
MissionSite top unreached locations



Beaverton Port Austin

Marlette DeWitt Croswell Dearborn Kingsley North County: Wayneg Walker Marion Peck Tawas on Harb^{on partnership} with the: Westphalia Mancelona Clawson Intercultural Institute Park Galesburg B

for Contextual Ministry ke Berrien Springs

ScSITESCAPE: Cityscape Three Oaks Lapeer Wood

DENSITY PATTERN: K Lawton Frankfort BAPTIST STATE Lindow Nov. Reego H

CONVENTION Oxford

Kalamazoo m**@Copyrightv2012, Intercultural(Instituterfor Contextual Ministry** Point Suttons Bay Clio Byron Center De Tour Village G

Warr

MissionSite (TM) Table of Contents

1	Site Location Summary	3
2	Spirituality Indicators	11
3	Current Demographic Summary	15
4	Projected Demographic Summary	20
5	Ethnographic Summary	25
6	Unreached Households by Lifestyle Segment	30
7	Identifying Focus Groups in this Location	35
8	Potential Cultural Bridges	36
9	Potential Cultural Barriers	42
10	Potential Cultural Themes	45
11	Potential Shared Places	47
12	Potential Shared Projects	49
13	Communication Media Content	51
14	Communication Media Usage	55
15	Using the Bridges, Barriers, Themes, etc.	59
16	Biblical Missional Multiplication	61
17	Establishing Redemption Relationships	62
18	Your MissionSite and the Missional Suite	63
19	Notes and Sources	65



Site Location Summary


The site location of this MissionSite (TM) report is based upon the SITESCAPE typology developed by the staff of IICM. The SITESCAPE is an analysis of the 0-0.5 mile, 0.5-1.5 mile, and 1.5-3 mile bands around each of the 65,399 US census tract centroids (or geographical centers). Each census tract has been assigned a large number of variables based upon this analysis. These variables include information regarding the spirituality of this particular location. This report reflects a "Top Unreached Location" meaning that this site has a significant number of households that have not been reached by the gospel of Jesus Christ. Sitescape definitions may be found online at the MissionalCyclopedia.org site.

	Location Typography	CODE	LOCATION
1	Region	26R05	Region Five
2	Association	26A05	Greater Detroit
3	County Location	26163	Wayne
4	Zipcode	48210	Wayne
5	Sitescape Category	4	Cityscape
6	Sitescape Group	4.1	Small Cities
7	Sitescape Subgroup	4.16	Small cities adjacent to a medium city in a metro area
8	Sitescape Density Pattern	K	250000-1000000-1000000


©Copyright 2012, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry City

Ontonagon

Cheboygan Casnovia



Site Location Summary - Map of the Site Location





Site Location Summary - Urbanicity

One key issue in site location is the degree of urbanicity - how rural or how urban is this setting? A number of government agencies have tackled this question in order to better compare various types of communities in the United States. The chart below provides a summary of how various government agencies have defined this particular location. IICM took the Rural Urban Commuting Areas (RUCA) from the US Department of Agriculture and created an index value based on the most rural value being a "0" and the most urban value being a "100". You will also see their ERS RUCA Commuting Value. The percent of households that commute from a non-metro to a metro area is also given.

	RURAL / URBAN	CODE	EXPLANATION
1	Metro or Non-Metro	1	Metro
2	Urban Influence	1	Large-in a metro area with at least 1 million residents or more
3	Rural / Urban Continuum	1	County in metro area with 1 million population or more
4	NCHS Rural Urban Codes	1	Large Central Metro - counties in metropolitan statistical area of 1 million or more population
5	NCES Urban Centric Locale	11	City: Large: Territory inside an urbanized area and inside a principal city with
	Codes		population of 250,000 or more
6	IICM RUCA Values Index	100	Metropolitan core commuting: No additional code
7	ERS RUCA Commuting	1	Metropolitan area core: primary flow within an urbanized area
	Value		
8	Percent Commuting to Metro	0	Percent commuting from non metro to metro areas

Site Location Summary - Band Composition

Below is a summary of the composition of the three bands around the center of the census tract. Values for the total population, and various types of group quarters in each band are provided below. In addition to current population estimates, each band has been compared to all of the other census tract bands around the country. Population density, language diversity, foreign born diversity, ancestry diversity and racial (broad census categories) diversity have all been indexed across the country with a "0" value representing the least value and 100 representing the most in each category. For an explanation of these indices please see the Sitescape article at MissionalCyclopedia.org.

