coker Vernon Opelika Black Point Clear Needham Province Intercultural Institute West Jefferson Arley Oakman Rockford Grove Hill Emelle Good for Contextual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

Spirituality Indicators Overview - Evangelscape

The Evangelscape is an IICM dataset based on a national study conducted by the Simmons Market Research Bureau as indexed to the MOSAIC lifestyle segmentation system. The dataset includes the percent of households for each MOSAIC lifestyle segment that are considered "unreached," "religious but not evangelical," "spiritual but not religious or evangelical," and "not evangelical and not interested in religion or spirituality." For an explanation of these terms see the Evangelscape article at MissionalCyclopedia.org.

The chart below compares the count and percent of households in the county with the count and percent of households in the 0-0.5 mile band that are in each category. The index value assumes that if the county percent and the 0-0.5 mile band percent were both the same, the index would be 100. Thus values lower than 100 reflect categories where the percentage in the 0-0.5 mile band are lower than the county average. The values that are higher than 100 reflect categories where the percentage is higher for the 0-0.5 mile band. A value of 200 would be twice the value of 100 (which would be a 100% increase over the county average).

| EVANGELSCAPE: SPIRITUALITY | COUNTY | 0-0.5 MILE BAND | % INDEX |
|-------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|---------|
| Unreached Households | 50,724 | 178 | 0.35% |
| Unreached % | 68.79% | 78.28% | 113.79 |
| Religious But NOT Evangelical HH | 14,666 | 60 | 0.41% |
| Religious But NOT Evangelical % | 19.89% | 26.14% | 131.44 |
| Spiritual But NOT Relig or Evang HH | 7,233 | 25 | 0.35% |
| Spiritual But NOT Relig or Evang % | 9.81% | 11.11% | 113.27 |
| Not Evangelical, Not Interested HH | 29,189 | 94 | 0.32% |
| Not Evangelical, Not Interested % | 39.59% | 41.02% | 103.62 |





Spirituality Indicators - Churchscape

IICM's Churchscape indicates the number of ALSBOM within 3 miles of the site location. It also compares the percentage of active and inactive evangelicals in the county to those in this site location. The index value provides a snapshot of how this site location compares to the county levels. The number of new churches needed at this site location is based upon the need to have one church for every 2000 households (or roughly every 4-5,000 people).

| ECCLESCAPE: CHURCHES | COUNTY | 3 MILE | PERCENT |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| | | RING | &INDEX |
| Num of ALSBOM Churches | 114 | 20 | 17.54% |
| Active ALSBOM Attenders | 15,996 | 6,294 | 39.35% |
| Active Evangelical Households | 14,427 | 4,554 | 31.57% |
| Active Evangelical Percent | 19.57% | 17.47% | 89.27 |
| Inactive Evangelical Households | 8,583 | 2,710 | 31.58% |
| Inactive Evangelical Percent | 11.64% | 10.39% | 89.29 |
| # New Churches Needed | 0 | 0 | 0% |



Riverside Twin <u>Creola</u> Ariton <u>Helena Troy</u> Autaugaville White Hall Sanford Cordova Weaver Vredenk Oneonta Opelika Aliceville Brookside Collinsville Warrior Highland Intercultural Institute Gu-Win Taylor Fort Rucker New Brockton New Market Florence Kell *Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry* Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

Spirituality Indicators - 30 Closest ALSBOM Churches

The table below lists the 30 closest ALSBOM churches to this site location. You will also find the distance from the site, their average worship attendance over the last five years, and their growth rate. The growth rate is based upon the growth rate of the church's resident membership, Sunday School average and AM Worship attendance. You will want to visit the closest churches as part of your site location review as you prepare to begin a new ministry or congregation. You may also want to discuss the type of people that each church is reaching with the closest churches.

| | CHURCHES | DIST. | WRSHP AVG | IICM CGR | | CHURCHES | DIST. | WRSHP AVG | IICM CGR |
|----|----------------------------|---------|--------------|-------------|----|----------------------|---------|--------------|-------------|
| 1 | Calvary | 0.84 mi | 1,296 | Plateauing | 16 | Ridgecrest | 2.37 mi | 303 | Plateauing |
| 2 | The Lighthouse | 0.97 mi | 100 | Declining | 17 | New Eastern Hills | 2.49 mi | 49 | Plateauing |
| 3 | Open Door | 1.21 mi | 299 | Declining | 18 | Circlewood | 2.66 mi | 542 | Plateauing |
| 4 | Forest Lake | 1.34 mi | 216 | Plateauing | 19 | Northwood Hills | 2.68 mi | 128 | Plateauing |
| 5 | Tuscaloosa First | 1.55 mi | 1,682 | Plateauing | 20 | South Highlands | 2.92 mi | 146 | Declining |
| 6 | FBC Spanish Mission | 1.57 mi | 8 | Growing | 21 | Northridge | 3.12 mi | 233 | Declining |
| 7 | FBC Chinese Mission | 1.57 mi | 40 | Growing | 22 | Hopewell | 3.17 mi | 144 | Plateauing |
| 8 | Pilgrim Rest | 1.81 mi | 105 | Growing | 23 | Philippine Globe | 3.17 mi | 15 | Growing |
| 9 | North River Church | 1.87 mi | 160 | Growing | 24 | Holt | 3.25 mi | 188 | Plateauing |
| 10 | Alberta Spanish Mission | 1.91 mi | 39 | Growing | 25 | Emmanuel | 3.38 mi | 166 | Growing |
| 11 | Alberta | 1.92 mi | 255 | Plateauing | 26 | Graceway | 3.50 mi | 75 | Declining |
| 12 | Indian Lake | 2.00 mi | 256 | Growing | 27 | Five Points | 3.70 mi | 444 | Growing |
| 13 | Rosedale | 2.14 mi | 142 | Declining | 28 | Skyland Boulevard | 3.96 mi | 254 | Plateauing |
| 14 | Temple | 2.26 mi | 67 | Declining | 29 | Rose Boulevard | 4.08 mi | 18 | Declining |
| 15 | Northport | 2.37 mi | 461 | Plateauing | 30 | Woodland Park | 4.12 mi | 47 | Growing |

Arab Excel Ridgeville Coffee Springs Riverside Guin Piedmont Hurtsboro Snead Brookwood Le Alexander City Talladega Springs Jemison Clay Midway Slocomb Intercultural Institute Brilliant Elberta Edgewater Argo Minor Daleville Dauphin Island Store Contextual Ministry OCopyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

Using the Spirituality Indicators

Below are some issues related to the spirituality indicators that you may want to consider as you review this site as a potential location for a new ministry or new congregation.

Issues for Your Consideration

- 1. The Evangelscape on page 11 provides an overview of the spiritual topography of this location. While the percent of unreached households is significant, the other percentages may need further clarification as you conduct an on-site review. The percentage of the population who are religious (meaning actively attend some form of institutionalized religion) and spiritual (meaning embracing spirituality but not institutional religion) may require further investigation. Those in the "not evangelical, but not interested in religion or spirituality" category may require an outreach process rooted in incarnational ministry.
- 2. Your team may want to seriously discuss the Churchscape on page 12. The percentage of active evangelicals in this site is a key figure for you to consider both in terms of recruiting additional help, but also in terms of the significance of the need for a new ministry or new congregation. The number of new churches needed is another significant value.
- 3. The list of churches on page 13 only includes ALSBOM churches. You will need to take other chruches into account as well. How your new ministry or new congregation will relate to these churches needs to be decided early in the process.




The population and household figures from IICM's Demoscape report are given below for this site location. Historical figures from the 1990 and 2000 US Census precede the current year estimates for the 0-0.5 mile band. The percentage of the county population and number of households that appears in the 0-0.5 mile band is given for each year. Location types refer to residential, business and seasonal use

| DEMOSCAPE | COUNTY | BAND | % OF CO | | DEMOSCAPE | COUNTY | BAND | % OF CO |
|---|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------|--------------|
| 1990 Population | 150,513 | 2,360 | 1.57% | | 1990 Households | 55,346 | 114 | 0.21% |
| 2000 Population | 164,875 | 1,253 | 0.76% | | 2000 Households | 64,517 | 253 | 0.39% |
| 2010 Population | 186,550 | 1,314 | 0.7% | | 2010 Households | 73,734 | 228 | 0.31% |
| | | | n this Missio | | | Location Ty | ре | 0-0.5mi Band |
| 🔲 0-0.5mi Ban | d 🗌 0.5-1. | 5mi Band | 🔲 1.5-3mi | Band | County | Residential | | 219 |
| 100,000 | | | | | | Residential A | vpt. | 204 |
| 80,000 | | | | | | Residential N | Ion-Apt. | 15 |
| 60,000 | | | | | | Business | | 9 |
| 00,000 | | | | | | Seasonal | | 0 |
| 40,000 | | | | | | USPS Reside | ential | 203 |
| 20,000 0 Residential Residential | ntial Apt. Residential Nor | 1-Apt. Busi | ness Seaso | nal USPS Resider | itial USPS Business | USPS Busine | ess | 11 |

ourtland Level Plains Gurley Gordon Trinity Saks County Line Center Point Eufaula Town Creek North Elberta Cowarts Geraldine Moundville Repton Greenville Hytop Entercultural Institute Glen Allen Hazel Green Horn Hill Irondale Kellyton Castleberry McK for Confectual Ministry Coopyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Saraland Chapel Kansas Gaylesville Myrtlewoo

A current year demographic summary of age categories for the site location appears on the right.

For each category both county and 0-0.5 mile band percentages are given. The Index value assumes that if the county percent and the 0-0.5 mile band percent were both the same, the index would be 100.




| 2010 POP. ESTIMATES | COUNTY | BAND | INDEX |
|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0-3 Years | 5.21% | 0.3% | 5.76 |
| 4-5 Years | 2.54% | 0.15% | 5.91 |
| 6-8 Years | 3.77% | 0.23% | 6.1 |
| 9-11 Years | 3.7% | 0.61% | 16.49 |
| 12-13 Years | 2.42% | 0.46% | 19.01 |
| 14-17 Years | 5.82% | 4.11% | 70.62 |
| 18-19 Years | 3.08% | 8.83% | 286.69 |
| 0-5 Years | 7.75% | 0.46% | 5.94 |
| 6-12 Years | 8.67% | 1.07% | 12.34 |
| 13-19 Years | 10.11% | 13.17% | 130.27 |
| < 20 Years | 26.53% | 14.7% | 55.41 |
| 20-34 Years | 27.01% | 41.78% | 154.68 |
| 35-44 Years | 11.68% | 7.91% | 67.72 |
| 45-64 Years | 23.07% | 18.11% | 78.5 |
| 65-74 Years | 6.33% | 6.85% | 108.21 |
| 75+ Years | 5.37% | 10.65% | 198.32 |
| Median Age | 32 | 33 | 103.35 |
| Median Age (Male) | 31 | 34 | 108.46 |
| Median Age (Female) | 33 | 29 | 87.47 |

Glenwood Vincent Satsuma Littleville Argo Alexander City Goldville Smoke Rise Chatom Webb Boaz Thomaston Enterprise Brookwood Summerdale County Line <u>Intercultural Institute</u> Webb non Pell City Vina Jasper Dauphin Island West Blocton Level Plains Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Concord Moody Lanett McMullen Cherokee Grimes 16

A current year demographic summary of race/ethnicity and education of adults for the site location appears on the right.

For each category both county and 0-0.5 mile band percentages are given. The Index value assumes that if the county percent and the 0-0.5 mile band percent were both the same, the index would be 100.



| 2010 POP. ESTIMATES | COUNTY | BAND | INDEX |
|--------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Race/Ethnicity | | | |
| White, Anglo | 65.73% | 52.97% | 80.59 |
| Black, African-American | 31.16% | 44.29% | 142.14 |
| Native American | 0.24% | 0.15% | 63.81 |
| Asian | 1.26% | 1.52% | 120.98 |
| Pacific Island, Hawaiian | 0.05% | 0% | 0 |
| Other/Multiple Races | 1.57% | 0.99% | 63.01 |
| Hispanic | 0% | 2.44% | 0 |
| | | | |

| Education of Adults (25 yrs+) | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|--------|---------|
| Total Adults over age 25 years. | 115,345 | 684 | |
| Less than 9th Grade | 4.54% | 2.19% | 206.92 |
| No High School Diploma | 10.5% | 11.4% | 92.09 |
| High School Graduate | 32.21% | 27.63% | 116.59 |
| Some College, no degree | 19.89% | 2.05% | 971.55 |
| Associate Degree | 6.08% | 0.58% | 1039.98 |
| College Degree | 16.04% | 35.53% | 45.14 |
| Graduate/Prof. degree | 10.74% | 20.61% | 52.1 |

Waverig Phil Campbell Collinsville Level Plains Hurtsboro Sardis City Ider Banks Centre Babbie Selfville Robertsdale Arab Petrey Carrollton Holt Beaverton Huntsviller Intercultural Institute meonta Good Hope La Fayette Cottonwood Red Bay Napier Field Taylor for Contextual Ministry ©Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry ©Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Bakerbill Harpersville Gulf Shores Childersburg Field

A current year demographic summary of family and non-family households for the site location appears on the right.

