# MISSION POINTS Top Unreached Locations 



Top Mission Points in the Bay Area Association, Michigan

## Understanding Your Mission Point Report

The purpose of the Mission Point Report is to provide direction for pinpointing locations where missional activity is greatly needed. "Missional activity" may be defined as incarnational mission that leads to the reaching of new believers and the starting of new ministries and new congregations.

The Mission Point Report identifies the top unreached locations within the chosen geographical area. To arrive at this designation, a number of data sources have been used and cross-tabulated in order to make this determination. These locations are chosen based on the following factors within the site location:

1. A weighting algorithm that includes the following variables: number of households, percent of active evangelical presence, percent of unreached, and percent of religious - but not evangelical.
2. Ring size based on the IICM Sitescape location type: city (generally inner city or high density area) - $1 / 2$ mile ring; suburb - $11 / 2$ mile ring; towns - 3 mile ring; and country -7 mile ring.
3. Distance from other chosen locations based on the IICM Sitescape density location type: city -1-2 miles; suburb - 3-4 miles; town - 6-8 miles, and country - 14-16 miles.

Each Mission Point report identifies each location by census tract centroid, latitude \& longitude, city, county, IICM Sitescape category and group, density and population patterns, number of households (current year), and the number of households and percentage for: active evangelicals, unreached status, religious but not evangelical, spiritual but not evangelical, and not evangelical and not interested in religion or spirituality. A map of each location is also provided.

The community type and county type section provides an overview of the social environment of the location. Six types of communities are identified with the percentage given for the site location. These communities may provide the basis for developing missional learning clusters. Six types of counties are identified with a true/false designation for the site location.

The diversity index section highlights the five measures of how each mission site compares with other sites around the country. On a scale of $0-100$, the mission site is ranked in terms of language, ancestry, foreign born, racial and a composite index. The population density of the location is also compared to the rest of the country as well.

After the information pages you will find some notes to help interpret the information.
God bless your missional endeavors!
Curt Watke, Ph.D.

## Executive Director




## 3 MILE RING COMMUNITY TYPES

## 3 MILE RING COUNTY TYPES

| Upscale Community | $26.14 \%$ | Low Education County | False |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mainstay Community | $6.9 \%$ | Low Employment County | False |
| Working Community | $41.15 \%$ | Persistent Poverty County | False |
| Country Community | $1.22 \%$ | Population Loss County | True |
| Aspiring Community | $7.75 \%$ | Nonmetro Recreation County | False |
| Urban Community | $16.81 \%$ | Retirement Destination County | False |

## 3 MILE RING DIVERSITY INDEX (Least 0-Most 100)

| Language Diversity Index | 40.09 | Racial Diversity Index | 52.91 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ancestry Diversity Index | 56.80 | Diversity Composite Index | 50.67 |
| Foreign Born Diversity Index | 92.79 | Population Density Index | 62.97 |

Data Sources: IICM SITESCAPE; Simmons Market Research Bureau, ScanUS
Copyright 2012 by the Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry, Inc.


| 2 | LOCATION RANK IN REGIONAL SITESCAPE |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Census Tract | \#26017280900 |  |
| CT Centroid Latitude | 43.56504 |  |
| CT Centroid Longitude | -83.89473 |  |
| City Location | Bay City |  |
| County Location | Bay |  |
| Sitescape Category code | 3 |  |
| Sitescape Group code | 3.2 |  |
| Sitescape Category | Suburbscape |  |
| Sitescape Group | Medium Suburbs |  |
| Density Assignment | K |  |
| Population Pattern | $50000-50000-100000$ |  |
|  |  |  |

## 3 MILE RING SPIRITUALITY STATUS

| Total Households in 3 mi Ring | 16,561 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Active Evangelical HHLDS | 1,314 |
| Active Evangelical PERCENT | $7.94 \%$ |
| Uneached HHLDS | 11,321 |
| Unreached Percent | $68.36 \%$ |
| Religious but NOT Evang HHLDS | 2,646 |
| Religious but NOT Evang PERCENT | $15.98 \%$ |
| Spiritual but Not Religious or Evang HHLDS | 1,760 |
| Spiritual but Not Religious PERCENT | $10.63 \%$ |
| NOT Evangelical NOT Interested HHLDS | 6,919 |
| NOT Evangelical NOT Interested PERCENT | $41.78 \%$ |

## 3 MILE RING COMMUNITY TYPES

Upscale Community
Mainstay Community
Working Community
Country Community
Aspiring Community
Urban Community

3 MILE RING COUNTY TYPES

| Upscale Community | $20.25 \%$ | Low Education County | False |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mainstay Community | $7.31 \%$ | Low Employment County | False |
| Working Community | $55.09 \%$ | Persistent Poverty County | False |
| Country Community | $1.16 \%$ | Population Loss County | True |
| Aspiring Community | $1.86 \%$ | Nonmetro Recreation County | False |
| Urban Community | $14.33 \%$ | Retirement Destination County | False |

## 3 MILE RING DIVERSITY INDEX (Least 0-Most 100)

| Language Diversity Index | 31.14 | Racial Diversity Index | 21.73 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ancestry Diversity Index | 68.98 | Diversity Composite Index | 43.07 |
| Foreign Born Diversity Index | 81.40 | Population Density Index | 42.49 |

Data Sources: IICM SITESCAPE; Simmons Market Research Bureau, ScanUS
Copyright 2012 by the Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry, Inc.


3 LOCATION RANK IN REGIONAL SITESCAPE
Census Tract \#26111290300
CT Centroid Latitude
CT Centroid Longitude City Location County Location Sitescape Category code Sitescape Group code Sitescape Category Sitescape Group Density Assignment Population Pattern
43.63255
-84.22910
Midland
Midland

Townscape Medium Towns K
50000-50000-50000

## 3 MILE RING SPIRITUALITY STATUS

| Total Households in 3 mi Ring | 15,480 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Active Evangelical HHLDS | 1,630 |
| Active Evangelical PERCENT | $10.53 \%$ |
| Unreached HHLDS | 10,754 |
| Unreached Percent | $69.47 \%$ |
| Religious but NOT Evang HHLDS | 2,343 |
| Religious but NOT Evang PERCENT | $15.14 \%$ |
| Spiritual but Not Religious or Evang HHLDS | 1,944 |
| Spiritual but Not Religious PERCENT | $12.56 \%$ |
| NOT Evangelical NOT Interested HHLDS | 6,467 |
| NOT Evangelical NOT Interested PERCENT | $41.77 \%$ |