BAND COMPOSITION	0-0.5 MILES	0.5-1.5 MILES	1.5-3 MILES
2010 Population	3,024	39,755	129,999
2010 Households	1,022	13,077	44,970
2010 Group Quarters Population	1	387	2,848

BAND COMPOSITION	0-3 MILES	3-7 MILES	7-10 MILES
Population Density National Index	89	86	88
Language Diversity National Index	83	62	48
Foreign Born Diversity National Index	29	41	83
Ancestry Diversity National Index	9	6	35
Racial Diversity National Index	87	57	70

Site Location Summary - County Environment

Corunna

In 2004, the US Department of Agriculture created a county typology that categorizes all of the 3140 US counties into 6 economic-dependency types and 7 other county-types. Although the specific bands of this report may differ from the dominant characteristics of the county, the county environment is useful to better understand the site location context. Further information about these categories may be found at the MissionalCyclopedia.org website.

COUNTY ENVIRONMENT	CODE	INDICATORS
Housing Stress County	0	False
Low-education County	0	False
Low-employment County	0	False
Persistent Poverty County	0	False
Population Loss County	1	True
Non-metro Recreation County	0	False
Retirement Destination County	0	False

ECONOMIC DEPENDENCY	CODE	INDICATORS
Farm-dependent county indicator	0	False
Mining-dependent county indicator	0	False
Manufacturing-dependent county indicator	1	True
Federal/State government-dependent county indicator	0	False
Services-dependent county indicator	0	False
Nonspecialized-dependent county indicator	0	False

Edwardsburg

Site Location Summary - Social Environment

The MOSAIC USA lifestyle segmentation system was created by Experian, a global company based in the UK. This segmentation system has the US population subdivided into 60 lifestyle segments and 12 social groups. IICM has built upon this by creating a typology of six social environments of which each segment and social group is a part. The chart below gives the number of households that are in the 0-0.5 mile band that are in each of the six social environment. The households in each environment share a common situation that produces shared cultural practices, values and spiritual issues. For an explanation of these community cultures, please consult the corresponding articles at MissionalCyclopedia.org.

SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT	0-0.5 MI BAND	HHLDS	PERCENT
Upscale Communities	Affluent, White-collar Families	0	0%
Mainstay Communities	Established, Diverse Households	51	4.99%
Working Communities	Blue-collar, Working Families	29	2.84%
Country Communities	Rural, Agri. & Mining Families	0	0%
Aspiring Communities	Young Singles / Aspiring-Multihousing	0	0%
Urban Communities	High Density, Inner-city Neighborhoods	942	92.17%

Otter Lake

Grand Blanc

Using the Site Location Summary

The site location summary has been designed to aid APEPT missional response teams, church planters and church planting sponsors in determining potential sites for starting new ministries and new congregations and choosing appropriate personnel for the location. Below is a list of several issues that need to be considered in choosing a potential site location.

Issues for Your Consideration

- 1. The Sitescape category, group and density pattern listed on page 3 identifies this location within a continuum that reaches from country to town to suburb to city. Ideally, church planters and missional team members should have already acculturated to this type of location. It may be difficult for a "City Person" to minister effectively in a "country" location and vice versa.
- 2. The urbanicity designations on page 5 may have implications for ministry development. The extent of commuting within a metro area may need to be considered in choosing a potential building site or in developing evening events or ministries. The percent of the population that commute from a non-metro to metro area may also need to be taken into consideration.

White Cloud

Level Park-Oak

West Bloomfield Township

Using the Site Location Summary

Issues for Your Consideration - continued

- 3. Band composition and the comparison of population between the bands on page 6 may have implications regarding types of anticipated ministry development from "a neighborhood" congregation to a more "regional" approach. The numbers and types of group quarters (usually some form of multi-family housing) may suggest the need for multi-housing ministry. The density and diversity indices compare this site to other site locations across the country. Values over 50 in each of these indicates a higher level of diversity or density than most other locations.
- 4. Each US location exists in a county or county-equivalent, as shown on page 7. This overall context may affect the environment of this site location. The type of economic dependence of a county may shape the background of a church planter that will be needed for this particular site.
- 5. The dominant social environments in this particular site noted on page 8 create specific cultural practices and values that will need to be taken into consideration when starting a new ministry or new congregation. Please read the summary articles at MissionalCyclopedia.org for the top 2 largest social environments. What implications do you see based on the dominant social environments in this location?