For each category both county and 0-0.5 mile band percentages are given. The Index value assumes that if the county percent and the 0-0.5 mile band percent were both the same, the index would be 100. Thus values lower than 100 reflect categories where the percentage in the 0-0.5 mile band are lower than the county average. The values that are higher than 100 reflect categories where the percentage is higher for the 0-0.5 mile band. A value of 200 would be twice the value of 100 (which would be a 100% increase over the county average). A value of 50 would be 50% of the county average.

| 2010 HOUSEHOLD | COUNTY | BAND | INDEX |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| ESTIMATES | | | |
| Household Income | | | |
| < \$10,000 | 13.52% | 50% | 337.92 |
| \$10,000 to \$19,999 | 12.33% | 24.12% | 195.65 |
| \$20,000 to \$29,999 | 10.94% | 10.53% | 96.26 |
| \$30,000 to \$49,999 | 19.11% | 10.09% | 52.79 |
| \$50,000 to \$59,999 | 8.04% | 0% | 0 |
| \$60,000 to \$69,999 | 7.26% | 1.75% | 24.15 |
| \$70,000 to \$79,999 | 6.02% | 0.88% | 14.57 |
| \$80,000 to \$89,999 | 4.75% | 0.88% | 18.48 |
| \$90,000 to \$99,999 | 3.18% | 0.44% | 13.81 |
| \$100,000 to \$124,999 | 7.74% | 0% | 0 |
| \$125,000 to \$149,999 | 2.68% | 0% | 0 |
| \$150,000 to \$199,999 | 2.23% | 0.88% | 39.29 |
| \$200,000 to \$249,999 | 0.57% | 0% | 0 |
| \$250,000 or more | 1.65% | 0% | 0 |
| Median Household | 42,868 | 8,586 | 20.03 |
| Average Household | 58,840 | 15,832 | 26.91 |
| Per Capita Household | 24,569 | 6,014 | 24.48 |
| Family/Non-Family Household | | | |
| Income | | | |
| Median Family Income | 60,197 | 27,949 | 46.43 |
| Average Family Income | 76,172 | 26,780 | 35.16 |
| Median Non-Family Income | 22,208 | 8,495 | 38.25 |
| Average Non-Family Income | 32,094 | 14,326 | 44.64 |

Aliceville Reancke Valley Homewood New Brockton Graysville Haleville Fultondale Toxey Owen Reece City Hoover Castleberry Crossville Elba Pinckard Deatsville Intercultural Institute Springs Cleveland Piedmont Baileyton Onycha Riverview Point Clear Contextual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Minor Tuskegee Dadeville Somerville Petrev Steve

A current year demographic summary of household types, houseing units and households by size and count by person for the site location appears at right.

For each category both county and 0-0.5 mile band percentages are given. The Index value assumes that if the county percent and the 0-0.5 mile band percent were both the same, the index would be 100. Thus values lower than 100 reflect categories where the percentage in the 0-0.5 mile band are lower than the county average. The values that are higher than 100 reflect categories where the percentage is higher for the 0-0.5 mile band. A value of 200 would be twice the value of 100 (which would be a 100% increase over the county average). A value of 50 would be 50% of the county average.

| 2010 HOUSEHOLD | COUNTY | BAND | |
|--------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| ESTIMATES | | | |
| Family Households | | | Index |
| % Family Households | 60.68% | 10.09% | 16.62 |
| Families with Children | 28.56% | 3.95% | 13.82 |
| Families without Children | 32.12% | 6.14% | 19.12 |
| Non-Family Households | | | |
| % Non-Family Households | 39.32% | 89.91% | 228.66 |
| Non-Families with Children | 0.02 | 0 | 0 |
| Non-Families without Children | 39.3 | 89.91 | 228.8 |
| Housing Units | | | Index |
| Total Housing Units | 85,943 | 267 | |
| Vacant percent | 14.21% | 14.98% | 105.46 |
| Owned percent | 53.73% | 1.5% | 2.79% |
| Rented Percent | 32.06% | 83.9% | 261.68 |
| Households by Size | | | Index |
| Avg household size | 2.39 | 1.92 | 80.33 |
| Avg family hh size | 3.15 | 2.65 | 84.13 |
| Avg non-family hh size | 1.23 | 1.84 | 149.59 |
| Households By Count of Persons | | | Percent |
| One | 23,069 | 116 | 0.5% |
| Two | 22,980 | 44 | 0.19% |
| Three or Four | 22,176 | 65 | 0.29% |
| Five+ | 5,509 | 3 | 0.05% |
| FIVE+ | 5,509 | 3 | 0.05% |

McIntosh Wetumpka Section Pine Apple Dadeville Yellow Bluff Pisgah Ashville Grayson Valley Rains Valley Vernon Ohatchee Coffee Springs Louisville Rainbow City Killey Medistock McDonald Chape Babbie Grand Bay Littleville Black Brent Shiloh Moundville Ros for Contextual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry


The population and household figures from IICM's Demoscape report are given below for this site location. Historical figures from the 1990 and 2000 US Census precede the current year estimates and the five year projections for both the county in which this site location exists and also for the 0-0.5 mile ring. The percentage of the county population and number of households that appears in the 0-0.5 mile ring is given for each year. The graph illustrates household change from 1985 to 2030.

| DEMOSCAPE COU | JNTY RING | % OF CO |
|----------------------|-----------|---------|
| 1990 Population 150, | 513 2,360 | 1.57% |
| 2000 Population 164, | 875 1,253 | 0.76% |
| 2010 Population 186, | 550 1,314 | 0.7% |
| 2015 Population 199, | 108 1,401 | 0.7% |

Household Change from 1985 to 2030

0-0.5mi Ring

📃 0-3mi Ring




the Millport Cordonville Sulligent Littleville Gantt Pell City Wedowee Mosses Coosada Smiths Statis Fairhope Goshen Leesburg Albertville Hazel Green Trinity Rehober Intercultural Institute Napier Field Repton Weaver Clayhatchee Wadley Bay Minette Dozier Jor Confectual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

A comparison between the current and PROJECTED demographic summary of age group categories for the site location for five years from the current year appears on the right.

For each category both the current year and the projected percents for the 0-0.5 mile band are given. The Index value assumes that if the current and projected percents were both the same, the index would be 100.

Projected Age Group Percentages




| CURRENT VS. PROJECTED | CURRENT | PLUS 5 YEARS | INDEX |
|-----------------------|---------|--------------|--------|
| 0-3 Years | 0.3% | 0.86% | 286.67 |
| 4-5 Years | 0.15% | 0.36% | 240 |
| 6-8 Years | 0.23% | 0.64% | 278.26 |
| 9-11 Years | 0.61% | 0.86% | 140.98 |
| 12-13 Years | 0.46% | 0.71% | 154.35 |
| 14-17 Years | 4.11% | 5.07% | 123.36 |
| 18-19 Years | 8.83% | 5.92% | 67.04 |
| 0-5 Years | 0.46% | 1.21% | 263.04 |
| 6-12 Years | 1.07% | 1.86% | 173.83 |
| 13-19 Years | 13.17% | 11.35% | 86.18 |
| < 20 Years | 14.7% | 14.42% | 98.1 |
| 20-34 Years | 41.78% | 36.83% | 88.15 |
| 35-44 Years | 7.91% | 8.49% | 107.33 |
| 45-64 Years | 18.11% | 20.2% | 111.54 |
| 65-74 Years | 6.85% | 8.64% | 126.13 |
| 75+ Years | 10.65% | 11.42% | 107.23 |
| Median Age | 32 | 35 | 108.47 |
| Median Age (Male) | 31 | 34 | 109.92 |
| Median Age (Female) | 33 | 34 | 103.43 |

Gulf Shores Clio Bakerhill Double Springs Citronelle Rainsville Orange Beach Beaverton Forestdale Millry Butler Vredenburgh Gordonville Scottsboro Opelika Bear Crew Bailovton Coosada Fairview sh Munford Bayou La Batre Cuba Moundville Blue Ridge Brewton Ce for Confectual Institute for Confectual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

A comparison between the current and PROJECTED demographic summary of race/ethnicity and education categories for the site location for five years from the current year appears on the right.

For each category both the current year and the projected percents for the 0-0.5 mile band are given. The Index value assumes that if the current and projected percents were both the same, the index, would be 100.



| CURRENT VS. PROJECTED | CURRENT | PLUS 5 YRS | INDEX |
|--------------------------|---------|------------|--------|
| Race/Ethnicity | | | |
| White, Anglo | 52.97% | 52.89% | 99.85 |
| Black, African-American | 44.29% | 43.61% | 98.46 |
| Native American | 0.15% | 0.14% | 93.79 |
| Asian | 1.52% | 1.86% | 121.93 |
| Pacific Island, Hawaiian | 0% | 0.07% | 0 |
| Other/Multiple Races | 0.99% | 1.43% | 144.29 |
| Hispanic | 0% | 0% | 0 |
| | | | |

| · · · · · | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Total Adults over age 25 years. | 684 | 867 | |
| Less than 9th Grade | 2.19% | 1.73% | 78.89 |
| No High School Diploma | 11.4% | 9.34% | 81.93 |
| High School Graduate | 27.63% | 28.49% | 103.1 |
| Some College, no degree | 2.05% | 2.31% | 112.7 |
| Associate Degree | 0.58% | 0.69% | 118.34 |
| College Degree | 35.53% | 36.33% | 102.27 |
| Graduate/Prof. degree | 20.61% | 21.11% | 102.39 |
| | | | |

Te Coaling Jasper Killen Deatsville Billingsley Rehobeth Waterloo Valley Grande Level Plains Gordo The Falkville Jacksons' Gap White Hall Taylor Spanish Fort Hazel Greet Intercultural Institute by Loachapoka South Vinemont Prichard Glen Allen Moundville Lockha for Confectual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

A comparison between the current and PROJECTED demographic summary of household income and family / non-family income for the site location appears on the right. For each category both the current year and the projected percents for the 0-0.5 mile band are given The Index value given. assumes that if the current and projected percents were both the same, the index would be 100. Thus values lower than 100 reflect categories where the projected percentage in the 0-0.5 mile band are lower than the current percents. The values that are higher than 100 reflect categories where the projected percentage is higher for the 0-0.5 mile band. A value of 200 would be twice the value of 100 (which would be a 100% increase over the current percent). A value of 50 would be 50% of the current percent.

| CURRENT VS. PROJECTED | CURRENT | PLUS 5 YEARS | INDEX |
|-----------------------------|---------|--------------|--------|
| Household Income | | | |
| < \$10,000 | 50% | 48.67% | 97.35 |
| \$10,000 to \$19,999 | 24.12% | 24.34% | 100.88 |
| \$20,000 to \$29,999 | 10.53% | 10.62% | 100.88 |
| \$30,000 to \$49,999 | 10.09% | 9.73% | 96.5 |
| \$50,000 to \$59,999 | 0% | 0% | 0 |
| \$60,000 to \$69,999 | 1.75% | 2.21% | 126.11 |
| \$70,000 to \$79,999 | 0.88% | 0.88% | 100.88 |
| \$80,000 to \$89,999 | 0.88% | 0.88% | 100.88 |
| \$90,000 to \$99,999 | 0.44% | 0.44% | 100.88 |
| \$100,000 to \$249,999 | 0% | 0% | 0 |
| \$125,000 to \$149,999 | 0% | 0% | 0 |
| \$150,000 to \$199,999 | 0.88% | 1.33% | 151.33 |
| \$200,000 to \$249,999 | 0% | 0.44% | 0 |
| \$250,000 or more | 0% | 0% | 0 |
| Median Household | 8,586 | 8,931 | 104.02 |
| Average Household | 15,832 | 16,886 | 106.66 |
| Per Capita Household | 6,014 | 6,388 | 106.22 |
| | | | |
| Family/Non-Family Household | | | |
| Income | | | |
| Median Family Income | 27,949 | 28,580 | 102.26 |
| Average Family Income | 26,780 | 29,459 | 110 |
| Median Non-Family Income | 8,495 | 7,976 | 93.89 |
| Average Non-Family Income | 14,326 | 15,208 | 106.16 |

ville Cowarts Carolina Brighton Union Springs Minor Rosa Samson Hartselle Calera Pollard Tuscals Red Bay Florala Leighton Spanish Fort Edwardsville Owens Cross Pollard Intercultural Institute larvest Midway Hoover Adamsville Millbrook Toxey Anniston Georgia Confertual Ministry Confertual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Lockhart Kansas Crossville Garden City Killen Ashford

A comparison between the current and PROJECTED demographic summary of family and non-family households, housing units, households by size, and households by count of persons for the site location appears on the right. For each category both the current year and the projected percents for the 0-0.5 mile band are given. The Index value assumes that if the current and Projected percents were both the same, the index would be 100. Thus values lower than 100 reflect categories where the projected percentage in the 0-0.5 mile band are lower than the current percents. The values that are higher than 100 reflect categories where the projected percentage is higher for the 0-0.5 mile band. A value of 200 would be twice the value of 100 (which would be a 100% increase over the current percent). A value of 50 would be 50% of the current percent.