3 MILE RING COMMUNITY TYPES
Upscale Community
Mainstay Community
Working Community
Country Community
Aspiring Community
Urban Community

3 MILE RING COUNTY TYPES

| Upscale Community | $54.13 \%$ | Low Education County | False |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mainstay Community | $17.92 \%$ | Low Employment County | False |
| Working Community | $19.71 \%$ | Persistent Poverty County | False |
| Country Community | $3.13 \%$ | Population Loss County | False |
| Aspiring Community | $2.18 \%$ | Nonmetro Recreation County | False |
| Urban Community | $2.93 \%$ | Retirement Destination County | False |

## 3 MILE RING DIVERSITY INDEX (Least 0-Most 100)

| Language Diversity Index | 31.34 | Racial Diversity Index | 17.94 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ancestry Diversity Index | 80.46 | Diversity Composite Index | 35.12 |
| Foreign Born Diversity Index | 73.47 | Population Density Index | 42.58 |

Data Sources: IICM SITESCAPE; Simmons Market Research Bureau, ScanUS
Copyright 2012 by the Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry, Inc.


## 4 LOCATION RANK IN REGIONAL SITESCAPE

Census Tract \#26145010100

CT Centroid Latitude
CT Centroid Longitude
City Location
County Location
Sitescape Category code
Sitescape Group code Sitescape Category Sitescape Group Density Assignment Population Pattern
\#26145010100 43.52240 -84.12129
Freeland
Saginaw
2
2.1

Townscape
Small Towns
11
10000-50000-100000

## 3 MILE RING SPIRITUALITY STATUS

| Total Households in 3 mi Ring | 2,556 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Active Evangelical HHLDS | 366 |
| Active Evangelical PERCENT | $14.33 \%$ |
| Unreached HHLDS | 1,724 |
| Unreached Percent | $67.44 \%$ |
| Religious but NOT Evang HHLDS | 327 |
| Religious but NOT Evang PERCENT | $12.79 \%$ |
| Spiritual but Not Religious or Evang HHLDS | 326 |
| Spiritual but Not Religious PERCENT | $12.74 \%$ |
| NOT Evangelical NOT Interested HHLDS | 1,071 |
| NOT Evangelical NOT Interested PERCENT | $41.91 \%$ |

## 3 MILE RING COMMUNITY TYPES

Upscale Community
Mainstay Community
Working Community
Country Community
Aspiring Community
Urban Community

3 MILE RING COUNTY TYPES

| Upscale Community | $52.35 \%$ | Low Education County | False |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mainstay Community | $33.22 \%$ | Low Employment County | False |
| Working Community | $5.44 \%$ | Persistent Poverty County | False |
| Country Community | $2.11 \%$ | Population Loss County | True |
| Aspiring Community | $6.38 \%$ | Nonmetro Recreation County | False |
| Urban Community | $0.59 \%$ | Retirement Destination County | False |

## 3 MILE RING DIVERSITY INDEX (Least 0-Most 100)

| Language Diversity Index | 28.36 | Racial Diversity Index | 31.08 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ancestry Diversity Index | 61.03 | Diversity Composite Index | 37.80 |
| Foreign Born Diversity Index | 38.63 | Population Density Index | 20.47 |

Data Sources: IICM SITESCAPE; Simmons Market Research Bureau, ScanUS
Copyright 2012 by the Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry, Inc.


5 LOCATION RANK IN REGIONAL SITESCAPE
Census Tract
CT Centroid Latitude CT Centroid Longitude City Location County Location Sitescape Category code Sitescape Group code Sitescape Category Sitescape Group Density Assignment Population Pattern
\#26145011702 43.33073 -83.87969 Birch Run Saginaw 2
2.1 Townscape Small Towns C
10000-100000-100000

## 3 MILE RING SPIRITUALITY STATUS

| Total Households in 3 mi Ring | 2,734 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Active Evangelical HHLDS | 390 |
| Active Evangelical PERCENT | $14.28 \%$ |
| Unreached HHLDS | 1,845 |
| Ureached Percent | $67.5 \%$ |
| Religious but NOT Evang HHLDS | 458 |
| Religious but NOT Evang PERCENT | $16.75 \%$ |
| Spiritual but Not Religious or Evang HHLDS | 295 |
| Spiritual but Not Religious PERCENT | $10.79 \%$ |
| NOT Evangelical NOT Interested HHLDS | 1,092 |
| NOT Evangelical NOT Interested PERCENT | $39.95 \%$ |

## 3 MILE RING COMMUNITY TYPES

## 3 MILE RING COUNTY TYPES

| Upscale Community | $6 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mainstay Community | $24.54 \%$ |
| Working Community | $44.55 \%$ |
| Country Community | $8.01 \%$ |
| Aspiring Community | $7.32 \%$ |
| Urban Community | $9.62 \%$ |


| Low Education County | False |
| :--- | :--- |
| Low Employment County | False |
| Persistent Poverty County | False |
| Population Loss County | True |
| Nonmetro Recreation County | False |
| Retirement Destination County | False |

## 3 MILE RING DIVERSITY INDEX (Least 0-Most 100)

| Language Diversity Index | 22.57 | Racial Diversity Index | 26.07 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ancestry Diversity Index | 60.66 | Diversity Composite Index | 31.11 |
| Foreign Born Diversity Index | 31.57 | Population Density Index | 16.69 |

Data Sources: IICM SITESCAPE; Simmons Market Research Bureau, ScanUS
Copyright 2012 by the Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry, Inc.


6

LOCATION RANK IN REGIONAL SITESCAPE
Census Tract \#26073950500
CT Centroid Latitude
CT Centroid Longitude
City Location
County Location
Sitescape Category code
Sitescape Group code Sitescape Category Sitescape Group Density Assignment Population Pattern
43.60091
-84.77832
Mount Pleasant
Isabella
2
2.2

Townscape Medium Towns K
50000-50000-10000

## 3 MILE RING SPIRITUALITY STATUS

| Total Households in 3 mi Ring | 13,148 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Active Evangelical HHLDS | 533 |
| Active Evangelical PERCENT | $4.05 \%$ |
| Unreached HHLDS | 9,749 |
| Unreached Percent | $74.15 \%$ |
| Religious but NOT Evang HHLDS | 1,838 |
| Religious but NOT Evang PERCENT | $13.98 \%$ |
| Spiritual but Not Religious or Evang HHLDS | 2,451 |
| Spiritual but Not Religious PERCENT | $18.64 \%$ |
| NOT Evangelical NOT Interested HHLDS | 5,460 |
| NOT Evangelical NOT Interested PERCENT | $41.53 \%$ |