Spirituality Indicators Overview - Evangelscape

The Evangelscape is an IICM dataset based on a national study conducted by the Simmons Market Research Bureau as indexed to the MOSAIC lifestyle segmentation system. The dataset includes the percent of households for each MOSAIC lifestyle segment that are considered "unreached," "religious but not evangelical," "spiritual but not religious or evangelical," and "not evangelical and not interested in religion or spirituality." For an explanation of these terms see the Evangelscape article at MissionalCyclopedia.org.

The chart below compares the count and percent of households in the county with the count and percent of households in the 0-0.5 mile band that are in each category. The index value assumes that if the county percent and the 0-0.5 mile band percent were both the same, the index would be 100. Thus values lower than 100 reflect categories where the percentage in the 0-0.5 mile band are lower than the county average. The values that are higher than 100 reflect categories where the percentage is higher for the 0-0.5 mile band. A value of 200 would be twice the value of 100 (which would be a 100% increase over the county average).

EVANGELSCAPE: SPIRITUALITY	COUNTY	0-0.5 MILE BAND	% INDEX
Unreached Households	489,789	692	0.14%
Unreached %	70.04%	67.71%	96.67
Religious But NOT Evangelical HH	152,338	284	0.19%
Religious But NOT Evangelical %	21.78%	27.77%	127.49
Spiritual But NOT Relig or Evang HH	56,789	4	0.01%
Spiritual But NOT Relig or Evang %	8.12%	0.39%	4.81
Not Evangelical, Not Interested HH	286,981	456	0.16%
Not Evangelical, Not Interested %	41.04%	44.63%	108.75



Spirituality Indicators - Churchscape

IICM's Churchscape indicates the number of BSCM within 3 miles of the site location. It also compares the percentage of active and inactive evangelicals in the county to those in this site location. The index value provides a snapshot of how this site location compares to the county levels. The number of new churches needed at this site location is based upon the need to have one church for every 2000 households (or roughly every 4-5,000 people).

ECCLESCAPE: CHURCHES	COUNTY	3 MILE	PERCENT
		RING	&INDEX
Num of BSCM Churches	0	0	0%
Active BSCM Attenders	0	0	0%
Active Evangelical Households	78,825	6,763	8.58%
Active Evangelical Percent	11.27%	11.45%	101.58
Inactive Evangelical Households	130,709	11,215	8.58%
Inactive Evangelical Percent	18.69%	18.99%	101.58
# New Churches Needed	350	30	8.45%



Using the Spirituality Indicators

Below are some issues related to the spirituality indicators that you may want to consider as you review this site as a potential location for a new ministry or new congregation.

Issues for Your Consideration


- 1. The Evangelscape on page 11 provides an overview of the spiritual topography of this location. While the percent of unreached households is significant, the other percentages may need further clarification as you conduct an on-site review. The percentage of the population who are religious (meaning actively attend some form of institutionalized religion) and spiritual (meaning embracing spirituality but not institutional religion) may require further investigation. Those in the "not evangelical, but not interested in religion or spirituality" category may require an outreach process rooted in incarnational ministry.
- 2. Your team may want to seriously discuss the Churchscape on page 12. The percentage of active evangelicals in this site is a key figure for you to consider both in terms of recruiting additional help, but also in terms of the significance of the need for a new ministry or new congregation. The number of new churches needed is another significant value.

Harbor Springs

The population and household figures from IICM's Demoscape report are given below for this site location. Historical figures from the 1990 and 2000 US Census precede the current year estimates for the 0-0.5 mile band. The percentage of the county population and number of households that appears in the 0-0.5 mile band is given for each year. Location types refer to residential, business and seasonal use

DEMOSCAPE	COUNTY	BAND	% OF CO
1990 Population	2,111,687	5,472	0.26%
2000 Population	2,061,162	4,440	0.22%
2010 Population	1,898,563	3,024	0.16%

DEMOSCAPE	COUNTY	BAND	% OF CO
1990 Households	780,532	2,025	0.26%
2000 Households	768,440	1,528	0.2%
2010 Households	699,322	1,022	0.15%




Location Type	0-0.5mi Band
Residential	1,122
Residential Apt.	84
Residential Non-Apt.	1,038
Business	72
Seasonal	0
USPS Residential	1,145
USPS Business	75

A current year demographic summary of age categories for the site location appears on the right.

For each category both county and 0-0.5 mile band percentages are given. The Index value assumes that if the county percent and the 0-0.5 mile band percent were both the same, the index would be 100.