| CURRENT VS. PROJECTED | CURRENT | PLUS 5 YEARS | INDEX |
|----------------------------|---------|--------------|---------|
| Family Households | | | |
| % Family Households | 10.09% | 9.73% | 96.5 |
| Families with Children | 3.95 | 3.54 | 89.68 |
| Families without Children | 6.14 | 5.75 | 93.68 |
| Non-Family Households | | | |
| % Non-Family Households | 89.91% | 90.27% | 100.39 |
| Non-Families with Children | 0 | 0 | 100.39 |
| Non-Families without | 89.91 | 90.27 | 100.39 |
| Children | | | |
| | | | |
| Housing Units | | | |
| Total Housing Units | 267 | 265 | 99.25% |
| Vacant percent | 14.98% | 14.72% | 98.24 |
| Owned percent | 1.5% | 1.51% | 100.75 |
| Rented Percent | 83.9% | 83.77% | 99.86 |
| | | | |
| Households by Size | | | |
| Avg household size | 1.92 | 1.87 | 97.4% |
| Avg family hh size | 2.65 | 2.68 | 101.13% |
| Avg non-family hh size | 1.84 | 1.78 | 96.74% |
| | | | |
| Households By Count of | | | |
| Persons | | | |
| One | 116 | 111 | 95.69% |
| Two | 44 | 45 | 102.27% |
| Three or Four | 65 | 66 | 101.54% |
| Five+ | 3 | 3 | 100% |

ga Eclectic Phil Campbell Mentone Grimes Concord Nectar Gordon Thomaston Colony Locust For Prichard Theodore Morris Brookwood Pine Ridge Memphis Epes in Intercultural Institute Owens Cross Roads Geiger Centreville North Johns Montevallo Berry in Contextual Ministry Westover Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Goodwater Sardis City Petrey Scottsboro Crossvi Contextual Ministry Contextual Ministry Goodwater Sardis City Petrey Scottsboro Crossvi

Ethnographic Summary

The heart of the missional task is reaching specific people groups. Some of the ethnic, language, ancestry, and foreign-born groups in your missional site may need contextual approaches to reach them and multiply disciples among them. Foreign born peoples bring much of their culture from their homelands with them. However, over time they begin to adapt to their new surroundings. Different approaches may be needed for groups at different levels of acculturation. For more information about acculturation please see the MissionalCyclopedia.org.

| BORN IN: | 0-0.5 | 0.5-1.5 | 1.5-3 | BORN | I IN: | I IN: 0-0.5 | I IN: 0-0.5 0.5-1.5 |
|------------------|-------|---------|-------|-----------------|-------|-------------|---------------------|
| | MILES | MILES | MILES | | | MILES | MILES MILES |
| Foreign Born Pop | 10 | 805 | 808 | Eastern Africa | a | a 0 | a 0 0 |
| Northern Europe | 0 | 82 | 21 | Middle Africa | | 0 | 0 0 |
| Western Europe | 10 | 96 | 44 | Northern Africa | | 0 | 0 0 |
| Southern Europe | 0 | 0 | 1 | Southern Africa | | 0 | 0 0 |
| Eastern Europe | 0 | 20 | 36 | Western Africa | | 0 | 0 0 |
| Other Europe | 0 | 0 | 0 | Other Africa | C |) |) 0 |
| Eastern Asia | 0 | 315 | 196 | Oceania | 0 | | 0 |
| So. Central Asia | 0 | 68 | 52 | Caribbean | 0 | | 33 |
| SE Asia | 0 | 28 | 26 | Central Amer. | 0 | | 80 |
| Western Asia | 0 | 69 | 28 | South America | 0 | | 7 |
| Other Asia | 0 | 0 | 0 | North America | 0 | | 7 |
| | | | | Born at sea | 0 | | 0 |



Language Summary

The table below provides the percentage of population over the age of 5 who speak these languages within the three site bands. The data is based upon the 2000 Census SF3 dataset.

| SPOKEN AT HOME | 0-0.5 MILES | 0.5-1.5 MILES | 1.5-3 MILES | SPOKEN AT HOME | 0-0.5 MILES | 0.5-1.5 MILES | 1.5-3 MILE |
|-----------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------|
| English only | 1,844 | 13,531 | 40,676 | Other Indo-Euro | 0 | 35 | 8 |
| Spanish | 48 | 461 | 1,276 | Asian/PI languages | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Indo-Euro | 27 | 306 | 334 | Chinese | 0 | 284 | 116 |
| language | | | | Japanese | 0 | 17 | 64 |
| French (incl. Patois, | 8 | 50 | 132 | Korean | 0 | 0 | 60 |
| Cajun) | | | | Mon-Khmer, | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| French Creole | 0 | 0 | 0 | Cambodian | | | |
| Italian | 0 | 0 | 20 | Miao, Hmong | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Portuguese | 0 | 0 | 0 | Thai | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| German | 0 | 134 | 104 | Laotian | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Yiddish | 0 | 0 | 0 | Vietnamese | 0 | 28 | 7 |
| Other West Germanic | 0 | 0 | 0 | Other Asian | 0 | 22 | 31 |
| A Scandinavian | 0 | 0 | 0 | Tagalog | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Language | | | | Other Pacific Is | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Greek | 0 | 8 | 8 | Other languages | 0 | 46 | 116 |
| Russian | 19 | 0 | 0 | Navajo | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Polish | 0 | 0 | 11 | Other Native N. | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Serbo-Croatian | 0 | 0 | 16 | American | | | |
| Other Slavic Language | 0 | 0 | 12 | Hungarian | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Armenian | 0 | 0 | 0 | Arabic | 0 | 37 | 91 |
| Persian | 0 | 0 | 3 | Hebrew | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Gujarathi | 0 | 0 | 4 | African languages | 0 | 9 | 11 |
| Hindi | 0 | 35 | 16 | Other unspecified | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Urdu | 0 | 27 | 0 | | | | |

Hobson City Heath Robertsdale Coffeeville Magnolia Springs Thorsby Detroit Luverne Cherokee Forestdale Riverside Lynn Skyline Gurley Uniontown Athens Napic Intercultural Institute Opp Saks Altoona Theodore Hazel Green Holt Gilbertown Henaga Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

Ancestry Summary

The table below provides the percentage of population with a historical national heritage within the three site bands. The data is based upon the 2000 Census SF3 dataset.

| ANCESTRY | 0-0.5 | 0.5-1.5 | 1.5-3 | ANCESTRY | ANCESTRY 0-0.5 | ANCESTRY 0-0.5 0.5-1.5 |
|--------------------|-------|---------|--------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| | MILES | MILES | MILES | | MILES | |
| Reporting ancestry | 1,229 | 10,396 | 32,490 | Irish | Irish 127 | Irish 127 1,045 |
| Arab | 0 | 56 | 97 | Italian | Italian 30 | Italian 30 301 |
| Armenian | 0 | 0 | 0 | Lithuanian | Lithuanian 0 | Lithuanian 0 18 |
| Austrian | 0 | 16 | 17 | Norwegian | Norwegian 5 | Norwegian 5 71 |
| British | 7 | 95 | 213 | Polish | Polish 0 | Polish 0 86 |
| Canadian | 0 | 7 | 0 | Portuguese | Portuguese 0 | Portuguese 0 0 |
| Croatian | 0 | 0 | 0 | Romanian | Romanian 0 | Romanian 0 27 |
| Czech | 19 | 19 | 25 | Russian | Russian 0 | Russian 0 21 |
| Czechoslovak | 0 | 37 | 16 | Scandinavian | Scandinavian 0 | Scandinavian 0 0 |
| Danish | 0 | 0 | 11 | Scotch-Irish | Scotch-Irish 44 | Scotch-Irish 44 488 |
| Dutch | 0 | 127 | 205 | Scottish | Scottish 8 | Scottish 8 501 |
| English | 94 | 1,193 | 2,500 | Slovak | Slovak 21 | Slovak 21 12 |
| European | 36 | 320 | 478 | Subsaharan African | Subsaharan African 0 | Subsaharan African 0 125 |
| Finnish | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| French (not | 48 | 270 | 357 | Swedish | Swedish 0 | Swedish 0 48 |
| Basque) | | | | Swiss | Swiss 0 | Swiss 0 0 |
| French Canadian | 0 | 8 | 81 | Ukrainian | Ukrainian 0 | Ukrainian 0 0 |
| German | 77 | 825 | 1,346 | US/American | US/American 120 | US/American 120 1,211 |
| Greek | 0 | 42 | 36 | Welsh | Welsh 0 | Welsh 0 64 |
| Hungarian | 0 | 29 | 0 | West Indian | West Indian 0 | West Indian 0 30 |
| Iranian | 0 | 0 | 31 | Yugoslavian | Yugoslavian 0 | Yugoslavian 0 0 |
| | | | | Other | Other 593 | Other 593 3,303 |

Forkland \tmore Vance Wadley Center Ozark Intercultura Institute **River Falls** Wetumpka lor Contextual Ministry omas27 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Calera

Using the Demographic Indicators

Below are some issues related to the demographic indicators that you may want to consider as you review this site as a potential location for a new ministry or new congregation.

Issues for Your Consideration

- 1. The Demoscape information on page 20 highlights the rate of population change at the site compared to it's county from 1990 to a projected date 5 years from the current year. What changes do you see over time? How significant is this site location as a percentage of the county? Is this a growing, plateauing or declining location?
- 2. The age categories on pages 16 and 21 clearly indicate the site population in various age-graded groups. Which age groups are the largest at this site? What changes are projected in the next 5 years? What implications does this have for small groups and other ministries?
- 3. The racial/ethnic and education categories listed on pages 17 & 22 provide a window into the type of people that need to be reached at the site. What is the dominant racial/ethnic category that needs to be reached? What do the educational levels tell you about the ways in which you need to design your outreach and discipleship? What implications arise from the changes that are projected in the next 5 years?



Using the Demographic Indicators

Issues for Your Consideration - continued

- 4. Socioeconomics has a profound effect on many aspects of ministry and missional design. Pages 18 & 23 highlight income categories at the site compared to county percentages and 5 year projections. What are the dominant economic categories for this location? How does this site compare to the rest of the country? How do family and non-family households compare economically at this site? What implications arise from the changes projected over the next 5 years? What are the implications for missional ministry?
- 5. A summary of family and non-family households, housing units, households by size and households by count of persons for both the site, the county and 5 year projections are listed on pages 19 & 24. What are the primary family types for this location? How does this site compare to the rest of the county? What changes are anticipated over the next 5 years? What are the implications for missional ministry?
- 6. The ethnic summary on pages 25, 26 & 27 provide an overview of the foreign-born population, language diversity and ancestry. From this information which groups of people are most significant at this site and in comparison to the country? How does this impact the development of new ministries and new congregations at this site?

De Good Hope Babbie Abbeville Orange Beach Coffee Springs Aliceville Creola Valley Opelika Tuse East Brewton Frisco City Cherokee Section Opp Vestavia Hills Level Intercultural Institute Coosada County Line Sumiton Powell Athens Carbon Hill Glenwo for Contextual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

In recent years, both George Barna and George Gallup have completed national polls that indicate that approximately 30% to 32% of the US population consider themselves "evangelical." However, their polling process interviews about 1000 statistically sampled people from which they derive this number. Simmons Market Research Bureau, the oldest and most authoritative source for market research in the US today, has conducted a similar poll. However, their methodology interviews approximately 27,000 people across the US. Their survey found that 31.9% of the US population consider themselves to be evangelical. The large sampling size enables IICM to cross reference their findings across the 60 MOSAIC lifestyle segments in the US. From this IICM has created an "Evangelscape dataset" that establishes the extent to which each of the 60 MOSAIC lifestyle segments are unreached in your site location.

This section of your MissionSite report provides one view of the number of households in your area that have not been reached with the gospel. To obtain a full Evangelscape report of your area you will want to purchase a TRIBALDEX report at MissionalContext.org. To create a neighborhood level map of the unreached status of your missional site area you will want to subscribe to the IICM mapping site at MissionalCulturescape.org.



Tusca

Muscle Shoals Waverly Aligood Elberta Shorter Vernon Cuba Priceville Woodland North Courtland Florala Crossville Daviston Mosses Cullman Riverside Theodore Bood for Contextual Institute Ibertown Aubu Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

The table below lists 18 lifestyle segments in three social groups, A-Affluent Suburbia, B-Upscale America, and C-Small Town Success. For the 0-0.5 mile ring, the number of households is listed together with the percent of the band in that segment. The number of unreached households is also listed together with the percent of the total unreached that are in the segment.

| 2010 MOSAIC HHIds | 0-0.5 HH & Percent | | Unreached HH & Percent | |
|------------------------------|--------------------|------|------------------------|------|
| Total | 228 | 100% | 179 | 100% |
| AFFLUENT SUBURBIA | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| America's Wealthiest | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Dream Weavers | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| White Collar Suburbia | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Upscale Suburbia | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Enterprising Couples | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Small Town Success | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| New Suburbia Fam. | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| UPSCALE AMERICA | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Status Conscious Consumers | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Affluent Urban Professionals | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Urban Commuter Fam. | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Solid Suburban Mix | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 2nd Generation Success | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Successful Urban Sprawl | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| SM TWN SUCCESS | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 2nd City Homebodies | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Prime Middle America | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Urban Optimists | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Family Convenience | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Mid-Market Enterprise | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| | | | | |

ston Rogersville Memphis River Falls Arab Helena Fort Payne Gordonville Priceville Dutton Nauve Stocomb Aliceville Leesburg Dothan Dayton Georgiana Billingsley Intercultural Institute Fyffe Ardmore Montevallo Vernon Greensboro Needham Loxley for Contextual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

The table below lists 15 lifestyle segments in three social groups, D-Blue Collar Backbone, E-American Diversity, and F-Metro Fringe. For the 0-0.5 mile ring, the number of households is listed together with the percent of the band in that segment. The number of unreached households is also listed together with the percent of the total unreached that are in the segment.

| 2010 MOSAIC HHIds | 0-0.5 HH & Percent | | Unreached HH & Percent | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-------|------------------------|-------|
| Total | 228 | 100% | 179 | 100% |
| BLUE COLLAR BACKBONE | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Nuevo Hispanic Fam. | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Working Rural Suburbia | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Lower Income Essentials | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Small Town Endeavors | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| AMER. DIVERSITY | 1 | 0.44% | 1 | 0.56% |
| Ethnic Urban Mix | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Urban Blues | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Professional Urbanites | 1 | 0.44% | 1 | 0.56% |
| Urban Advancement | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Amer. Great Outdoors | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Mature America | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| METRO FRINGE | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Steadfast Conservative | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Moderate Conventionalists | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Southern Blues | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Urban Grit | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Grass-Roots Living | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |

Epes Langston Riverview Bakerhill Gaylesville Cusseta Florala Gun Collinsville Smiths Station Fernon Walnut Grove Anniston Beaverton Kellyton Tuscumbia Court in Intercultural Institute Goshen Bessemer Nectar Gordon Ariton Somerville Thorsby Elkn for Confertual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

The table below lists 13 lifestyle segments in three social groups, G-Remote America, H-Aspiring Contemporaries, and I-Rural Villages & Farms. For the 0-0.5 mile ring, the number of households is listed together with the percent of the band in that segment. The number of unreached households is also listed together with the percent of the total unreached that are in the segment.

| 2010 MOSAIC HHIds | 0-0.5 HH & Percent | | Unreached HH & Percent | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|------|------------------------|------|
| Total | 228 | 100% | 179 | 100% |
| REMOTE AMERICA | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Hardy Rural Fam. | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Rural Southern Living | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Coal & Crops | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Native America | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| ASPIRING CONTEMP'S | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Young Cosmopolitans | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Minority Metro Communities | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Stable Careers | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Aspiring Hispania | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| RURAL VILLAGES & FARMS | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Industrious Country Living | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| America's Farmland | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Comfy Country Living | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Small Town Connections | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Hinterland Fam. | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |

Ashland Scottsboro Cordova West Point Elkmont Tuscumbia Kennedy Leeds Holt Ranburne Ethelse Hill Town Creek Avon Hammondville Margaret Brookwood Grayson Valley Intercultural Institute Tuskegee Boaz Cardiff Phil Campbell Camp Hill Eldridge York Ceres (or Contestual Ministry Lake Coker A Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Oak Grove Wilsonville Fayette Opp Pisgah Hacklebu33 pan Court and Slocome Institute for Contextual Ministry Oak Grove Wilsonville Fayette Opp Pisgah Hacklebu33

The table below lists 14 lifestyle segments in three social groups, J-Struggling Societies, K-Urban Essence, and L-Varying Lifestyles. For each the 0-0.5 mile ring, the number of households is listed together with the percent of the band in that segment. The number of unreached households is also listed together with the percent of the total unreached that are in the segment.

| 2010 MOSAIC HHIds | 0-0.5 HH & Percent | | Unreached HH & Percent | |
|--------------------------|--------------------|--------|------------------------|--------|
| Total | 228 | 100% | 179 | 100% |
| STRUGGLING SOCIETIES | 24 | 10.53% | 20 | 11.17% |
| Rugged Southern Style | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Latino Nuevo | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Struggling city Centers | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| College Town Communities | 24 | 10.53% | 20 | 11.17% |
| New Beginnings | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| URBAN ESSENCE | 137 | 60.09% | 104 | 58.1% |
| Unattached Multicultures | 2 | 0.88% | 1 | 0.56% |
| Academic Necessities | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Af. Amer. Neighborhoods | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Urban Diversity | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| New Generation Activists | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Getting By | 135 | 59.21% | 103 | 57.54% |
| VARYING LIFESTYLES | 66 | 28.95% | 54 | 30.17% |
| Military Family Life | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Major University Towns | 66 | 28.95% | 54 | 30.17% |
| Gray Perspectives | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| | | | | |

est Jefferson Gu-Win Geiger Adamsville Cherokee Newbern Athens Bear Creek Reform Hytop Ethel Georgiana Clayhatchee Malvern Selmont-West Selmont Brighton Toxey Clay Saraland Red Ba Fairhope Childersburg Ariton Geraldine Shiloh Spanish Fort Walnut Contextual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Contextual Contextual Ministry Contextual Contextual Ministry Contextual Contextual Ministry Contextual Contextual Contextual Ministry Contextual Contextual Ministry Contextual Contextual Ministry Contextual Contextual Contextual Ministry Contextual Contextual Ministry Contextual Contextual Contextual Ministry Contextual Contextual Contextual Contextual Ministry Contextual Contextual Contextual Contextual Ministry Contextual Contextua

Identifying Focus Groups in this Location

Coaling

Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Pelham

Cedar Bluff

Rainbow Citv

Within the 0-0.5 mile, 0.5-1.5 mile and 1.5-3 mile bands of this site location, there are several types of people groups including ethnic, lifestyle, lifestage, and socio-religious people groups. A focus group is a group of people to whom you feel especially called to reach. Below are some suggestions regarding identifying focus groups in this site location.

Issues for Your Consideration

Madrid

Haleburg

- 1. Review the current demographic summary on pages 15-19 for this site location. What demographic groups are prevalent in this particular site location?
- 2. Next take a look at the projected demographic summary on pages 20-24. What increase or decrease is expected for these groups in this site location?
- 3. Review the current ethnic summary on pages 25-27. What ethnic groups are prevalent in this site location?
- 4. Review the unreached households by lifestyle segment on pages 31-34. Which social groups have the largest number of unreached households? Which lifestyle groups have a significant number of unreached households?
- 5. Compile your answers to numbers 1 through 4 and begin prayerfully prioritizing the list of people groups that you could attempt to reach in this location. Which groupings would appear to culturally "fit" together well? Which groups likely need special consideration in developing a culturally appropriate new missional ministry or congregation?

Scottsboro

Magnolia Springs

er₃₅


Potential Cultural Bridges

Donald McGavran in his book, The Bridges of God, emphasized the need for people to come to faith within the context of their own culture. "People like to become Christians without crossing racial, lignuistic, or class barriers." In addition, research into evangelism suggests that most people come to faith in Christ as the result of the witness of a Christian with whom they have a close personal relationship (mostly a friend or a relative).

Missional congregations intentionally release their people to permeate the community in order to develop relational bridges across which they can present Christ. These relational bridges usually are established in some context: arts & cultural organizations, sports teams and sporting events, park & recreational facility use, community organizations, community cultural events, and community social concerns and needs.

Thus, cultural bridges are those characteristics that could be used to build relationships with people in the lifestyle group. Various activities, interests, or opinions (AIO) represented among the consumer behavior characteristics of the lifestyle groups in the comunity offer ways for believers to build relationships with people in those lifestyle groups and through that relationship impact them for Christ.

[i] McGavran, Donald A. The Bridges of God. Revised edition. (New York: Friendship Press, 1981).



riceville Coffeeville Valley Head Evergreen Sand Rock Lincoln Hackleburg Grant Lisman Gordonville Cowarts Guntersville Mountain Brook New Brockton Arab Altoona Score Pisgah Sumiton Lake F inton Blue Springs Epes Gadsden Springville Leesburg Smiths Station <u>Intercultural Institute</u> sburg Mosses for Contextual Ministry Pollard Thorsby Providence Chelsea Mount Olive 36

The tables below list 20 consumer behavior variables related to computer and internet use at this mission site. For each of the three bands - 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles - the percent of the households in the missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org

| BRIDGES | 0-0.5 | 0.5-1.5 | 1.5-3 |
|-------------------------|-------|---------|-------|
| | MILES | MILES | MILES |
| PC-HH Own | 74% | 76% | 71% |
| Internet Use: E-Mail | 45% | 56% | 47% |
| Use Comp. for | 45% | 62% | 53% |
| Internet/E-mail | | | |
| HH Owns DVD Player | 31% | 27% | 27% |
| Use Comp. for Education | 28% | 33% | 31% |
| Use Comp. for Word | 27% | 27% | 29% |
| Processing | | | |
| Use Comp. for Shopping | 26% | 34% | 30% |
| Internet Use: News/ | 25% | 40% | 29% |
| Weather | | | |
| Use Comp. for Comp. | 24% | 26% | 32% |
| Games | | | |
| Internet Use: Banking | 22% | 27% | 24% |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Ethelsville Aven St. Florian Demopolis Natural Bridge Mount Vernon Triana Sheffield Carolina Wad Ethelsville Riverside Steele Elkmont Coffeeville Clio Robertsdale Beaver Prichard Mountainboro Ser Pennington Uniontown Skyline Creola Fort Deposit Moody Pine App Tor Contextual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Contextual Ministry

Use Comp. for Filing/DB Mngmnt 14%

11%

10%

The tables below lists 20 consumer behavior variables related to top leisure activities, auto maintenance, prescription drug use, and diet control at this mission site. For each of the three bands - 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles - the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

| BRIDGES | 0-0.5 | 0.5-1.5 | 1.5-3 |
|-------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|
| | MILES | MILES | MILES |
| Listening To Music | 70% | 71% | 68% |
| Reading Books | 59% | 62% | 55% |
| Dining Out (Not Fast Food) | 53% | 61% | 53% |
| Card Games | 50% | 44% | 40% |
| Cooking for Fun | 39% | 48% | 38% |
| Go To A Beach/Lake | 32% | 30% | 31% |
| Board Games | 29% | 33% | 30% |
| Visit Museum | 27% | 27% | 20% |
| Gardening | 22% | 26% | 25% |
| Photography | 19% | 22% | 18% |
| | | | |

| 0-0.5 | 0.5-1.5 | 1.5-3 |
|-------|---|---|
| MILES | MILES | MILES |
| 68% | 67% | 66% |
| 36% | 39% | 35% |
| 27% | 28% | 25% |
| 25% | 21% | 22% |
| 21% | 22% | 21% |
| 20% | 19% | 19% |
| 19% | 18% | 19% |
| | | |
| 16% | 15% | 16% |
| 15% | 15% | 12% |
| 15% | 13% | 14% |
| | MILES 68% 36% 27% 25% 21% 20% 19% 16% 15% | MILES MILES 68% 67% 36% 39% 27% 28% 25% 21% 21% 22% 20% 19% 19% 18% 16% 15% 15% 15% |

ne Jacksons' Gap Kimberly Ridgeville Saraland Leesburg Oneonta Powell Union Gordon Coffeeville gemery Hanceville Carolina Atmore Langston Newbern Tuskegee Brief Intercultural Institute Creek Pleasant Groves Beatrice Albertville Fyffe Clanton Huntsville Geopyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Contextual Ministry Woodstock Madison Steele Silverhill Fayette Mer38

The tables below lists 20 consumer behavior variables related to attending performances, movies and sporting events at this mission site. For each of the three bands - 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles - the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

| BRIDGES | 0-0.5 | 0.5-1.5 | 1.5-3 |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|--------|
| | MILES | MILES | MILES |
| Concert | 34.05% | 33.34% | 28.57% |
| Live Theater | 25.75% | 25.47% | 20.93% |
| Rock/Pop Concerts Most | 17.89% | 20.32% | 16.58% |
| Often | | | |
| Live Theater Most Often | 16.47% | 21.31% | 16.67% |
| Dance Performance | 14.8% | 9.13% | 9.89% |
| Comedy Club | 14.12% | 6.79% | 9.5% |
| Movies: Action/Adventure | 39.24% | 32.27% | 37.7% |
| Movies: Comedy | 35.75% | 29.65% | 36.92% |
| Movies: Mystery | 31.07% | 19.09% | 20.63% |

| BRIDGES | 0-0.5 | 0.5-1.5 | 1.5-3 |
|-------------------------|--------|---------|--------|
| | MILES | MILES | MILES |
| Movies: Drama | 25.07% | 19.54% | 23.28% |
| Movies: Romantic | 22.32% | 22.44% | 21.06% |
| Comedy | | | |
| Movies: Horror | 18.41% | 18.77% | 18.31% |
| NFL Football Reg. | 6.89% | 3.79% | 4.97% |
| Season | | | |
| MLB Baseball Reg. | 6.24% | 5.04% | 5.66% |
| Season | | | |
| College Football Reg. | 4.6% | 5.91% | 5.69% |
| Season | | | |
| College Basketball Reg. | 3.85% | 6.09% | 4.47% |
| Season | | | |
| Auto Racing Events | 3.79% | 1.24% | 2.04% |
| NBA Basketball Reg. | 3.67% | 1.82% | 2.82% |
| Season | | | |



The tables below lists 28 consumer behavior variables related to fitness participation at this mission site. For each of the three bands - 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles - the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org

| BRIDGES | 0-0.5 | 0.5-1.5 | 1.5-3 | BRIDGES | BRIDGES 0-0.5 | BRIDGES 0-0.5 0.5-1.5 |
|----------------------|--------|---------|--------|----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | MILES | MILES | MILES | | MILES | MILES MILES |
| Walking for Exercise | 32.93% | 35.87% | 35.85% | Freshwater Fishing | Freshwater Fishing 9.51% | Freshwater Fishing 9.51% 12.66% |
| Swimming | 24.89% | 39.14% | 29.91% | Backpacking/Hiking | Backpacking/Hiking 9.24% | Backpacking/Hiking 9.24% 12.19% |
| Jogging/Running | 19.52% | 17.43% | 16.22% | Snorkeling | Snorkeling 8.76% | Snorkeling 8.76% 9.18% |
| Weight Training | 18.91% | 15.06% | 14.36% | Soccer | Soccer 8.54% | Soccer 8.54% 11.81% |
| Basketball | 18.73% | 20.43% | 17.79% | Tennis | Tennis 8.24% | Tennis 8.24% 11.95% |
| Billiards/Pool | 18.29% | 27.51% | 21.15% | Power Boating | Power Boating 7.67% | Power Boating 7.67% 9.75% |
| Bowling | 17.14% | 21.56% | 19.72% | Saltwater Fishing | Saltwater Fishing 7.47% | Saltwater Fishing 7.47% 4.81% |
| Using Cardio | 15.74% | 14.71% | 12.26% | Volleyball | Volleyball 7.47% | Volleyball 7.47% 11.79% |
| Machine | | | | Softball | Softball 6.83% | Softball 6.83% 7.89% |
| Football | 14.43% | 18.69% | 14.18% | Target Shooting | Target Shooting 6.59% | Target Shooting6.59%6.13% |
| Golf | 13.09% | 21.05% | 13.72% | Ice Skating | Ice Skating 6.4% | Ice Skating 6.4% 9.23% |
| Baseball | 12.36% | 15.11% | 12.17% | Downhill & X-Country | Downhill & X-Country 6.36% | Downhill & X-Country 6.36% 10.26% |
| Mountain/Road | 11.88% | 23.5% | 14.63% | Skiing | Skiing | Skiing |
| Biking | | | | Yoga | Yoga 6.35% | Yoga 6.35% 9.28% |
| Aerobics | 11.25% | 8.1% | 9.48% | Rock Climbing | Rock Climbing 5.97% | Rock Climbing 5.97% 5.31% |
| Stationary Cycling | 9.67% | 10.07% | 10.83% | | | |