## 3 MILE RING COMMUNITY TYPES

Upscale Community
Mainstay Community
Working Community
Country Community
Aspiring Community
Urban Community

3 MILE RING COUNTY TYPES

| Low Education County | False |
| :--- | :--- |
| Low Employment County | False |
| Persistent Poverty County | False |
| Population Loss County | False |
| Nonmetro Recreation County | True |
| Retirement Destination County | False |

## 3 MILE RING DIVERSITY INDEX (Least 0-Most 100)

| Language Diversity Index | 33.35 | Racial Diversity Index | 27.59 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ancestry Diversity Index | 85.74 | Diversity Composite Index | 44.98 |
| Foreign Born Diversity Index | 83.47 | Population Density Index | 41.17 |

Data Sources: IICM SITESCAPE; Simmons Market Research Bureau, ScanUS
Copyright 2012 by the Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry, Inc.


7 LOCATION RANK IN REGIONAL SITESCAPE
Census Tract \#26145011902
CT Centroid Latitude
CT Centroid Longitude
City Location
County Location Sitescape Category code Sitescape Group code Sitescape Category Sitescape Group Density Assignment Population Pattern
43.35944 -84.13906
Saginaw
Saginaw
2
2.1

Townscape
Small Towns
A
10000-50000-100000

## 3 MILE RING SPIRITUALITY STATUS

| Total Households in 3 mi Ring | 1,645 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Active Evangelical HHLDS | 264 |
| Active Evangelical PERCENT | $16.04 \%$ |
| Unreached HHLDS | 1,045 |
| Unreached Percent | $63.51 \%$ |
| Religious but NOT Evang HHLDS | 163 |
| Religious but NOT Evang PERCENT | $9.88 \%$ |
| Spiritual but Not Religious or Evang HHLDS | 236 |
| Spiritual but Not Religious PERCENT | $14.33 \%$ |
| NOT Evangelical NOT Interested HHLDS | 647 |
| NOT Evangelical NOT Interested PERCENT | $39.3 \%$ |

## 3 MILE RING COMMUNITY TYPES

Upscale Community
Mainstay Community
Working Community
Country Community
Aspiring Community
Urban Community

3 MILE RING COUNTY TYPES

| Upscale Community | $18.18 \%$ | Low Education County | False |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mainstay Community | $48.15 \%$ | Low Employment County | False |
| Working Community | $4.86 \%$ | Persistent Poverty County | False |
| Country Community | $19.51 \%$ | Population Loss County | True |
| Aspiring Community | $0 \%$ | Nonmetro Recreation County | False |
| Urban Community | $9.36 \%$ | Retirement Destination County | False |

## 3 MILE RING DIVERSITY INDEX (Least 0-Most 100)

| Language Diversity Index | 6.41 | Racial Diversity Index | 12.51 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ancestry Diversity Index | 48.91 | Diversity Composite Index | 25.44 |
| Foreign Born Diversity Index | 72.68 | Population Density Index | 11.36 |

Data Sources: IICM SITESCAPE; Simmons Market Research Bureau, ScanUS
Copyright 2012 by the Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry, Inc.


8

## LOCATION RANK IN REGIONAL SITESCAPE

## 3 MILE RING SPIRITUALITY STATUS

Census Tract \#26017286100

CT Centroid Latitude
CT Centroid Longitude City Location County Location Sitescape Category code Sitescape Group code Sitescape Category Sitescape Group Density Assignment Population Pattern
43.69089 -84.02018 Kawkawlin Bay 2 2.1 Townscape Small Towns 11 10000-50000-100000
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Total Households in } 3 \mathrm{mi} \text { Ring } & 1,218 \\ \text { Active Evangelical HHLDS } & 105 \\ \text { Active Evangelical PERCENT } & 8.64 \%\end{array}$
Unreached HHLDS 799
Unreached Percent 65.56\%
Religious but NOT Evang HHLDS 120
Religious but NOT Evang PERCENT 9.82\%
Spiritual but Not Religious or Evang HHLDS 171
Spiritual but Not Religious PERCENT 14.05\%
NOT Evangelical NOT Interested HHLDS 508
NOT Evangelical NOT Interested PERCENT 41.7\%

3 MILE RING COMMUNITY TYPES
Upscale Community
Mainstay Community
Working Community
Country Community
Aspiring Community
Urban Community

3 MILE RING COUNTY TYPES

| Upscale Community | $29.39 \%$ | Low Education County | False |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mainstay Community | $47.7 \%$ | Low Employment County | False |
| Working Community | $3.69 \%$ | Persistent Poverty County | False |
| Country Community | $18.56 \%$ | Population Loss County | True |
| Aspiring Community | $0 \%$ | Nonmetro Recreation County | False |
| Urban Community | $0.66 \%$ | Retirement Destination County | False |

## 3 MILE RING DIVERSITY INDEX (Least 0-Most 100)

| Language Diversity Index | 6.18 | Racial Diversity Index | 6.68 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ancestry Diversity Index | 62.23 | Diversity Composite Index | 26.79 |
| Foreign Born Diversity Index | 56.94 | Population Density Index | 14.45 |

Data Sources: IICM SITESCAPE; Simmons Market Research Bureau, ScanUS
Copyright 2012 by the Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry, Inc.


9 LOCATION RANK IN REGIONAL SITESCAPE
Census Tract \#26111291600
CT Centroid Latitude CT Centroid Longitude City Location County Location Sitescape Category code Sitescape Group code Sitescape Category Sitescape Group Density Assignment Population Pattern
43.71237 -84.39909
Sanford
Midland

10000-50000-50000

3 MILE RING SPIRITUALITY STATUS
Total Households in 3 mi Ring 2,268
Active Evangelical HHLDS 240
Active Evangelical PERCENT $10.58 \%$
Unreached HHLDS
Unreached Percent
Religious but NOT Evang HHLDS
Religious but NOT Evang PERCENT $15.7 \%$
Spiritual but Not Religious or Evang HHLDS 280
Spiritual but Not Religious PERCENT 12.34\%
NOT Evangelical NOT Interested HHLDS 935
NOT Evangelical NOT Interested PERCENT $41.23 \%$

3 MILE RING COMMUNITY TYPES
Upscale Community
Mainstay Community
Working Community
Country Community
Aspiring Community
Urban Community

3 MILE RING COUNTY TYPES

| Upscale Community | $55.03 \%$ | Low Education County | False |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mainstay Community | $22.22 \%$ | Low Employment County | False |
| Working Community | $10.32 \%$ | Persistent Poverty County | False |
| Country Community | $12.39 \%$ | Population Loss County | False |
| Aspiring Community | $0 \%$ | Nonmetro Recreation County | False |
| Urban Community | $0 \%$ | Retirement Destination County | False |

## 3 MILE RING DIVERSITY INDEX (Least 0-Most 100)

| Language Diversity Index | 4.03 | Racial Diversity Index | 2.37 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ancestry Diversity Index | 72.27 | Diversity Composite Index | 20.43 |
| Foreign Born Diversity Index | 34.24 | Population Density Index | 15.71 |

Data Sources: IICM SITESCAPE; Simmons Market Research Bureau, ScanUS
Copyright 2012 by the Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry, Inc.