Age Group Percentages



2010 POP. ESTIMATES	COUNTY	BAND	INDEX
0-3 Years	5.23%	6.38%	121.99
4-5 Years	2.67%	3.34%	125.09
6-8 Years	4.03%	5.06%	125.56
9-11 Years	4.1%	4.93%	120.24
12-13 Years	2.77%	3.21%	115.88
14-17 Years	5.75%	6.18%	107.48
18-19 Years	2.94%	3.04%	103.4
0-5 Years	7.9%	9.72%	123.04
6-12 Years	9.51%	11.61%	122.08
13-19 Years	10.08%	10.81%	107.24
< 20 Years	27.49%	32.14%	116.92
20-34 Years	19.18%	20.27%	105.68
35-44 Years	13.63%	12.43%	91.2
45-64 Years	26.8%	22.85%	85.26
65-74 Years	6.84%	6.02%	88.01
75+ Years	6.06%	6.28%	103.63
Median Age	37	34	91.06
Median Age (Male)	36	32	90.49
Median Age (Female)	39	35	89.89

A current year demographic summary of race/ethnicity and education of adults for the site location appears on the right.

For each category both county and 0-0.5 mile band percentages are given. The Index value assumes that if the county percent and the 0-0.5 mile band percent were both the same, the index would be 100.



2010 POP. ESTIMATES	COUNTY	BAND	INDEX
Race/Ethnicity			
White, Anglo	54.85%	14.68%	26.77
Black, African-American	38.87%	78.44%	201.79
Native American	0.36%	0.26%	73.68
Asian	2.54%	0.99%	39.05
Pacific Island, Hawaiian	0.06%	0.13%	205.51
Other/Multiple Races	3.32%	5.46%	164.51
Hispanic	0%	10.98%	0

Education of Adults (25 yrs+)			
Total Adults over age 25 years.	1,251,329	1,804	
Less than 9th Grade	5.03%	8.98%	56
No High School Diploma	11.11%	18.46%	60.16
High School Graduate	33.89%	35.37%	95.82
Some College, no degree	22.85%	21.23%	107.64
Associate Degree	7.13%	7.43%	95.96
College Degree	12.48%	5.54%	225.09
Graduate/Prof. degree	7.52%	2.99%	251.22

A current year demographic summary of family and non-family households for the site location appears on the right.

For each category both county and 0-0.5 mile band percentages are given. The Index value assumes that if the county percent and the 0-0.5 mile band percent were both the same, the index would be 100. Thus values lower than 100 reflect categories where the percentage in the 0-0.5 mile band are lower than the county average. The values that are higher than 100 reflect categories where the percentage is higher for the 0-0.5 mile band. A value of 200 would be twice the value of 100 (which would be a 100% increase over the county average). A value of 50 would be 50% of the county average.

2010 HOUSEHOLD	COUNTY	BAND	INDEX
ESTIMATES			
Household Income			
< \$10,000	10.32%	20.16%	190.53
\$10,000 to \$19,999	12.2%	25.34%	207.76
\$20,000 to \$29,999	11.7%	16.34%	139.64
\$30,000 to \$49,999	20.5%	20.94%	102.13
\$50,000 to \$59,999	7.76%	6.26%	80.71
\$60,000 to \$69,999	7.09%	3.52%	49.66
\$70,000 to \$79,999	5.95%	2.54%	42.77
\$80,000 to \$89,999	4.75%	1.27%	26.8
\$90,000 to \$99,999	3.32%	0.68%	20.64
\$100,000 to \$124,999	7.39%	1.76%	23.82
\$125,000 to \$149,999	3.92%	0.78%	19.97
\$150,000 to \$199,999	3.28%	0%	0
\$200,000 to \$249,999	0.72%	0%	0
\$250,000 or more	1.11%	0.39%	35.35
Median Household	44,630	22,540	50.5
Average Household	58,937	32,261	54.74
Per Capita Household	22,198	10,904	49.12
Family/Non-Family Household			
Income			
Median Family Income	56,307	24,432	43.39
Average Family Income	70,459	32,937	46.75
Median Non-Family Income	30,033	20,866	69.48
Average Non-Family Income	38,816	29,940	77.13

A current year demographic summary of household types, houseing units and households by size and count by person for the site location appears at right.