West End-Cobb Town Cullman Waterloo Columbiana North Courtland Hamilton Lake View Vestavia Hi along Kinston Pine Ridge Lester Courtland Gordonville Providence Intercultural Institute han Taylor Birmingham Gainesville Sulligent Clayton Thomasville Area Town Town The Contextual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

The tables below lists 20 consumer behavior variables related to fitness participation at this mission site. For each of the three bands - 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles - the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. However, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org

| BRIDGES | 0-0.5 | 0.5-1.5 | 1.5-3 | | | BRIDGES | BRIDGES 0-0.5 | BRIDGES 0-0.5 0.5-1.5 |
|-------------------|-------|---------|-------|---|-----|--------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| | MILES | MILES | MILES | | | | MILES | MILES MILES |
| Roller Skating | 5.42% | 7.23% | 6.38% | - | | Snowboarding | Snowboarding 3.43% | Snowboarding 3.43% 6.03% |
| Camping Trips | 5.35% | 10.41% | 9.82% | | ſ | Motorcycling | Motorcycling 3.39% | Motorcycling 3.39% 3.76% |
| Surfing & | 5.21% | 4.37% | 3.39% | | Fly | y Fishing | y Fishing 3.34% | y Fishing 3.34% 3.27% |
| Windsurfing | | | | | W | /ater Skiing | /ater Skiing 3.26% | /ater Skiing 3.26% 6.22% |
| Auto Racing | 4.63% | 2.87% | 3.1% | | ł | Hunting | Hunting 3.1% | Hunting 3.1% 4.71% |
| Sailing | 4.63% | 4.8% | 3.57% | | F | Racquetball | Racquetball 2.98% | Racquetball 2.98% 4.59% |
| Jet Skiing | 4.61% | 6.67% | 5.06% | | H | ockey | ockey 2.9% | ockey 2.9% 4.29% |
| Canoeing/Kayaking | 4.43% | 3.81% | 4.62% | | Sn | owmobiling | owmobiling 2.89% | owmobiling 2.89% 4.27% |
| Martial Arts | 4.33% | 2.94% | 3.05% | | A | Archery | Archery 2.76% | Archery 2.76% 3.47% |
| Skateboarding | 4.11% | 3.95% | 3.56% | | F | Rowing | Rowing 2.55% | Rowing 2.55% 2.09% |
| Horseback Riding | 3.47% | 4.98% | 4.33% | | | | | |

Roanoke Lake View Centre Saraland Columbia Tuscumbia Fairhope Petrey Leesburg Rosa Gost Castleberry Westover Valley Brantley Babbie Midland City Webb Intercultural Institute Waldo Memphis Susan Moore Graysville Vestavia Hills Lowndesboro Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

Potential Cultural Barriers -- Introduction (part 1)

Most community barriers are related to some aspect of culture that stand in the way of some segments of society having the understanding or acceptance necessary to come to faith in Jesus Christ. These barriers inhibit the flow of the gospel within a people grouping. Other types of barriers include biblical barriers and ecclesial barriers.


BIBLICAL BARRIERS

The term Biblical barriers, is used to describe aspects of biblical truth that are offensive to the community. Biblical barriers can be divided into two types: 1) barriers based on clear, biblical teaching; 2) barriers based on the cultural application of biblical principles. Barriers caused by clear, biblical teaching cannot be eliminated without compromising the truth of scripture. Application barriers should be re-evaluated in light of the culture of the people group to verify that the application is transcultural instead of culture-specific.

ECCLESIAL BARRIERS

Ecclesial barriers are barriers that arise from the perceptions that people outside the church have of the church, its people, its programming, and it' leadership. In a classic study of the attitudes of the unchurched, people were asked: "What keeps people like you from considering the Christian faith?" Approximately 75 percent of unchurched people answered the question by referring t oan ecclesial barrier. "They resist becoming Christians because they 'don't want to become like church people'"[i]

[i] Hunter, George G., Jr. Church for the Unchurched. (Smyma: Abingdon Press, 1996), 59.



Opelika Mulga Odenville Polham Baileyton Gaylesville Hytop Ashland Decatur Emelle Florala We Auburn Pine Apple Malvern Moores Mill Clayton Allgood Cowarts Intercultural Institute Toxey Pinson Minor Pinckard Cedar Bluff Arab Webb Tuskegee (Confectual Ministry Coopyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

Potential Cultural Barriers -- Introduction (part 2)

CULTURAL BARRIERS OF UNDERSTANDING

Cultural barriers may impede either understanding or acceptance. Cultural barriers of understanding occur because of problems with the communication of the message. Often people do not understand the gospel when it is presented to them.


CULTURAL BARRIERS OF ACCEPTANCE

Christian Subculture

Cultural barriers of acceptance occur because of a variety of problems, including those related to the Christian sub-culture as well as the culture of the hearer. Sometimes unchurched people have understood that they must adopt the evangelical Christian subculture in order to come to faith -- and become like "church people" -- including dressing like them, participating in all of the church functions and activities, passively following church leadership, not questioning aspects of Christian faith and beliefs, and ignoring the poor and minorities.

Unreached People Group

Sometimes cultural aspects from within the unchurched people group -- currently held values, attitudes & behaviors are seen as antithetical to Christianity, which inhibits them from coming to faith. Some aspects of culture may be redeemed for God's glory and used to please him. Other cultural aspects are so perverted by sin that they cannot be used without syncretizing. In order to identify cultural barriers of acceptance, missional congregations develop relationships within the cultural group and seek to understand who they are and what an indigenous church would look like among them in order to eliminate unnecessary barriers that have been identified that the missionary should consider in reaching out to the lifestyle segments in this site location.



d Berry Orrville Rutledge Elba Selmont-West Selmont Shorter Midfield Cuba Kennedy Mooresville L Hidway Daphne Gadsden Mountainboro York Hamilton Snead Fores Intercultural Institute sa Heath Smiths Station Luverne Mentone Evergreen Wilton Red Bay Jor Contextual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Binakard Athana Jorian Contextual Contextual Silve Binakard Athana Jorgina Contextual Contextual Silve Silve Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Binakard Athana Jorgina Contextual Contextual Silve Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

Potential Cultural Barriers:

The tables below list 24 personal attitude variables related to cultural barriers at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the household in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

| BARRIERS | 0-0.5 MILES | 0.5-1.5 MILES | 1.5-3 MILES | BARRIERS | 0-0.5 MILES | 0.5-1.5 MILES | 1.5- MIL |
|---|----------------|------------------|----------------|---|----------------|------------------|-------------|
| Important Continue Learning New Things | 58% | 46% | 50% | If Won Lottery Would Never Work Again | 23% | 31% | 27% |
| Speak My Mind Even If It Upsets People | 38% | 33% | 37% | I Am A Workaholic We Should Strive for Equality | 22% 20% | 23% 17% | 23% 18% |
| Don't Judge People/Way They Live Life | 34% | 34% | 33% | for All I Am A Perfectionist | 18% | 14% | 12% |
| Find It Difficult To Say No To My Kids | 33% | 44% | 38% | Like to Stand Out In A Crowd Rarely Sit Down to a Meal | 17% 17% | 21% 13% | 22% 15% |
| Prefer To Have Few Possessions As Possible | 31% | 43% | 33% | Together At Home | | 23% | 17% |
| Friends More Important Than | 29% | 30% | 25% | Happy With My Standard Of Living | 16% | | |
| My Fam. Like Control Over People And | 29% | 30% | 34% | Very Happy With My Life As It Is | 15% | 5% | 9% |
| Resources Like To Do Unconventional | 25% | 21% | 24% | Willing To Give Up Time With Fam. To Advance | 14% | 9% | 9% |
| Things Money Is Best Measure Of | 24% | 25% | 24% | Only Work Current Job for The Money | 13% | 12% | 149 |
| Success Marijuana Should Be Legalized | 24% | 20% | 20% | Like To Pursue Challenge/Novelty/Change | 12% | 18% | 18% |
| Too Much Sponsorship In Arts/Sports | 23% | 20% | 26% | On Whole People Get What They Deserve | 11% | 15% | 12% |
| Woman's Place Is In The Home | 23% | 25% | 30% | | | | |

McMullen Enterprise East Brewton Luverne Pinckard Glencoe Grove Hill McIntosh Oak Hill Kenned Pell City Sumiton Needham Leighton Garden City Ethelsville Jackson Columbiana Nauvoo Brillian Boaz Sipsey Ashville Bessemer Hobson City Thomasville Andalusia Contextual Ministry Coopyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Coopyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

Potential Cultural Themes

Typically many Christians explain the gospel the way it was presented to them. However, today many nonbelievers in North America do not have a Christian background nor do they have any interest in the Christian Church or Christianity. Because of this the gospel messages that they have "heard" (with their ears or eyes on TV) has not registered in their mind, heart or soul -- truly they have not actually "heard" (in the Biblical sense of the word) the gospel yet. They need to hear the gospel in a way that grips them where they live.

Cultural themes that arise from their attitudes, beliefs, and life stories provide a significant way for missionaries to share the gospel in their own terms. Just as missionaries in Papua New Guinea shared the story of the legendary "peace child" that a jungle tribe anticipated from their folklore, so too missionaries to this site location need to take "themes" out of the culture to use in sharing the gospel in a fresh and culturally appropriate way. This section provides many significant thems that may be considered as a basis for developing a contextual gospel presentation to people in this site location.



wood Headland Bon Air Edgewater Yellow Bluff Jacksonville Chatom Woodland Thomasville Clio F Theodore Guntersville Florence Boligee Mountainboro Centreville Pleas Elberta Anniston Valley Grande Marion Jacksons' Gap Eldridge Pine Hill Taylor Elmor Jon Confectual Ministry ©Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

Potential Cultural Themes:

The tables below list 24 personal attitude variables related to cultural themes at this mission site. For each of the three bands-- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.


| THEMES | 0-0.5 MILES | 0.5-1.5 MILES | 1.5-3 MILES | THEMES | 0-0.5 MILES | 0.5-1.5 MILES | 1.5-3 MILES |
|---|----------------|------------------|----------------|---|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| You Should Seize Opportunities In Life | 57% | 55% | 56% | People Have To Take Me As They Find Me | 21% | 17% | 21% |
| Important To Respect Customs And Beliefs | 49% | 56% | 55% | Provide My Kids With The Little Extras | 20% | 19% | 19% |
| Important Feel Respected By My Peers | 43% | 33% | 34% | Like To Just Enjoy Life Try Not To Worry About The | 16% 16% | 19% 12% | 20% 15% |
| Like To Understand About Nature | 41% | 40% | 39% | Future Is An Important Part Of Who I | 14% | 11% | 14% |
| Prefer Work Part Of Team Than Alone | 40% | 37% | 36% | Am Looking for New Ideas To | 14% | 11% | 17% |
| Good At Fixing Things Important To Juggle Various Tasks | 35% 34% | 42% 27% | 35% 31% | Improve Home Feel Very Alone In The World Enjoy Spending Time With My | 11% 10% | 5% 6% | 6% 11% |
| Prefer To Have Few Possessions As Possible | 31% | 43% | 33% | Fam. Children Should Be Allowed To | 10% | 8% | 8% |
| Worried About Pollution Caused By Cars | 29% | 37% | 24% | Express Themselves Like Spending Most Time With | 7% | 6% | 6% |
| Consider Myself Interested In The Arts | 27% | 19% | 22% | Fam. Decor Particular Interest To Me | 6% | 8% | 6% |
| Have Keen Sense Of Adventure | 25% | 31% | 29% | Would Like To Set Up Own Business | 6% | 2% | 4% |
| Real Men Don't Cry | 22% | 15% | 16% | | | | |

Lisman Chickasaw Greensboro Smiths Station Fairhope Mooresville Crossville Rockford Si The Coop Town Millry Ethelsville Argo Kennedy Killen Guin Vredenbry Intercultural Institute Holly Pond Fairview Twin Trinity Mount Olive Fayette Mosses Spare [or Confectual Ministry] ©Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Confectual Ministry Confe

46

Potential Shared Places

While missional strategy is important, unless someone begins a missional relationship with a non-believer and sharges the gospel with them the missional strategy is ineffective. A key component of missions is "hanging out" with lost people in order to build relationships and to allow the Holy Spirit to use us in moving them toward the claims of the gospel. This section provides some ideas of where to hang out -- where to meet people in the lifestyle segment you are attempting to reach.