10 LOCATION RANK IN REGIONAL SITESCAPE
Census Tract \#26057990400
CT Centroid Latitude
CT Centroid Longitude
City Location
County Location Sitescape Category code Sitescape Group code Sitescape Category Sitescape Group Density Assignment Population Pattern
43.38776
-84.66120
Alma
Gratiot
2
2.2

Townscape Medium Towns K
50000-50000-50000

## 3 MILE RING SPIRITUALITY STATUS

Total Households in 3 mi Ring 4,989
Active Evangelical HHLDS
Active Evangelical PERCENT
Unreached HHLDS
Unreached Percent $\quad 65.93 \%$
Religious but NOT Evang HHLDS 677
Religious but NOT Evang PERCENT 13.58\%
Spiritual but Not Religious or Evang HHLDS 597
Spiritual but Not Religious PERCENT
NOT Evangelical NOT Interested HHLDS
NOT Evangelical NOT Interested PERCENT $\quad 40.39 \%$


11 LOCATION RANK IN REGIONAL SITESCAPE
Census Tract \#26051990700
CT Centroid Latitude CT Centroid Longitude City Location County Location Sitescape Category code Sitescape Group code Sitescape Category Sitescape Group Density Assignment Population Pattern
43.87715
-84.51055
Beaverton
Gladwin

Townscape Small Towns 13
10000-10000-50000

## 3 MILE RING SPIRITUALITY STATUS

| Total Households in 3 mi Ring | 1,473 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Active Evangelical HHLDS | 115 |
| Active Evangelical PERCENT | $7.81 \%$ |
| Unreached HHLDS | 947 |
| Unreached Percent | $64.26 \%$ |
| Religious but NOT Evang HHLDS | 212 |
| Religious but NOT Evang PERCENT | $14.4 \%$ |
| Spiritual but Not Religious or Evang HHLDS | 159 |
| Spiritual but Not Religious PERCENT | $10.81 \%$ |
| NOT Evangelical NOT Interested HHLDS | 577 |
| NOT Evangelical NOT Interested PERCENT | $39.2 \%$ |

## 3 MILE RING COMMUNITY TYPES

Upscale Community
Mainstay Community
Working Community
Country Community
Aspiring Community
Urban Community

3 MILE RING COUNTY TYPES

| 3 MILE RING COUNTY TYPES |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Low Education County | False |
| Low Employment County | True |
| Persistent Poverty County | False |
| Population Loss County | False |
| Nonmetro Recreation County | True |
| Retirement Destination County | True |

## 3 MILE RING DIVERSITY INDEX (Least 0-Most 100)

| Language Diversity Index | 7.53 | Racial Diversity Index | 6.49 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ancestry Diversity Index | 69.71 | Diversity Composite Index | 17.01 |
| Foreign Born Diversity Index | 37.95 | Population Density Index | 12.68 |

Data Sources: IICM SITESCAPE; Simmons Market Research Bureau, ScanUS
Copyright 2012 by the Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry, Inc.


## 12 LOCATION RANK IN REGIONAL SITESCAPE

## Census Tract \#26035980600

CT Centroid Latitude
CT Centroid Longitude
City Location
County Location Sitescape Category code Sitescape Group code Sitescape Category Sitescape Group Density Assignment Population Pattern
43.82383
-84.77194
Clare
Clare
2
2.1

Townscape
Small Towns
K
10000-10000-10000

## 3 MILE RING SPIRITUALITY STATUS

| Total Households in 3 mi Ring | 2,208 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Active Evangelical HHLDS | 163 |
| Active Evangelical PERCENT | $7.39 \%$ |
| Unreached HHLDS | 1,466 |
| Unreached Percent | $66.39 \%$ |
| Religious but NOT Evang HHLDS | 286 |
| Religious but NOT Evang PERCENT | $12.97 \%$ |
| Spiritual but Not Religious or Evang HHLDS | 271 |
| Spiritual but Not Religious PERCENT | $12.27 \%$ |
| NOT Evangelical NOT Interested HHLDS | 909 |
| NOT Evangelical NOT Interested PERCENT | $41.15 \%$ |

3 MILE RING COMMUNITY TYPES
Upscale Community
Mainstay Community
Working Community
Country Community
Aspiring Community
Urban Community

3 MILE RING COUNTY TYPES

| Upscale Community | $11.23 \%$ | Low Education County | False |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mainstay Community | $3.85 \%$ | Low Employment County | True |
| Working Community | $45.47 \%$ | Persistent Poverty County | False |
| Country Community | $18.66 \%$ | Population Loss County | False |
| Aspiring Community | $0 \%$ | Nonmetro Recreation County | True |
| Urban Community | $20.83 \%$ | Retirement Destination County | True |

## 3 MILE RING DIVERSITY INDEX (Least 0-Most 100)

| Language Diversity Index | 11.18 | Racial Diversity Index | 6.33 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ancestry Diversity Index | 79.11 | Diversity Composite Index | 27.45 |
| Foreign Born Diversity Index | 63.61 | Population Density Index | 10.99 |

Data Sources: IICM SITESCAPE; Simmons Market Research Bureau, ScanUS
Copyright 2012 by the Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry, Inc.