For each category both county and 0-0.5 mile band percentages are given. The Index value assumes that if the county percent and the 0-0.5 mile band percent were both the same, the index would be 100. Thus values lower than 100 reflect categories where the percentage in the 0-0.5 mile band are lower than the county average. The values that are higher than 100 reflect categories where the percentage is higher for the 0-0.5 mile band. A value of 200 would be twice the value of 100 (which would be a 100% increase over the county average). A value of 50 would be 50% of the county average.

2010 HOUSEHOLD	COUNTY	BAND	
ESTIMATES			
Family Households			Index
% Family Households	63.59%	62.82%	98.79
Families with Children	33.72%	41.98%	124.49
Families without Children	29.87%	20.84%	69.78
Non-Family Households			
% Non-Family Households	36.41%	37.18%	102.11
Non-Families with Children	0.28	0.39	140.65
Non-Families without Children	36.13	36.79	101.81
Housing Units			Index
Total Housing Units	835,198	1,363	
Vacant percent	16.27%	25.02%	153.78
Owned percent	57.05%	33.82%	59.28%
Rented Percent	26.68%	41.16%	154.28
Households by Size			Index
Avg household size	2.67	2.96	110.86
Avg family hh size	3.55	3.87	109.01
Avg non-family hh size	1.13	1.41	124.78
Households By Count of Persons			Percent
One	228,033	328	0.14%
Two	165,215	196	0.12%
Three or Four	207,119	293	0.14%
Five+	98,955	206	0.21%


The population and household figures from IICM's Demoscape report are given below for this site location. Historical figures from the 1990 and 2000 US Census precede the current year estimates and the five year projections for both the county in which this site location exists and also for the 0-0.5 mile ring. The percentage of the county population and number of households that appears in the 0-0.5 mile ring is given for each year. The graph illustrates household change from 1985 to 2030.

DEMOSCAPE	COUNTY	RING	% OF CO
1990 Population	2,111,687	5,472	0.26%
2000 Population	2,061,162	4,440	0.22%
2010 Population	1,898,563	3,024	0.16%
2015 Population	1,766,345	2,777	0.16%

DEMOSCAPE	COUNTY	RING	% OF CO
1990 Households	780,532	2,025	0.26%
2000 Households	768,440	1,528	0.2%
2010 Households	699,322	1,022	0.15%
2015 Households	693,291	998	0.14%

Household Change from 1985 to 2030


Grand Ledge



A comparison between the current and PROJECTED demographic summary of age group categories for the site location for five years from the current year appears on the right.

For each category both the current year and the projected percents for the 0-0.5 mile band are given. The Index value assumes that if the current and projected percents were both the same, the index would be 100.


Projected Age Group Percentages



CURRENT VS. PROJECTED	CURRENT	PLUS 5 YEARS	INDEX
0-3 Years	6.38%	6.55%	102.66
4-5 Years	3.34%	3.28%	98.2
6-8 Years	5.06%	4.79%	94.66
9-11 Years	4.93%	4.5%	91.28
12-13 Years	3.21%	2.92%	90.97
14-17 Years	6.18%	5.69%	92.07
18-19 Years	3.04%	2.7%	88.82
0-5 Years	9.72%	9.83%	101.13
6-12 Years	11.61%	10.77%	92.76
13-19 Years	10.81%	9.83%	90.93
< 20 Years	32.14%	30.43%	94.68
20-34 Years	20.27%	20.67%	101.97
35-44 Years	12.43%	11.81%	95.01
45-64 Years	22.85%	23.41%	102.45
65-74 Years	6.02%	7.6%	126.25
75+ Years	6.28%	6.19%	98.57
Median Age	37	35	93.73
Median Age (Male)	36	34	94.03
Median Age (Female)	39	35	90.33

A comparison between the current and PROJECTED demographic summary of race/ethnicity and education categories for the site location for five years from the current year appears on the right.

For each category both the current year and the projected percents for the 0-0.5 mile band are given. The Index value assumes that if the current and projected percents were both the same, the index, would be 100.