Bear-Creek East Brewton Oak Grove Theodore Millport Chickasaw Lester Thomaston County Line Tak Kansas Fairview Lynn Harpersville Glenwood Fultondale Littleviller Intercultural Institute Lake View Georgiana Billingsley Banks Coaling Mount Vernon Forklar Forklar Confectual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

Potential Shared Places:

The tables below list 24 shared variables related to shared places at this mission site. For each of the three bands - 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that frequent the place is given. However, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

| PLACE | 0-0.5 | 0.5-1.5 | 1.5-3 | | PLACE | PLACE 0-0.5 | PLACE 0-0.5 0.5-1.5 |
|------------------------|--------|---------|--------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | MILES | MILES | MILES | | | MILES | MILES MILES |
| Fast Food/Drive-In | 71.68% | 73.39% | 81.64% | 1 | Chili's Grill and Bar | Chili's Grill and Bar 15.41% | Chili's Grill and Bar 15.41% 13.81% |
| Restaurant-Visit Any | | | | | Red Lobster | Red Lobster 15.28% | Red Lobster 15.28% 12.74% |
| Fam. Restaurants/Steak | 64.17% | 73.55% | 74.38% | | Pizza Hut | Pizza Hut 14.27% | Pizza Hut 14.27% 14.71% |
| Houses-Visit Any | | | | | Arby's | Arby's 14.23% | Arby's 14.23% 13.48% |
| McDonald's | 47.34% | 48.24% | 53.06% | | IHOP (International House | IHOP (International House 14.14% | IHOP (International House 14.14% 13% |
| Burger King | 30.37% | 26.26% | 35.15% | | Of Pancakes) | Of Pancakes) | Of Pancakes) |
| Kentucky Fried Chicken | 27.9% | 22.11% | 30.53% | | Dairy Queen | Dairy Queen 13.4% | Dairy Queen 13.4% 14.22% |
| (KFC) | | | | | Starbucks | Starbucks 11.85% | Starbucks 11.85% 13% |
| Subway | 25.03% | 28.02% | 29.4% | | Domino's Pizza | Domino's Pizza 11.83% | Domino's Pizza 11.83% 14.86% |
| Applebee's | 23.37% | 32.74% | 28.98% | | Boston Market | Boston Market 10.68% | Boston Market 10.68% 5.99% |
| TGI Friday's | 18.81% | 11.66% | 13.56% | | Chick-Fil-A | Chick-Fil-A 10.47% | Chick-Fil-A 10.47% 9.61% |
| Taco Bell | 18.05% | 18.67% | 24.02% | | Friendly's | Friendly's 10.23% | Friendly's 10.23% 9.08% |
| Wendy's | 17.72% | 19.84% | 26.9% | | Outback Steakhouse | Outback Steakhouse 10.21% | Outback Steakhouse 10.21% 9.46% |
| Olive Garden | 17.46% | 14.59% | 17.32% | | | | |
| Dunkin' Donuts | 16.46% | 8.88% | 11.92% | | | | |

Point Clear Huntsville Repton Lanett Wedowee Red Bay Anniston Bayou La Batre Deatsville Reds ent Oxford Rehobeth Sanford Fultondale Abbeville Franklin Blountsville Intercultural Institute ar Bluff Level Plains Warrior Clio Fairview Opelika Cusseta McIntost Confectual Ministry Confectual Ministry Contextual Ministry Rock Mosses Lexington Collinsville Priceville Boli 48

Potential Shared Projects

Shared projects are those social or community projects in which people at this site location are involved that Christians could join in with them as a means of developing relationships while "serving with them." So often Christians either expect nonbelievers to come to them ("come to our church service or event") or the church creates a social type activity (church softball league, etc) in the community to invite nonbelievers to attend or join. These type of church-sponsored events and activities are often intimidating to a nonbeliever.

Shared projects are community activities that already exist in the missional site location in which nonbelievers are already participating. When missional believers, who live in the community, join community organizations and participate in community events, they have opportunities to be salt and light in their neighborhoods.

This section of the MissionSite report provides a list of common community activities together with the percentage of the site location that we would expect to be already participating. Find these community organizations, activities or events and join in with others in your community! What a way to build evangelistic relationships!

Tusca 0.5-1.5 mi 82 6 0-0.5 mi MP 01125011200 82 6

Springs Village Hartselle Huntsville Hillsboro Waverly Troy Gadsden Atmore Ashville Headland Deca Tellow Bluff Lake Purdy Reform Munford Prichard Attalla Graysop <u>Intercultural Institute</u> Jemison Fort in tier Altoona Grant Robertsdale Elberta Union Springs Moores Mill Eas (ontextual Ministry) oint Union M Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Tarrant Bear Creek Dadeville Vestavia Hills Pell City 49

Potential Shared Projects

The tables below list 12 attitude variables related to shared projects at this mission site. For each of the three bands-- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. However, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

| PROJECTS | 0-0.5 | 0.5-1.5 | 1.5-3 |
|---------------------------------------|--------|---------|--------|
| | MILES | MILES | MILES |
| Voted in fed/state/local election | 32.67% | 34.85% | 38.13% |
| Recycled products | 19.26% | 26.86% | 26.04% |
| Worked as volunteer (non political) | 11.24% | 14.52% | 13.46% |
| Religious club member | 7.46% | 6.66% | 7.06% |
| Took active part in local civic issue | 5.66% | 5.31% | 4.95% |
| Engaged in fund raising | 5.2% | 9% | 9.39% |


| PROJECTS | 0-0.5 | 0.5-1.5 | 1.5-3 |
|------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|
| | MILES | MILES | MILES |
| Wrote to editor of mag or | 4.51% | 5.69% | 5.19% |
| newspaper | | | |
| Addressed a public meeting | 4.26% | 4.46% | 4.1% |
| Wrote to elected offcl about | 4.11% | 4.56% | 4.47% |
| publ bus | | | |
| Charitable Organization | 3.97% | 5.37% | 4.61% |
| Been published | 3.76% | 3.22% | 2.43% |
| Church Board | 3.58% | 3.17% | 4.51% |

Sville Hartselle Trafford Horn Hill West End-Cobb Town Locust Fork West Blocton Hellywood Ranburne Grossville Malvern Andalusia Maplesville Vredenburgh Brewton Sardis <u>Intercultural Institute</u> ville Lanett Excel Underwood-Petersville Taylor Gadsden Meadowbroo for Contextual Ministry Coopyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

Communication Media Content

The media-saturated 21st century poses both unique challenges and unique opportunities for missional leaders today. A major challenge you will face in this missional site location is cutting through the many layers of media that bombard your intended audience. Through the din of competing voices, the message of the gospel needs to become a clarion call.

Understanding the type of media content people in your site location use may help you to begin planning a comprehensive media strategy. Combining an understanding of this media content with the cultural bridges, barriers, and themes mentioned on pages 37-46 will enable you to begin shaping targeted messages to your intended audience.



Onconta Grimes Kellyton Rogersville Lynn Autaugaville Pinson Shiloh Bon Air Hilmans Corner Riv Ardmore Allgood Hayden Bridgeport Chickasaw Goshen Roberts Arley Midfield Deatsville Fork Marion Waverly Fairview Odenville County Line Parrish Sulligen for Contextual Ministry ar ©Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

Potential Print Media Content:

The table below list 18 variables related to book and magazine usage at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the household in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. However, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org

| BOOKS | 0-0.5 | 0.5-1.5 | 1.5-3 |
|------------------------|--------|---------|--------|
| | MILES | MILES | MILES |
| Novel | 13.06% | 15.97% | 14.7% |
| Mystery | 10.91% | 11.98% | 10.31% |
| Children's Books | 9.79% | 11.52% | 11.75% |
| Cookbooks | 9.2% | 9.17% | 9.3% |
| Religious (not Bibles) | 7.44% | 7.12% | 8.37% |
| Personal/Business | 6.42% | 5.89% | 5.7% |
| Self-help | | | |
| Romance | 6.13% | 6.39% | 6.5% |
| Mail order | 5.69% | 5.3% | 4.98% |
| Biography | 5.16% | 5.72% | 5.76% |

| MAGAZINES | 0-0.5 | 0.5-1.5 | 1.5-3 |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|--------|
| | MILES | MILES | MILES |
| Newspaper Distributed | 61.2% | 62.53% | 64.79% |
| Womens | 46.53% | 45.54% | 45.29% |
| Gen. Editorial | 45.55% | 47.17% | 49.94% |
| Service | 30.04% | 32.59% | 31.91% |
| Music | 19.72% | 20.62% | 19.18% |
| Sports | 17.73% | 20.06% | 16.76% |
| Parenthood | 17.64% | 14.94% | 15.25% |
| Mens | 16.03% | 21.77% | 20.12% |
| Business/Finance | 15.39% | 17.39% | 18.48% |

Elkmont Sylacauga Gordo Phil Campbell Fruithurst Columbia Camp Hill Moores Mill Arab Headland Cast Brewton Fairhope Priceville Grand Bay White Hall Sanford Merin Brook a Fayette Jackson ouisville Oak Hill Lipscomb New Brockton Pleasant Grove Brantley Ell (or Contextual Institute for Contextual Ministry Sylvan Springs Tuscaloosa Halvern Theodore Goldvill 52 Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Asbland Needbarn Pinson Skyline Bayou La Batre

Potential Print & Audio Media Content:

The tables below list 31 variables related to newspaper & radio usage at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. However, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behaviors and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

| NEWSPAPERS | 0-0.5 | 0.5-1.5 | 1.5-3 |
|--------------------|--------|---------|--------|
| | MILES | MILES | MILES |
| Gen. News | 44.2% | 49.77% | 48.73% |
| Classified | 37.88% | 36.55% | 34.48% |
| Comics | 26.88% | 28.12% | 25.58% |
| Sport | 26.17% | 29.81% | 29.48% |
| Editorial Page | 23.94% | 25.78% | 25.41% |
| Movie Listings & | 23.65% | 27.13% | 24.7% |
| Reviews | | | |
| Food/Cooking | 23.6% | 21.75% | 22.26% |
| Business/Finance | 23.42% | 26.09% | 24.67% |
| TV/Radio Listings | 19.61% | 19.53% | 21.21% |
| Home/Gardening | 14.45% | 13.67% | 16.22% |
| Travel | 14.11% | 15.84% | 15.94% |
| Science/Technology | 13.94% | 15.78% | 14.3% |
| Fashion | 12.42% | 12.69% | 14.06% |

| RADIO | 0-0.5 | 0.5-1.5 | 1.5-3 |
|-----------------------|--------|---------|--------|
| | MILES | MILES | MILES |
| Urban Contemporary | 33.29% | 20.11% | 29.54% |
| CHR Contemp Hit Radio | 20.21% | 21.03% | 18.7% |
| Adult Contemporary | 15.15% | 17.21% | 15.11% |
| Country | 13.2% | 18.19% | 14.87% |
| Oldies | 7.91% | 8.04% | 9.21% |
| Hispanic | 7.5% | 5.08% | 3.49% |
| Variety | 7.41% | 7.48% | 8.43% |
| Gospel | 6.31% | 3.94% | 5.72% |
| Rock | 6.22% | 12.3% | 9.39% |
| Alternative | 5.82% | 8.32% | 7.29% |
| Classic Rock | 4.89% | 10.68% | 7.84% |
| News/Talk | 4.56% | 7.63% | 7.61% |
| Jazz | 4.54% | 3.99% | 6.86% |
| Soft Contemporary | 4.05% | 5.18% | 5.32% |
| Religious | 3.19% | 4.05% | 4.52% |
| Sports | 2.69% | 2.67% | 2.84% |
| Public | 2.63% | 2.31% | 2.15% |
| Classical | 2.13% | 3.23% | 2.86% |
| | | | |


II Saks Geneva Adamsville Excel Pisgah New Market Sheffield Underwood-Petersville South Vinemont Daviston Geiger Florence Hoover White Hall Greensboro Coffee Intercultural Institute Pleasant Grove Cusseta Oak Hill Rockford Vina Oxford Northport Worker and Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

Potential Multimedia Content:

The tables below list 24 variables related to television content and delivery at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org

| MULTIMEDIA: TV | 0-0.5 | 0.5-1.5 | 1.5-3 | N | /UL |
|-------------------------|--------|---------|--------|---|--------|
| | MILES | MILES | MILES | | |
| Fox News Channel | 49.78% | 58.24% | 58.58% | A | BC |
| Other Video-On-Demand | 48.87% | 39.63% | 44.73% | N | /ISNI |
| Soapnet | 40.71% | 44.32% | 47.46% | S | Sci-F |
| Subscribe Digital Cable | 36.58% | 20.45% | 27.26% | С | CNB(|
| Comedy Central | 35.64% | 41.78% | 33.7% | E | SPN |
| Satellite Dish | 34.07% | 37.05% | 44.2% | E | Inco |
| ESPN2 | 30.03% | 25.15% | 24.72% | Т | ⁻V In |
| ESPN News | 27.26% | 27.51% | 20.77% | С | Cable |
| BET (Black | 27.2% | 28.4% | 25.98% | Н | lallm |
| Entertainment TV) | | | | Т | СМ |
| The Golf Channel | 24.69% | 21.83% | 21.3% | N | /lovie |
| Adult Pay Per View TV | 24.33% | 21.88% | 27.26% | Т | ⁻V In |
| Discovery Channel | 23.07% | 11.08% | 14.56% | E | E (Er |
| | | | | | |

| MULTIMEDIA: TV | 0-0.5 | 0.5-1.5 | 1.5-3 |
|-------------------------|--------|---------|--------|
| | | | |
| | MILES | MILES | MILES |
| ABC Fam. | 23.06% | 26.49% | 24.89% |
| MSNBC | 22.92% | 30.66% | 31.86% |
| Sci-Fi Channel | 22.7% | 24.71% | 29.77% |
| CNBC | 22.29% | 14.4% | 14.82% |
| ESPN Classic | 21.96% | 32.9% | 23.73% |
| Encore | 21.86% | 25.64% | 20.81% |
| TV Info From Monthly | 21.66% | 21.7% | 22.79% |
| Cable Guide | | | |
| Hallmark Channel | 21.44% | 21.62% | 22.35% |
| TCM (Turner Classic | 19.92% | 22.73% | 23.73% |
| Movies) | | | |
| TV Info From Newspapers | 19.86% | 22.11% | 23.81% |
| E (Entertainment TV) | 19.15% | 18.13% | 19.32% |
| USA Network | 18.86% | 17.3% | 19.67% |




Communication Media Usage

It is not enough to understand the type of media that your intended audience uses. It may also be helpful to understand the extent to which people in your site location use various media types and when they use the media. Media usage is generally measured by frequency or extent. Time usage is measured in blocks of day, night and weekend usage.