## 13 LOCATION RANK IN REGIONAL SITESCAPE

## Census Tract \#26145012700

CT Centroid Latitude
CT Centroid Longitude
City Location
County Location
Sitescape Category code
Sitescape Group code Sitescape Category Sitescape Group Density Assignment Population Pattern
43.17865
-84.11445
Chesaning
Saginaw
2
2.1

Townscape
Small Towns 13
10000-10000-50000

## 3 MILE RING SPIRITUALITY STATUS

| Total Households in 3 mi Ring | 1,786 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Active Evangelical HHLDS | 261 |
| Active Evangelical PERCENT | $14.62 \%$ |
| Unreached HHLDS | 1,191 |
| Unreached Percent | $66.69 \%$ |
| Religious but NOT Evang HHLDS | 245 |
| Religious but NOT Evang PERCENT | $13.71 \%$ |
| Spiritual but Not Religious or Evang HHLDS | 220 |
| Spiritual but Not Religious PERCENT | $12.3 \%$ |
| NOT Evangelical NOT Interested HHLDS | 727 |
| NOT Evangelical NOT Interested PERCENT | $40.7 \%$ |

Total Households in 3 mi Ring $\quad 1,786$
Active Evangelical HHLDS 261
Active Evangelical PERCENT $14.62 \%$
Unreached HHLDS
1,191
6.69

Religious but NOT Evang HHLDS 245
Religious but NOT Evang PERCENT $13.71 \%$
Spiritual but Not Religious or Evang HHLDS 220
SOT Eval R NOT 727
NOT Evangelical NOT Interested PERCENT 40.7\%
$\qquad$

## 3 MILE RING COUNTY TYPES

## 3 MILE RING COMMUNITY TYPES

| Upscale Community | $14.84 \%$ | Low Education County | False |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mainstay Community | $26.48 \%$ | Low Employment County | False |
| Working Community | $46.7 \%$ | Persistent Poverty County | False |
| Country Community | $10.97 \%$ | Population Loss County | True |
| Aspiring Community | $0 \%$ | Nonmetro Recreation County | False |
| Urban Community | $1.01 \%$ | Retirement Destination County | False |

## 3 MILE RING DIVERSITY INDEX (Least 0-Most 100)

| Language Diversity Index | 22.44 | Racial Diversity Index | 15.58 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ancestry Diversity Index | 50.78 | Diversity Composite Index | 21.88 |
| Foreign Born Diversity Index | 54.71 | Population Density Index | 14.20 |

Data Sources: IICM SITESCAPE; Simmons Market Research Bureau, ScanUS
Copyright 2012 by the Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry, Inc.


| 14 | LOCATION RANK IN REGIONAL SITESCAPE | 3 MILE RING SPIRITUALITY STATUS |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Census Tract | \#26035981200 | Total Households in 3 mi Ring | 2,413 |
| CT Centroid Latitude | 44.01719 | Active Evangelical HHLDS | 189 |
| CT Centroid Longitude | -84.74316 | Active Evangelical PERCENT | $7.82 \%$ |
| City Location | Harrison | Unreached HHLDS | 1,552 |
| County Location | Clare | Unreached Percent | $64.33 \%$ |
| Sitescape Category code | 2 | Religious but NOT Evang HHLDS | 306 |
| Sitescape Group code | 2.1 | Religious but NOT Evang PERCENT | $12.69 \%$ |
| Sitescape Category | Townscape | Spiritual but Not Religious or Evang HHLDS | 365 |
| Sitescape Group | Small Towns | Spiritual but Not Religious PERCENT | $15.14 \%$ |
| Density Assignment | K | NOT Evangelical NOT Interested HHLDS | 881 |
| Population Pattern | $10000-10000-10000$ | NOT Evangelical NOT Interested PERCENT | $36.51 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## 3 MILE RING COMMUNITY TYPES

| Upscale Community | $0 \%$ | Low Education County | False |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mainstay Community | $12.89 \%$ | Low Employment County | True |
| Working Community | $39.25 \%$ | Persistent Poverty County | False |
| Country Community | $37.3 \%$ | Population Loss County | False |
| Aspiring Community | $0.33 \%$ | Nonmetro Recreation County | True |
| Urban Community | $10.19 \%$ | Retirement Destination County | True |

## 3 MILE RING DIVERSITY INDEX (Least 0-Most 100)

| Language Diversity Index | 6.06 | Racial Diversity Index | 9.63 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ancestry Diversity Index | 82.14 | Diversity Composite Index | 20.00 |
| Foreign Born Diversity Index | 50.50 | Population Density Index | 17.09 |

Data Sources: IICM SITESCAPE; Simmons Market Research Bureau, ScanUS
Copyright 2012 by the Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry, Inc.


15 LOCATION RANK IN REGIONAL SITESCAPE
Census Tract \#26051990900
CT Centroid Latitude CT Centroid Longitude City Location County Location Sitescape Category code Sitescape Group code Sitescape Category Sitescape Group Density Assignment Population Pattern
43.84701
-84.30254
Beaverton
Gladwin
1
1.2

Countryscape
Distant Settlements
K
2500-10000-10000

3 MILE RING SPIRITUALITY STATUS
Total Households in 3 mi Ring $\quad 1,524$
Active Evangelical HHLDS 120
Active Evangelical PERCENT $\quad 7.86 \%$
Unreached HHLDS
977
Unreached Percent 64.14\%
Religious but NOT Evang HHLDS 211
Religious but NOT Evang PERCENT 13.87\%
Spiritual but Not Religious or Evang HHLDS 230
Spiritual but Not Religious PERCENT $15.11 \%$
NOT Evangelical NOT Interested HHLDS 536
NOT Evangelical NOT Interested PERCENT $35.16 \%$

3 MILE RING COMMUNITY TYPES
Upscale Community
Mainstay Community
Working Community
Country Community
Aspiring Community
Urban Community

3 MILE RING COUNTY TYPES

| Upscale Community | $15.16 \%$ | Low Education County | False |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mainstay Community | $8.73 \%$ | Low Employment County | True |
| Working Community | $22.38 \%$ | Persistent Poverty County | False |
| Country Community | $50.46 \%$ | Population Loss County | False |
| Aspiring Community | $0 \%$ | Nonmetro Recreation County | True |
| Urban Community | $3.22 \%$ | Retirement Destination County | True |

## 3 MILE RING DIVERSITY INDEX (Least 0-Most 100)

| Language Diversity Index | 34.06 | Racial Diversity Index | 2.69 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ancestry Diversity Index | 57.08 | Diversity Composite Index | 15.43 |
| Foreign Born Diversity Index | 31.57 | Population Density Index | 6.15 |

[^0]Copyright 2012 by the Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry, Inc.