CURRENT VS. PROJECTED	CURRENT	PLUS 5 YRS	INDEX
Race/Ethnicity			
White, Anglo	14.68%	22.11%	150.59
Black, African-American	78.44%	67.41%	85.94
Native American	0.26%	0.29%	108.89
Asian	0.99%	1.37%	137.93
Pacific Island, Hawaiian	0.13%	0.11%	81.67
Other/Multiple Races	5.46%	8.71%	159.71
Hispanic	0%	0%	0
Education of Adults (25 yrs+)			
Total Adults over age 25 years.	1,804	1,697	
Less than 9th Grade	8.98%	7.9%	87.93
No High School Diploma	18.46%	14.5%	78.53
High School Graduate	35.37%	37.42%	105.81
Some College, no degree	21.23%	21.45%	101.03
Associate Degree	7.43%	8.43%	113.45
College Degree	5.54%	6.66%	120.12

2.99%

Graduate/Prof. degree



3.65%

122.05

A comparison between the current and PROJECTED demographic summary of household income and family / non-family income for the site location appears on the right. For each category both the current year and the projected percents for the 0-0.5 mile band are given The Index value given. assumes that if the current and projected percents were both the same, the index would be 100. Thus values lower than 100 reflect categories where the projected percentage in the 0-0.5 mile band are lower than the current percents. The values that are higher than 100 reflect categories where the projected percentage is higher for the 0-0.5 mile band. A value of 200 would be twice the value of 100 (which would be a 100% increase over the current percent). A value of 50 would be 50% of the current percent.

CURRENT VS. PROJECTED	CURRENT	PLUS 5 YEARS	INDEX
Household Income			
< \$10,000	20.16%	19.84%	98.43
\$10,000 to \$19,999	25.34%	25.55%	100.82
\$20,000 to \$29,999	16.34%	15.83%	96.89
\$30,000 to \$49,999	20.94%	21.44%	102.4
\$50,000 to \$59,999	6.26%	6.11%	97.6
\$60,000 to \$69,999	3.52%	3.41%	96.72
\$70,000 to \$79,999	2.54%	2.61%	102.4
\$80,000 to \$89,999	1.27%	1.3%	102.4
\$90,000 to \$99,999	0.68%	0.8%	117.03
\$100,000 to \$249,999	1.76%	1.9%	108.09
\$125,000 to \$149,999	0.78%	0.9%	115.21
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0%	0%	0
\$200,000 to \$249,999	0%	0%	0
\$250,000 or more	0.39%	0.4%	102.4
Median Household	22,540	22,628	100.39
Average Household	32,261	32,714	101.4
Per Capita Household	10,904	11,758	107.83
Family/Non-Family Household			
Income			
Median Family Income	24,432	23,945	98.01
Average Family Income	32,937	35,873	108.91
Median Non-Family Income	20,866	20,572	98.59
Average Non-Family Income	29,940	26,985	90.13



A comparison between the current and PROJECTED demographic summary of family and non-family households, housing units, households by size, and households by count of persons for the site location appears on the right. For each category both the current year and the projected percents for the 0-0.5 mile band are given. The Index value assumes that if the current and Projected percents were both the same, the index would be 100. Thus values lower than 100 reflect categories where the projected percentage in the 0-0.5 mile band are lower than the current percents. The values that are higher than 100 reflect categories where the projected percentage is higher for the 0-0.5 mile band. A value of 200 would be twice the value of 100 (which would be a 100% increase over the current percent). A value of 50 would be 50% of the current percent.

Durand

CURRENT VS. PROJECTED	CURRENT	PLUS 5 YEARS	INDEX
Family Households			
% Family Households	62.82%	61.62%	98.1
Families with Children	41.98	40.48	96.44
Families without Children	20.84	18.64	89.42
Non-Family Households			
% Non-Family Households	37.18%	38.38%	103.21
Non-Families with Children	0.39	0.1	103.21
Non-Families without	36.79	38.28	104.04
Children			
Housing Units			
Total Housing Units	1,363	1,331	97.65%
Vacant percent	25.02%	25.02%	100
Owned percent	33.82%	33.81%	99.96
Rented Percent	41.16%	41.17%	100.03
Households by Size			
Avg household size	2.96	2.78	93.92%
Avg family hh size	3.87	3.71	95.87%
Avg non-family hh size	1.41	1.29	91.49%
Households By Count of			
Persons			
One	328	342	104.27%
Two	196	219	111.73%
Three or Four	293	275	93.86%
Five+	206	162	78.64%

Ethnographic Summary

The heart of the missional task is reaching specific people groups. Some of the ethnic, language, ancestry, and foreign-born groups in your missional site may need contextual approaches to reach them and multiply disciples among them. Foreign born peoples bring much of their culture from their homelands with them. However, over time they begin to adapt to their new surroundings. Different approaches may be needed for groups at different levels of acculturation. For more information about acculturation please see the MissionalCyclopedia.org.