Taken together, media content, media usage, and time usage may be combined with demographics, lifestyles, and consumer behavior to paint a picture of who does what and when at the site location.

Each of these components may help you to begin planning a comprehensive media strategy. Combining media with the cultural bridges, barriers, and themes mentioned on pages 37-46 will enable you to deliver targeted messages to your intended audience at times when they are apt to listen.



Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

Potential Print Media Usage:

The tables below list 23 variables related to print media usage at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the households that participate in the activity or an index value is given. For more detailed information you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

| MEDIUM | 0-0.5 | 0.5-1.5 | 1.5-3 | ME |
|--------------------|--------|---------|--------|---------|
| | MILES | MILES | MILES | |
| Book Readers | | | | Qui |
| Heavy Users (7+) | 17.52% | 21.49% | 18.29% | Ма |
| Medium Users (4-6) | 7.54% | 8.9% | 8.86% | Ма |
| Light Users (1-3) | 16.8% | 20.03% | 18.86% | Ма |
| Quintiles (20%) | | | | Ма |
| Newspaper I | 1.18% | 0.48% | 1.32% | Ма |
| (Heavy) | | | | Out |
| Newspaper II | 2.48% | 1.19% | 1.7% | Out |
| Newspaper III | 0.8% | 1.56% | 1.64% | Out |
| Newspaper IV | 0.29% | 0.26% | 0.38% | Out |
| Newspaper V | 0.1% | 2.28% | 1.59% | Out |
| (Light) | | | | Yell |
| | | | | <i></i> |

| MEDIUM | 0-0.5 | 0.5-1.5 | 1.5-3 |
|---------------------|--------|---------|--------|
| | MILES | MILES | MILES |
| Quintiles (20%) | | | |
| Magazines I (Heavy) | 15.19% | 21.5% | 21.62% |
| Magazines II | 7.45% | 5.1% | 8.41% |
| Magazines III | 8.86% | 14.77% | 12.12% |
| Magazines IV | 6.51% | 8.39% | 11.75% |
| Magazines V (Light) | 0% | 0.18% | 0.48% |
| Outdoor I (Heavy) | 5.57% | 3.68% | 7.25% |
| Outdoor II | 2.74% | 3.17% | 4.45% |
| Outdoor III | 3.96% | 2.17% | 4.38% |
| Outdoor IV | 13.7% | 12.69% | 15.08% |
| Outdoor V (Light) | 20.89% | 20.21% | 23.38% |
| Yellow Pages I | 11.24% | 11.86% | 14.52% |
| (Heavy) | | | |
| Yellow Pages II | 6.44% | 5.51% | 7.25% |
| Yellow Pages III | 10.04% | 12.05% | 10.91% |
| Yellow Pages IV | 16.79% | 23.28% | 23.69% |
| Yellow Pages V | 3.37% | 3.63% | 4.64% |
| (Light) | | | |

Glencoe Vina Ashland Double Springs Columbia Frisco City Level Plains Camp Hill Wilton North John Hoores Mill Gordo Forkland Anderson Clayhatchee Elmore Valley Grand Intercultural Institute Minor Beaverton Epes Clayton County Line Avon Sardis City Maple for Contextual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Contextual Ministry

Potential Multimedia Usage

The tables below list 23 variables related to multimedia usage at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the households that participate in the activity or an index value is given. For more detailed information you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

| MEDIUM | 0-0.5 | 0.5-1.5 | 1.5-3 | MEDIUM | 0-0.5 | 5 |
|------------------------------|--------|---------|--------|-------------------------------|--------|---|
| | MILES | MILES | MILES | | MILES | |
| Radio Drive Time Quntiles | | | | TV Prime Time Quntiles (fifth | IS | |
| (fifths / 20%) | | | | / 20%) | | |
| Drive Time I & II (Heavy) | 4.61% | 9.96% | 5.46% | Prime Time I & II (Heavy) | 4.41% | |
| Drive Time III (Medium) | 0.39% | 1.41% | 1.04% | Prime Time III (Medium) | 0.22% | |
| Radio IV & V (Light) | 1.79% | 1.15% | 2.51% | Prime Time IV & V (Light) | 14.46% | |
| Radio Media Quntiles (fifths | s / | | | TV Early/Late Fringe Quntile | s | |
| 20%) | | | | (fifths / 20%) | | |
| Radio I & II (Heavy) | 6.39% | 5.32% | 9.39% | Fringe I & II (Heavy) | 40.08% | |
| Radio III (Medium) | 1.9% | 3.05% | 3.6% | Fringe III (Medium) | 42.11% | |
| Radio IV & V (Light) | 4.06% | 2.28% | 3.64% | Fringe IV (Light) | 41.54% | |
| Cable TV Quntiles (fifths / | | | | TV All Day Quntiles (fifths / | | |
| 20%) | | | | 20%) | | |
| Cable I & II (Heavy) | 12.04% | 9.62% | 10.98% | All Day I & II (Heavy) | 13.16% | |
| Cable III (Medium) | 5.63% | 7.12% | 6.17% | All Day III (Medium) | 21.34% | |
| Cable IV & V (Light) | 32.91% | 27.88% | 34.66% | All Day IV (Light) | 15.5% | |



Potential Audio & Prime Time TV Media Usage:

The tables below list 23 variables related to audio & prime time TV media usage at this mission site. For each of the three bands --0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

| USAGE | 0-0.5 | 0.5-1.5 | 1.5-3 | USAGE |
|--------------------|--------|---------|--------|----------------------|
| | MILES | MILES | MILES | |
| Day-time Radio | | | | Prime Time TV |
| Listeners | | | | Viewers |
| Dayparts [summary] | 8.99% | 8.37% | 10.23% | 8:00-11:00pm |
| 6:00am - 10:00am | 17.79% | 17.72% | 16.89% | Saturday: |
| 10:00am - 3:00pm | 22.29% | 14.4% | 14.82% | 8:00-11:00pm |
| 3:00pm - 7:00pm | 15.05% | 12.35% | 16.23% | Sunday: 7:00-11:00pm |
| 7:00pm - Midnight | 7.25% | 7.04% | 10.67% | 9:00am-1:00pm |
| Midnight - 6:00am | 11.31% | 5.31% | 8.35% | 9:00am-4:00pm |
| Weekend Radio | | | | 4:00pm-7:00pm |
| Listeners | | | | 11:00pm-1:00am |
| Dayparts [summary] | 14.08% | 11.39% | 14.67% | AVG Prime time |
| 6:00am - 10:00am | 5.54% | 4.38% | 3.71% | Mon-Sun |
| 10:00am-3:00pm | 12.49% | 13.18% | 8.5% | |
| 3:00pm - 7:00pm | 15.79% | 6.48% | 8.87% | |
| 7:00pm - Midnight | 10.32% | 10.23% | 10.66% | |
| Midnight - 6:00am | 23.07% | 11.08% | 14.56% | |
| | | | | |

| USAGE | 0-0.5 | 0.5-1.5 | 1.5-3 |
|---------------------------|--------|---------|--------|
| | MILES | MILES | MILES |
| Prime Time TV | | | |
| Viewers | | | |
| 8:00-11:00pm | 7.97% | 14.53% | 9.48% |
| Saturday: | 5.25% | 4.14% | 6.98% |
| 8:00-11:00pm | | | |
| Sunday: 7:00-11:00pm | 12.63% | 14.94% | 11.63% |
| 9:00am-1:00pm | 14.86% | 22.73% | 23.48% |
| 9:00am-4:00pm | 19.21% | 25.3% | 27% |
| 4:00pm-7:00pm | 27.84% | 25.04% | 27.25% |
| 11:00pm-1:00am | 38.81% | 42.31% | 42.22% |
| AVG Prime time Mon-Sun | 5.04% | 2.07% | 4.41% |

Arley Satsuma Jacksonville Ider Ethelsville Belk Yellow Bluff Black Deatsville Newbern Sylacauge Bessemer Harvest Haleburg Falkville Webb River Falls Benton Lyper Holly Pond Libertyville Spring ford Muscle Shoals Brookside Vestavia Hills Steele Baileyton Needham for Confertual Ministry Coopyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Coopyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Coopyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

Potential Weekday & Weekend TV Media Usage:

The table below lists 28 variables related to weekday & weekend media usage at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

| TV VIEWERS | 0-0.5 | 0.5-1.5 | 1.5-3 | | TV VIEWERS | TV VIEWERS 0-0.5 | TV VIEWERS 0-0.5 0.5-1.5 |
|------------|--------|---------|--------|---|---------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| | MILES | MILES | MILES | | | MILES | MILES MILES |
| Weekday | | | | 1 | Weekend | Weekend | Weekend |
| 6-7am | 15.31% | 18.72% | 18.84% | | Sat: 7-10am | Sat: 7-10am 18.42% | Sat: 7-10am 18.42% 17.58% |
| 7-9am | 30.03% | 25.15% | 24.72% | | Sat: 10am-1pm | Sat: 10am-1pm 9.05% | Sat: 10am-1pm 9.05% 7.97% |
| 9am-12noon | 5.33% | 17.63% | 16.75% | | Sat: 1-4pm | Sat: 1-4pm 16.88% | Sat: 1-4pm 16.88% 20.74% |
| 12noon-4pm | 13.88% | 7.67% | 10.25% | | Sat: 4-6pm | Sat: 4-6pm 6.69% | Sat: 4-6pm 6.69% 6.51% |
| 4-6pm | 48.37% | 41.33% | 44.23% | | Sat: 6-7pm | Sat: 6-7pm 0.01% | Sat: 6-7pm 0.01% 0.58% |
| 6-7pm | 16.71% | 15.95% | 16.77% | | Sat: 7-8pm | Sat: 7-8pm 0.11% | Sat: 7-8pm 0.11% 0.72% |
| 7-7:30pm | 1.39% | 1.45% | 1.68% | | Sat: 8-11pm | Sat: 8-11pm 5.25% | Sat: 8-11pm 5.25% 4.14% |
| 7:30-8pm | 9.77% | 9.95% | 10.54% | | Sat: 11pm-1am | Sat: 11pm-1am 3.8% | Sat: 11pm-1am 3.8% 4.05% |
| 8-11pm | 7.97% | 14.53% | 9.48% | | Sat: 1am-7pm | Sat: 1am-7pm 18.86% | Sat: 1am-7pm 18.86% 17.3% |
| 11pm-12am | 22.92% | 30.66% | 31.86% | | Sun: 7-10am | Sun: 7-10am 3.53% | Sun: 7-10am 3.53% 2.65% |
| 11pm-1am | 38.81% | 42.31% | 42.22% | | Sun: 10am-1pm | Sun: 10am-1pm 4.88% | Sun: 10am-1pm 4.88% 6.66% |
| 1-6am | 30.54% | 28.94% | 31.98% | | Sun: 1-4pm | Sun: 1-4pm 6.94% | Sun: 1-4pm 6.94% 4.53% |
| | | | | | Sun: 4-7pm | Sun: 4-7pm 13.21% | Sun: 4-7pm 13.21% 16.36% |
| | | | | | Sun: 7-11pm | Sun: 7-11pm 12.63% | Sun: 7-11pm 12.63% 14.94% |
| | | | | | Sun: 11pm-1am | Sun: 11pm-1am 6.8% | Sun: 11pm-1am 6.8% 7.64% |
| | | | | | Sun: 1-7am | Sun: 1-7am 21.12% | Sun: 1-7am 21.12% 22.65% |

Magnolia Springs Hayneville Mulga Dothan Pinckard Midfield Malvern vernon Brockside Horn Hill F Tobile Henagar Babbie Valley Grande Tarrant Gordonville Clio Cusseta Intercultural Institute Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Contextual

Using the Cultural Bridges, Barriers and Themes

The Cultural Bridges provide lists of activities and interests that are common among some groups of people in this mission site. Missional team members and church planters may use this data as the basis for creating intentional redemptive relationships. The Cultural Barriers list gives attitudes that may become a barrier to the spread of the gospel. The Cultural Themes are attitudes that may be the basis for developing a gospel presentation.

Issues for Your Consideration

- 1. Please read pages 62 and 63 on Biblical Missional Multiplication and Establishing Redemptive Relationships before considering the following issues.
- 2. Look through the cultural bridges listed on pages 37-41. Has anyone on the missional team been personally involved or interested in any of these bridge areas in the past? How can the team get involved in these activities with people who live and/or work in the mission site area?
- 3. Review the cultural barriers listed on page 44. Discuss how each of the attitudes reflected in this mission site may become a barrier to the spread of the gospel. Also discuss what steps the missional team may take to overcome these barriers.
- 4. Review the cultural themes listed on page 46. Choose two or three of the major themes that are prevalent at this mission site and begin developing presentations that wrap the gospel around these themes. Discuss how to "steer" the conversation to these themes and bridge into the gospel presentation.