## 16 LOCATION RANK IN REGIONAL SITESCAPE

Census Tract
CT Centroid Latitude CT Centroid Longitude City Location County Location Sitescape Category code Sitescape Group code Sitescape Category Sitescape Group Density Assignment Population Pattern
\#26017286300
43.86309
-83.97122
Pinconning
Bay
2
2.1

Townscape
Small Towns
13
10000-10000-10000

## 3 MILE RING SPIRITUALITY STATUS

Total Households in 3 mi Ring 1,436
Active Evangelical HHLDS 122
Active Evangelical PERCENT $8.51 \%$
Unreached HHLDS 950
Unreached Percent 66.12\%
Religious but NOT Evang HHLDS 190
Religious but NOT Evang PERCENT 13.2\%
Spiritual but Not Religious or Evang HHLDS 186
Spiritual but Not Religious PERCENT 12.98\%
NOT Evangelical NOT Interested HHLDS 574
NOT Evangelical NOT Interested PERCENT 39.96\%

3 MILE RING COMMUNITY TYPES
Upscale Community
Mainstay Community
Working Community
Country Community
Aspiring Community
Urban Community

3 MILE RING COUNTY TYPES

| Upscale Community | $6.75 \%$ | Low Education County | False |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mainstay Community | $26.95 \%$ | Low Employment County | False |
| Working Community | $41.71 \%$ | Persistent Poverty County | False |
| Country Community | $19.78 \%$ | Population Loss County | True |
| Aspiring Community | $0 \%$ | Nonmetro Recreation County | False |
| Urban Community | $4.87 \%$ | Retirement Destination County | False |

## 3 MILE RING DIVERSITY INDEX (Least 0-Most 100)

| Language Diversity Index | 9.41 | Racial Diversity Index | 7.85 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ancestry Diversity Index | 66.24 | Diversity Composite Index | 19.81 |
| Foreign Born Diversity Index | 44.83 | Population Density Index | 12.36 |

Data Sources: IICM SITESCAPE; Simmons Market Research Bureau, ScanUS
Copyright 2012 by the Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry, Inc.


## 17 LOCATION RANK IN REGIONAL SITESCAPE

Census Tract \#26111291700
CT Centroid Latitude
CT Centroid Longitude
City Location
County Location
Sitescape Category code
Sitescape Group code Sitescape Category Sitescape Group Density Assignment Population Pattern
43.74707
-84.55658
Coleman
Midland

Townscape Small Towns 13
10000-10000-50000

## 3 MILE RING SPIRITUALITY STATUS

Total Households in 3 mi Ring $\quad 1,119$
Active Evangelical HHLDS
Active Evangelical PERCENT
130
Unreached HHLDS
11.62\%

741
Unreached Percent 66.21\%
Religious but NOT Evang HHLDS 170
Religious but NOT Evang PERCENT 15.18\%
Spiritual but Not Religious or Evang HHLDS 131
Spiritual but Not Religious PERCENT
NOT Evangelical NOT Interested HHLDS
NOT Evangelical NOT Interested PERCENT
11.69\%

440
39.34\%

3 MILE RING COMMUNITY TYPES
Upscale Community
Mainstay Community
Working Community
Country Community
Aspiring Community
Urban Community

3 MILE RING COUNTY TYPES
S

| Low Education County | False |
| :--- | :--- |
| Low Employment County | False |
| Persistent Poverty County | False |
| Population Loss County | False |
| Nonmetro Recreation County | False |
| Retirement Destination County | False |

## 3 MILE RING DIVERSITY INDEX (Least 0-Most 100)

| Language Diversity Index | 3.53 | Racial Diversity Index | 3.44 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ancestry Diversity Index | 55.69 | Diversity Composite Index | 10.72 |
| Foreign Born Diversity Index | 27.83 | Population Density Index | 13.39 |

Data Sources: IICM SITESCAPE; Simmons Market Research Bureau, ScanUS
Copyright 2012 by the Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry, Inc.


| 18 | LOCATION RANK IN REGIONAL SITESCAPE | 3 MILE RING SPIRITUALITY STATUS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Census Tract | \#26051990300 | Total Households in 3 mi Ring | 1,061 |
| CT Centroid Latitude | 44.12070 | Active Evangelical HHLDS | 73 |
| CT Centroid Longitude | -84.47806 | Active Evangelical PERCENT | $6.92 \%$ |
| City Location | Gladwin | Unreached HHLDS | 727 |
| County Location | Gladwin | Unreached Percent | $68.48 \%$ |
| Sitescape Category code | 2 | Religious but NOT Evang HHLDS | 174 |
| Sitescape Group code | 2.1 | Religious but NOT Evang PERCENT | $16.43 \%$ |
| Sitescape Category | Townscape | Spiritual but Not Religious or Evang HHLDS | 162 |
| Sitescape Group | Small Towns | Spiritual but Not Religious PERCENT | $15.23 \%$ |
| Density Assignment | 13 | NOT Evangelical NOT Interested HHLDS | 391 |
| Population Pattern | $10000-2500-10000$ | NOT Evangelical NOT Interested PERCENT | $36.82 \%$ |


| 3 MILE RING COMMUNITY TYPES |  | 3 MILE RING COUNTY TYPES |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Upscale Community | $6.88 \%$ | Low Education County | False |
| Mainstay Community | $60.32 \%$ | Low Employment County | True |
| Working Community | $0 \%$ | Persistent Poverty County | False |
| Country Community | $30.63 \%$ | Population Loss County | False |
| Aspiring Community | $0 \%$ | Nonmetro Recreation County | True |
| Urban Community | $2.17 \%$ | Retirement Destination County | True |

## 3 MILE RING DIVERSITY INDEX (Least 0-Most 100)

| Language Diversity Index | 32.50 | Racial Diversity Index | 1.14 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ancestry Diversity Index | 80.93 | Diversity Composite Index | 23.81 |
| Foreign Born Diversity Index | 35.45 | Population Density Index | 7.96 |

Data Sources: IICM SITESCAPE; Simmons Market Research Bureau, ScanUS
Copyright 2012 by the Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry, Inc.