BORN IN:	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Foreign Born Pop	16	6,199	24,545
Northern Europe	5	7	102
Western Europe	0	44	152
Southern Europe	0	26	273
Eastern Europe	1	802	1,057
Other Europe	0	0	7
Eastern Asia	0	65	342
So. Central Asia	0	38	735
SE Asia	0	153	162
Western Asia	0	492	9,075
Other Asia	0	65	292

BORN IN:	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Eastern Africa	0	0	84
Middle Africa	0	0	58
Northern Africa	0	7	183
Southern Africa	0	0	0
Western Africa	0	12	569
Other Africa	0	12	90
Oceania	0	4	15
Caribbean	1	196	621
Central Amer.	9	4,183	10,190
South America	0	29	207
North America	0	64	331
Born at sea	0	0	0

Language Summary

The table below provides the percentage of population over the age of 5 who speak these languages within the three site bands. The data is based upon the 2000 Census SF3 dataset.

SPOKEN AT HOME	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
English only	3,929	36,578	102,048
Spanish	268	8,189	19,520
Other Indo-Euro	8	1,597	3,461
language			
French (incl. Patois,	4	79	551
Cajun)			
French Creole	0	0	17
Italian	0	47	170
Portuguese	0	0	9
German	0	102	250
Yiddish	0	5	30
Other West Germanic	0	6	9
A Scandinavian	0	6	19
Language			
Greek	0	0	79
Russian	0	6	35
Polish	4	591	537
Serbo-Croatian	0	18	87
Other Slavic Language	0	80	50
Armenian	0	0	59
Persian	0	0	46
Gujarathi	0	36	58
Hindi	0	0	102
Urdu	0	11	341

SPOKEN AT HOME	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
01 011211 711 1101112	MILES	MILES	MILES
Other Indo-Euro	0	610	908
Asian/PI languages	0	0	0
Chinese	0	31	230
Japanese	0	22	129
Korean	12	7	40
Mon-Khmer,	0	0	0
Cambodian			
Miao, Hmong	0	27	13
Thai	0	0	0
Laotian	0	0	0
Vietnamese	0	83	15
Other Asian	0	18	200
Tagalog	0	29	99
Other Pacific Is	0	0	37
Other languages	0	766	15,077
Navajo	0	0	0
Other Native N.	0	14	44
American			
Hungarian	0	11	84
Arabic	0	722	14,470
Hebrew	0	7	8
African languages	0	12	368
Other unspecified	0	0	103

Ancestry Summary

The table below provides the percentage of population with a historical national heritage within the three site bands. The data is based upon the 2000 Census SF3 dataset.

ANCESTRY	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Reporting ancestry	3,782	41,541	127,348
Arab	0	737	15,184
Armenian	0	15	111
Austrian	0	1	84
British	0	0	52
Canadian	0	22	92
Croatian	0	6	10
Czech	0	9	41
Czechoslovak	0	0	17
Danish	0	6	21
Dutch	0	51	116
English	12	242	1,053
European	0	25	196
Finnish	0	10	80
French (not	2	170	557
Basque)			
French Canadian	0	48	233
German	8	548	1,914
Greek	0	19	138
Hungarian	5	35	460
Iranian	0	0	113

ANGEGERY	0.05	0545	450
ANCESTRY	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Irish	0	509	1,846
Italian	7	265	1,229
Lithuanian	0	33	125
Norwegian	0	5	51
Polish	79	2,123	2,644
Portuguese	0	9	24
Romanian	0	600	692
Russian	0	43	191
Scandinavian	0	0	5
Scotch-Irish	0	8	230
Scottish	0	46	319
Slovak	0	32	46
Subsaharan African	77	732	2,185
Swedish	0	4	75
Swiss	0	0	4
Ukrainian	5	165	178
US/American	52	982	2,744
Welsh	0	7	67
West Indian	1	50	365
Yugoslavian	0	26	49
Other	3,534	33,957	93,814

Using the Demographic Indicators

Owendale

Below are some issues related to the demographic indicators that you may want to consider as you review this site as a potential location for a new ministry or new congregation.

Issues for Your Consideration

- 1. The Demoscape information on page 19 highlights the rate of population change at the site compared to it's county from 1990 to a projected date 5 years from the current year. What changes do you see over time? How significant is this site location as a percentage of the county? Is this a growing, plateauing or declining location?
- 2. The age categories on pages 15 and 20 clearly indicate the site population in various age-graded groups. Which age groups are the largest at this site? What changes are projected in the next 5 years? What implications does this have for small groups and other ministries?
- 3. The racial/ethnic and education categories listed on pages 16 & 21 provide a window into the type of people that need to be reached at the site. What is the dominant racial/ethnic category that needs to be reached? What do the educational levels tell you about the ways in which you need to design your outreach and discipleship? What implications arise from the changes that are projected in the next 5 years?