Using the Shared Places, Projects, Media Content and Usage

The Shared Places provides a list of restaurants and restaurant types that are frequented by some groups of people in the mission site. If a particular restaurant chain is not in your area, look for similar types of restaurants. The Shared Projects section lists various activities in which some people in the mission site are engaged. The Media Content and Usage sections give a window into the types of media content that is preferred and the times media is commonly used

Issues for Your Consideration


- 1. Review the shared places listed on page 48. Discuss these types of organizations that may be found at the mission site. Discuss how the mission team may become part of these organizations in order to develop redemptive relationships.
- 2. Review the shared projects listed on page 50. Discuss ways in which people in the mission site may be involved in these activities. Has anyone on the missional team been personally involved in these activities with people who live and/or work in the mission site area.
- 3. Review the print, radio, and television media content listed on pages 52-54. What do people in this mission site read, listen to or watch? Describe the "typical" types of people in the mission site based on this information. How does this information shape the ways in which the missional team should present the gospel and the church? What mediums could be used to communicate with people at ths mission site more effectively?
- 4. Review the print, radio and television media usage on pages 56-59. Describe the frequency and times of media usage by people at this mission site. How does this inform what media the missional team should use and when the media should be employed?

Somerville Hokes Bluff Brookside Roanoke Loxley Butler Shiloh Centre Wetumpka Town Creek Frisco City Lowndesboro Smoke Rise McMullen Talladega Thorsby Intercultural Institute In Union Grove Newton Ariton Brighton Mount Olive St. Florian Fulto for Confectual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

Biblical Missional Multiplication

Biblical missional multiplication builds upon disciplemaking and spiritual reproduction. Jesus and his disciples multiplied their efforts by investing in relationships with others. The diagram to the right illustrates how social networking in the New Testament era was behind the success of this multiplication.

The MissionSite report provides insights into ways that we too can build redemptive relationships and foster missional multiplication at this site location.




Abbeville Vance Woodland Concord Pinckard Cleveland Banks Hillsboro Willbrook Black Hobson Site Kinston Demopolis Aliceville East Brewton Cordova Dutton Town Intercultural Institute Greensboro Webb Winfield Westover Monroeville Ethelsville Lynn ©Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Beesburg Pine Apple Midland City Redstone Arsenal 62

Establishing Redemptive Relationships

Missional Multiplication occurs when redemptive relationships move people through a process to bring people to Christ. This process is most successful as we relate to people based on their identity. Five types of identity enable us to connect with people and see them move through this process.

Communication Steps

- 1. Category-to-Category: Connect through a common social identity; some activity, interest, or behavior that you share with the person.
- 2. Face-to-Face: Begin interacting with the person; building a personal rapport.
- 3. Mind-to-Mind: After building a rapport, move the conversation towards spiritual issues.
- 4. Heart-to-Heart: As the Holy Spirit leads you, wrap the gospel presentation around a theme that connects with the person.
- 5. Spirit-to-Spirit: This is the level at which the Holy Spirit works speaks to our inner self. The previous 4 steps facilitates the Holy Spirit doing his regenerative work.






Your MissionSite and the Missional Suite

The site location of this MissionSite report is based upon the SITESCAPE typology developed by the staff of IICM. The SITESCAPE is an analysis of the 0-1/2 mile, 0-1 1/2 mile, 0-3 mile, 3-7 mile, 7-10 mile, and 10-15 mile bands around each of the 65,370 US census tract centroids (or geographical centers). Each census tract has been assigned a large number of variables based upon this analysis. These variables include information regarding the spirituallity of this particular location. This report relects a "Top Unreached Location" meaning that this site has a significant number of households that have not been reached by the gospel of Jesus Christ. Sitescape definitions may be found online at the MissionalCyclopedia.org site.

Additional help regarding this MissionSite location may be obtained at www.iicm.net. IICM provides access to a number of resources:

- Online personal missional profile at APEPT.org
- Custom reports at MissionalContext.org
- Custom mapping at MissionalCulturescape.org
- Missional information at MissionalCyclopedia.org
- Networking & Zipcode level data at MissionalCorps.org
- Information on top lifestyle groups at MissionalZipcode.org





Notes and Sources

- 1. Sitescape location and Evangelscape spirituality indicators data are datasets created by the staff of IICM by cross-tabulating multiple datasets from ScanUS, Experian, Simmons Market Research Bureau and TheAmericanChurch.org. These datasets are updated annually.
- 2. Demographic data are copyrighted by ScanUS and are licensed from ScanUS.
- 3. MOSAIC segmentation data are copyrighted by Experian US and are licensed through ScanUS
- 4. The consumer behavior data from Mediamark Research & Intelligence is copyrighted by them and is licensed through ScanUS.
- 5. The consumer behavior data from Simmons Market Research Bureau is copyrighted by them and is licensed through ScanUS.
- 6. The data from the departments of the US government is public data. US government sources include: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce; Economic Research Service, Department of Agriculture; National Center for Education Statistics, Department of Education.



APPENDIX: ALSBOM Churches by Distance

| | CHURCH | ADDRESS | DISTANCE | WRSHP AVG | IICM CGR |
|----|-------------------------|---|----------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1 | Calvary | 1121 Paul Bryant Dr. | 0.84 mi | 1,296 | Plateauing |
| 2 | The Lighthouse | Tuscaloosa, AL 35401 720 14th Street Tuscaloosa, AL 35401 | 0.97 mi | 100 | Declining |
| 3 | Open Door | 1761 McFarland Blvd N Tuscaloosa, AL 35406 | 1.21 mi | 299 | Declining |
| 4 | Forest Lake | 107 18th St Tuscaloosa, AL 35401 | 1.34 mi | 216 | Plateauing |
| 5 | Tuscaloosa First | 721 Greensboro Ave Tuscaloosa, AL 35401 | 1.55 mi | 1,682 | Plateauing |
| 6 | FBC Spanish Mission | 721 Greensboro Ave Tuscaloosa, AL 35401 | 1.57 mi | 8 | Growing |
| 7 | FBC Chinese Mission | 721 Greensboro Ave Tuscaloosa, AL 35401 | 1.57 mi | 40 | Growing |
| 8 | Pilgrim Rest | PO Box 40722 Tuscaloosa, AL 35404 | 1.81 mi | 105 | Growing |
| 9 | North River Church | 1081 Fairfax Park Tuscaloosa, AL 35406 | 1.87 mi | 160 | Growing |
| 10 | Alberta Spanish Mission | 2210 University Blvd E Tuscaloosa, AL 35404 | 1.91 mi | 39 | Growing |
| 11 | Alberta | 2210 University Blvd E Tuscaloosa, AL 35404 | 1.92 mi | 255 | Plateauing |
| 12 | Indian Lake | 3815 Watermelon Rd Northport, AL 35473 | 2.00 mi | 256 | Growing |
| 13 | Rosedale | 2424 28th St Tuscaloosa, AL 35401 | 2.14 mi | 142 | Declining |
| 14 | Temple | 2206 17th St E Tuscaloosa, AL 35404 | 2.26 mi | 67 | Declining |
| 15 | Northport | 1004 Main Ave Northport, AL 35476 | 2.37 mi | 461 | Plateauing |

Altoona Bessemer McKenzle Graysville Prichard Geraldine Town Creek Cullman Fyffe Clanton Vie Harvest Moundville Pinson Satsuma Eva Smiths Station Union Spring Intercultural Institute Snead Rainbow City Eufaula Brantley Newton Brookwood Nectar Reference Intercultural Institute for Confectual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Adamsville Brilliant Shorter Onycha Malvern Helena

APPENDIX: ALSBOM Churches by Distance - Continued

| | CHURCH | ADDRESS | DISTANCE | WRSHP AVG | IICM CGR |
|----|-------------------|--|----------|-----------|-----------------|
| 16 | Ridgecrest | 912 31st St E Tuscaloosa, AL 35405 | 2.37 mi | 303 | Plateauing |
| 17 | New Eastern Hills | 113 25th Ave E Tuscaloosa, AL 35404 | 2.49 mi | 49 | Plateauing |
| 18 | Circlewood | 2201 Loop Rd Tuscaloosa, AL 35405 | 2.66 mi | 542 | Plateauing |
| 19 | Northwood Hills | 3463 Highway 69 N Northport, AL 35473 | 2.68 mi | 128 | Plateauing |
| 20 | South Highlands | 128 39th Street East Tuscaloosa, AL 35405 | 2.92 mi | 146 | Declining |
| 21 | Northridge | 4115 Watermelon Rd Northport, AL 35473 | 3.12 mi | 233 | Declining |
| 22 | Hopewell | 3800 University Blvd E Tuscaloosa, AL 35404 | 3.17 mi | 144 | Plateauing |
| 23 | Philippine Globe | 175 Big Barn Rd Brent, AL 35034 | 3.17 mi | 15 | Growing |
| 24 | Holt | 3101 Alabama Ave NE Tuscaloosa, AL 35404 | 3.25 mi | 188 | Plateauing |
| 25 | Emmanuel | 4612 Rice Mine Rd NE Tuscaloosa, AL 35406 | 3.38 mi | 166 | Growing |
| 26 | Graceway | 5351 Kauloosa Ave Tuscaloosa, AL 35405 | 3.50 mi | 75 | Declining |
| 27 | Five Points | 3718 36th St Northport, AL 35473 | 3.70 mi | 444 | Growing |
| 28 | Skyland Boulevard | 3320 Skyland Blvd E Tuscaloosa, AL 35405 | 3.96 mi | 254 | Plateauing |
| 29 | Rose Boulevard | PO Box 472 Northport, AL 35476 | 4.08 mi | 18 | Declining |
| 30 | Woodland Park | 4620 Pelham Heights Rd Tuscaloosa, AL 35404 | 4.12 mi | 47 | Growing |

ge opanish Fort North Courtiand Pike

Alabaster Troy Smoke Rise Grayson Valley Providence Woodviller Intercultural Institute Grande Hamilton Hodges McKenzie Lincoln Madrid Opp Sylvan Sprin for Contextual Ministry Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

APPENDIX: ALSBOM Churches by Distance - Continued

| | CHURCH | ADDRESS | DISTANCE | WRSHP AVG | IICM CGR |
|----|------------------------------|---|----------|-----------|------------|
| 31 | Hargrove Road | 3004 Hargrove Rd E Tuscaloosa, AL 35405 | 4.12 mi | 47 | Growing |
| 32 | Good Shepherd | PO Box 1456 Tuscaloosa, AL 35403 | 4.27 mi | 55 | Plateauing |
| 33 | East McFarland | 6007 McFarland Blvd E Tuscaloosa, AL 35405 | 4.53 mi | 173 | Growing |
| 34 | Unity | 6622 Unity Rd Tuscaloosa, AL 35401 | 4.73 mi | 87 | Declining |
| 35 | Taylorville | 7201 Moundville Ln Tuscaloosa, AL 35405 | 4.78 mi | 149 | Growing |
| 36 | Safe Harbor | 6901 Highway 82 E Tuscaloosa, AL 35405 | 4.93 mi | 55 | Growing |
| 37 | Faith | PO Box 864 Cottondale, AL 35453 | 5.04 mi | 23 | Declining |
| 38 | Lord of the Harvest | PO Box 899 Northport, AL 35476 | 5.07 mi | 289 | Growing |
| 39 | Tuscaloosa's Eastview Church | PO Box 70246 Tuscaloosa, AL 35407 | 5.24 mi | 55 | Declining |
| 40 | New Haven | 1809 59th Ave E Cottondale, AL 35453 | 5.39 mi | 50 | Declining |
| 41 | Cottondale | PO Box 309 Cottondale, AL 35453 | 5.66 mi | 277 | Plateauing |
| 42 | Flatwoods | 4520 70th Ave Northport, AL 35473 | 5.69 mi | 131 | Declining |
| 43 | Valley View | 8820 Highway 69 S Tuscaloosa, AL 35405 | 5.83 mi | 555 | Plateauing |
| 44 | Chapel Hill | 8790 Highway 43 N Northport, AL 35473 | 5.97 mi | 469 | Plateauing |
| 45 | Woodland Forrest | 6701 Hargrove Rd E Tuscaloosa, AL 35405 | 6.26 mi | 0 | Plateauing |

nion Springs Hern Hill Prichard Fairfield Meadowbrook Selma Babbie La Fayette Nauvoo Franklin Fe Frisco City Brookwood Valley Head Beaverton Oxford Mignon Guin for Contextual Institute Repton Robert ©Copyright 2011, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry



6 Wateroak Court North Augusta, SC 29841

In Partnership with:



Alabama Baptist Convention State Board of Missions

This resource is made possible by gifts of Alabama Baptists through the Cooperative Program.

CONTACT US:

An evangelical mission agency supported by individuals, foundations, churches, denominations, mission agencies & para-church ministries. For more information about the mission and vision of IICM please visit www.iicm.net.

| cwatke@iicm.net |
|-----------------------------|
| 803-279-5828 |
| www.iicm.net |
| www.apept.org |
| www.missionalcoach.org |
| www.missionalcontext.org |
| www.missionalcorps.org |
| www.missionalcyclopedia.org |
| www.missionalzipcode.org |
| www.missionalpartners.org |
| |