## 19 LOCATION RANK IN REGIONAL SITESCAPE

Census Tract
CT Centroid Latitude
CT Centroid Longitude City Location County Location Sitescape Category code Sitescape Group code Sitescape Category Sitescape Group Density Assignment Population Pattern
\#26011970400
44.04531
-83.67871
Au Gres
Arenac
1
1.2

Countryscape
Distant Settlements
K
2500-2500-2500

## 3 MILE RING SPIRITUALITY STATUS

Total Households in 3 mi Ring 897
Active Evangelical HHLDS 67
Active Evangelical PERCENT $7.44 \%$
Unreached HHLDS
Unreached Percent
Religious but NOT Evang HHLDS
590

Reigious but NOT Evang HHLDS 128
Religious but NOT Evang PERCENT 14.26\%
Spiritual but Not Religious or Evang HHLDS 133
Spiritual but Not Religious PERCENT $14.85 \%$
NOT Evangelical NOT Interested HHLDS 329
NOT Evangelical NOT Interested PERCENT 36.64\%
$\qquad$

## 3 MILE RING COUNTY TYPES

\left.| 3 MILE RING COMMUNITY TYPES |  | 3 MILE RING COUNTY TYPES |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\right]$| Upscale Community | $9.48 \%$ | Low Education County |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 3 MILE RING DIVERSITY INDEX (Least 0-Most 100) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Language Diversity Index | 8.68 | Racial Diversity Index | 5.58 |
| Ancestry Diversity Index | 82.73 | Diversity Composite Index | 21.89 |
| Foreign Born Diversity Index | 47.49 | Population Density Index | 5.23 |

[^1]Copyright 2012 by the Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry, Inc.

## Interpreting Your Mission Point Report

Your Mission Point Report has a number of key factors about the 3 mile ring around the census tract centroid that is referenced. These notes review these factors.

## 1. Census Tract

Each location is based upon a 3 mile ring study around the center of one of the 65,370 census tracts in the United States. For more information about a census tract please consult the US Census Bureau website or you can read the short article on census tracts at Wikipedia.

## 2. Latitude and Longitude

The latitude and longitude of the center of the census tract is provided for GPS purposes.

## 3. City Location

The City Location refers to the Census Place that is associated with the census tract location.

## 4. County Location

The County Location refers to the County in which the census tract is located.

## 5. Sitescape Category and Sitescape Category Code

The Sitescape Category refers to a typology that was created by IICM based on a number of factors in order to differentiate between types of rural, town, suburb, and city locations. The Sitescape Categories have been used in academic studies as an indexing tool to compare similar contexts.

## 6. Sitescape Group and Sitescape Group Code

The Sitescape Group label and code refers to divisions within the broader countryscape, townscape, suburbscape and cityscape types.

## 7. Density Pattern

The SITESCAPE measures the population density for the $0-3$ mile band, 3-7 mile band, and 7-10 mile band around a census tract centroid (center). The relationship between the density of these three bands produces 17 different density patterns. These density patterns may be grouped into one of three groups: increasing, decreasing, and constant variance. But who cares? Why is this important? It is significant because density patterns provide a glimpse into the type of location that is being evaluated.

There are three types of density patterns: Increasing, Decreasing and Constant. The density pattern when combined with the population pattern will enable you to get a feel for the type of community dynamics that are likely to be occurring within the location.

## Increasing Density Patterns

Locations with increasing patterns are typically located at the outer fringe of more highly urbanized areas. In many cases these are suburban areas (with less density) that are outside more dense areas (like inner city areas). There are 5 types of Increasing Density patterns (see the page 10:

A - Increasing Density at a Greater Rate
B - Increasing Density at a Similar Rate
C - Increasing Density at a Lesser Rate
D - Increased followed by Density Leveling Off
E - Increased followed by Decreased Density

## Constant Density Patterns

Locations with a constant variance are located within a similar pattern that dominates the ten mile ring. Thus, the degree of density in these situations may give some indication of the geographical extent of similar contexts. There are 3 types of Constant Density patterns

F - No Change followed by Increased Density
G - No Change remaining Constant Density Level
H - No Change followed by Decreased Density

## Decreasing Density Patterns

Locations with decreasing patterns are typically more urbanized that those areas nearby. This may mean that commuting may tend to flow inward to this type of location. There are 5 types Decreasing Density patterns:

I - Decreased Density followed by Density Increase
J - Decreased Density followed by Density Leveling Off
K - Decreasing Density at a Lesser Rate
L - Decreasing Density at a Similar Rate
M - Decreasing Density at a Greater Rate

## 8. Population Pattern

The population pattern refers to the number of households within the $0-3$ mile band, the $3-7$ mile band, and the 7-10 mile band. For example, in the Population Pattern \#100000-250000-100000", the first number represents the 0-3 mile band; the second number represents the 3-7 mile band, and the third number represents the 7-10 mile band.

100000 -- means that the population within the $0-3$ mile band is between 50000 and 100000
250000 -- means that the population within the 3-7 mile band is between 100000 and 250000
100000 -- means that the population within the $7-10$ mile band is between 50000 and 100000



## 9. Using Density and Population Patterns

Example: \#1 rank in Osecola, FL has the Density Pattern: K and the Population Pattern: \#100000-250000-100000. The density pattern, K , is "Decreasing Density at a Lesser Rate ".

## Interpreting the Population Pattern in light of the Density Pattern

When the Population Pattern is interpreted in light of the density pattern " K ", then, one will note the following:

1. While the population is greatest in the 3-7 mile band, it is much more dispersed across the landscape, with a significant less amount of density.
2. While the population in the $7-10$ mile band is much less that the $3-7$ mile band, it's density continues to decrease compared to either of the first to bands.

## What Does This Mean in Practical Terms?

1. The 0-3 mile band is much more concentrated in density -- which could mean:
-- greater sense of community and location identity among the population
-- probably a greater need for a larger number of smaller congregations -- meeting needs of diverse groups within the more densely populated area -- maybe servicing groups of about 135-150 in max attendance -- this depends on the degree of diversity within the concentrated band
2. The 3-7 mile band, while having a greater amount of population is more dispersed - which could mean: -- probably more "suburban-like" and commuter oriented
-- which means a more "regional' approach to church planting may be justified
3. The 7-10 mile band, with less population and less density may indicate a more "fringe type" location -- which means one should explore the type of lifestyle segments in this area -- are they more "rural-oriented" pointing back to populations who have been there for generations OR are they more "exurbanites" -- affluent yuppies fleeing the urban areas building upscale conclaves -- either direction represents a unique church planting environment

## 10. Total Households in 0-3mi Band

This gives the total number of households in the $0-3$ mile ring around the center of the census tract location.

## 11. Active Evangelical HHLDS and PERCENT

The Active Evangelical Presence refers to the number of households that we would expect to be in attendance in an evangelical church on an average Sunday in the location. This information is based upon the evangelical attendance patterns by county compiled by Dave Olson of the AmericanChurch.org that has been cross-referenced against a number of other datasets. The HHLDS count gives the number of households and the PERCENT gives the percentage of active evangelicals.

## 12. Unreached HHLDS and PERCENT

The Unreached Status refers to an estimate of the number of households in the 3 mile ring of the census tract centroid (center) that do not consider themselves to be evangelical Christian. An evangelical Christian is a person who professes to have accepted Jesus Christ as his or her personal Lord and Savior. This is based on a survey conducted by the Simmons Market Research Bureau.

## 13. Religious But Not Evangelical HHLDS and PERCENT

The Religious but not Evangelical category refers to an estimate of the number of households in the 3 mile ring of the census tract centroid (center) that do not consider themselves to be an evangelical Christian but consider themselves to be a part of some established religion or religious institution. The "religious but not evangelical" person usually is committed to a non-evangelical Christian denomination or some other world religious or religious group.

## 14. Spiritual But Not Religious HHLDS and PERCENT

The Spiritual but not Religious category refers to an estimate of the number of households in the 3 mile ring of the census tract centroid (center) that are interested in spirituality but are not interested in organized religion. This category includes alternative spiritualities and new age movements.

## 15. Not Evangelical Not Interested HHLDS and PERCENT

The Non-Evangelical and Not Interested category refers to an estimate of the number of households in the 3 mile ring of the census tract centroid (center) that do not consider themselves to be an evangelical Christian and are not interested in spirituality or religion.

## 16. Upscale Community PERCENT

The Upscale Community category refers to the percentage of households in the 3 mile ring that are considered affluent or upscale compared to the national average. These well-to-do households not only appear in suburbs, but also in small towns, on the metro fringe, and sometimes in urban environments.

## 17. Mainstay Community PERCENT

The Mainstay (meaning: pillar, bulwark, anchor) Community category refers to the percentage of households in the 3 mile ring that are established families primarily in secondary cities and small and medium-sized towns. Typically these households are the older, stable families who often are the "pillars" of smaller communities.

## 18. Working Community PERCENT

The Working Community refers to the percentage of households in the 3 mile ring whose adults work in blue-collar occupations. Typically these household workers are members of the working class who typically performs manual labor and earns an hourly wage.

## 19. Country Community PERCENT

The County Community refers to the percentage of households in the 3 mile ring who live in large and isolated areas of an open country with low population density. Many of these work in agriculture or mining.

## 20. Aspiring Community PERCENT

The Aspiring Community refers to the percentage of households in the 3 mile ring who typically are young, single, single parents, or childless couples; and typically living in multi-family housing. Some are students but most are employed. Included in this community are group-quarters such as: college dormitories, military housing, and prison facilities.

## 21. Urban Community PERCENT

The Urban Community refers to the percentage of households in the 3 mile ring who live in high population density areas. The Census Bureau defines any area with 2500 population or more as "urbanized." Urban Communities appear in the downtown and inner city areas of towns, cities, and metropolitan areas. It is not unusual for a densely populated area of a small town to be considered "an urban community." What they have in common is density of population and "town" or "city" living.

## 22. Low Education County TYPE

Low-education counties are those counties where at least one of every four adults age 25-64 has not completed high school. About $20 \%$ of the counties in the United States have been classified in this category with more than $90 \%$ of these appearing in the non-metro Southern region with historically large shares of Blacks and Hispanics. Similarly, low-education counties in the Western region are concentrated in areas with large ethnic minority populations, such as California's Central Valley and portions of Arizona and New Mexico.

## 23. Low Employment County TYPE

Low-employment counties are those counties where employment rates are below $65 \%$. Mostly rural, these counties have minimal capacity for generating jobs. These counties are found primarily in southern Appalachia, the Mississippi Delta, and other predominately Black areas in the Southern region of the US; in Indian and Hispanic areas of the Southwest region; and the timber and agricultural areas of the Northwest region.

## 24. Persistent Poverty County TYPE

Counties are persistently poor if 20 percent or more of their populations were living in poverty over the last 30 years. Although large numbers of the poor live in major metropolitan areas, poverty rates have been consistently higher in rural areas since the 1960 s, and these counties are not evenly distributed across the United States. A large number of these counties are in the Southern region of the United States.

## 25. Population Loss County TYPE

Population loss counties are those counties that have lost population over the last 30 years. Typically population loss signals weak economic conditions. These rural and small town communities often suffer out-migration due to loss of farm-related jobs resulting from technological advances in agriculture. Clusters of population loss counties may be found in the Great Plains (particularly North Dakota), the lower Mississippi Valley, and central Appalachia.

## 26. Nonmetro Recreation County TYPE

Nonmetropolitan recreation counties are those counties that have a major dependence on recreational activity. This dependence is measured based upon the percentage of employment, the annual earnings, and percentage of housing units that are tied to recreational use. About $14 \%$ of US counties have been designated as Nonmetro Recreation Counties. These counties are particularly common in the Great Lakes States, the Mountain West, and upland areas of the Northeast region.

## 27. Retirement Destination County TYPE

Retirement destination counties are those counties where the number of residents age 60 and older grew by 15 percent or more over the last 30 years due to in-migration. The majority of these counties are nonmetro counties where retirees are moving to small town and rural communities.

## 28. Language Diversity INDEX

Language Diversity of a three mile ring around the center of the Census Tract on a percentile scale compared with all other Census Tracts' rings in the US. The most diverse location is that which has the most number of languages represented within the three mile ring.

## 29. Ancestry Diversity INDEX

Ancestry Diversity of a three mile ring around the center of the Census Tract on a percentile scale compared with all other Census Tracts' rings in the US. The most diverse location is that which has the most number of ancestries represented within the three mile ring.

## 30. Foreign Born Diversity INDEX

Foreign Born Diversity of a three mile ring around the center of the Census Tract on a percentile scale compared with all other Census Tracts' rings in the US. The most diverse location is that which has the largest number of countries of origin represented among the foreign born population within the three mile ring.

## 31. Racial Diversity INDEX

Racial Diversity of a three mile ring around the center of the Census Tract on a percentile scale compared with all other Census Tracts' rings in the US. The most diverse location is that which has the most even balance between a large number of races represented within the three mile ring.

## 32. Diversity Composite INDEX

Diversity Composite of a three mile ring around the center of the Census Tract on a percentile scale compared with all other Census Tracts' rings in the US. The Diversity Composite Index weights the language, ancestry, foreign born, and racial diversity indexes into an overall diversity score. This particular location is then compared to all other locations in the US.

## 33. Population Density INDEX

Population Density of a three mile ring around the Census Tract on a percentile scale compared with all other Census Tracts' bands in the US. Population Density refers to the amount of population per square mile within the three mile ring.
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