Farwell

Millington Robin Glen-Indiantown

Copemish

Using the Demographic Indicators

Issues for Your Consideration - continued

- 4. Socioeconomics has a profound effect on many aspects of ministry and missional design. Pages 17 & 22 highlight income categories at the site compared to county percentages and 5 year projections. What are the dominant economic categories for this location? How does this site compare to the rest of the country? How do family and non-family households compare economically at this site? What implications arise from the changes projected over the next 5 years? What are the implications for missional ministry?
- 5. A summary of family and non-family households, housing units, households by size and households by count of persons for both the site, the county and 5 year projections are listed on pages 18 & 23. What are the primary family types for this location? How does this site compare to the rest of the county? What changes are anticipated over the next 5 years? What are the implications for missional ministry?
- 6. The ethnic summary on pages 24, 25 & 26 provide an overview of the foreign-born population, language diversity and ancestry. From this information which groups of people are most significant at this site and in comparison to the country? How does this impact the development of new ministries and new congregations at this site?

West Monroe

Zeeland

ight 2012, Intercultural institute for Contextual Ministry lerville

In recent years, both George Barna and George Gallup have completed national polls that indicate that approximately 30% to 32% of the US population consider themselves "evangelical." However, their polling process interviews about 1000 statistically sampled people from which they derive this number. Simmons Market Research Bureau, the oldest and most authoritative source for market research in the US today, has conducted a similar poll. However, their methodology interviews approximately 27,000 people across the US. Their survey found that 31.9% of the US population consider themselves to be evangelical. The large sampling size enables IICM to cross reference their findings across the 60 MOSAIC lifestyle segments in the US. From this IICM has created an "Evangelscape dataset" that establishes the extent to which each of the 60 MOSAIC lifestyle segments are unreached in your site location.

This section of your MissionSite report provides one view of the number of households in your area that have not been reached with the gospel. To obtain a full Evangelscape report of your area you will want to purchase a TRIBALDEX report at MissionalContext.org. To create a neighborhood level map of the unreached status of your missional site area you will want to subscribe to the IICM mapping site at MissionalCulturescape.org.



The table below lists 18 lifestyle segments in three social groups, A-Affluent Suburbia, B-Upscale America, and C-Small Town Success. For the 0-0.5 mile ring, the number of households is listed together with the percent of the band in that segment. The number of unreached households is also listed together with the percent of the total unreached that are in the segment.

2010 MOSAIC HHIds	0-0.5 HH & Percent		Unreached HH &	Percent
Total	1,022	100%	693	100%
AFFLUENT SUBURBIA	0	0%	0	0%
America's Wealthiest	0	0%	0	0%
Dream Weavers	0	0%	0	0%
White Collar Suburbia	0	0%	0	0%
Upscale Suburbia	0	0%	0	0%
Enterprising Couples	0	0%	0	0%
Small Town Success	0	0%	0	0%
New Suburbia Fam.	0	0%	0	0%
UPSCALE AMERICA	0	0%	0	0%
Status Conscious Consumers	0	0%	0	0%
Affluent Urban Professionals	0	0%	0	0%
Urban Commuter Fam.	0	0%	0	0%
Solid Suburban Mix	0	0%	0	0%
2nd Generation Success	0	0%	0	0%
Successful Urban Sprawl	0	0%	0	0%
SM TWN SUCCESS	0	0%	0	0%
2nd City Homebodies	0	0%	0	0%
Prime Middle America	0	0%	0	0%
Urban Optimists	0	0%	0	0%
Family Convenience	0	0%	0	0%
Mid-Market Enterprise	0	0%	0	0%

Deckerville

The table below lists 15 lifestyle segments in three social groups, D-Blue Collar Backbone, E-American Diversity, and F-Metro Fringe. For the 0-0.5 mile ring, the number of households is listed together with the percent of the band in that segment. The number of unreached households is also listed together with the percent of the total unreached that are in the segment.

2010 MOSAIC HHIds	0-0.5 HH & Percent		Unreached HH & Percent	
Total	1,022	100%	693	100%
BLUE COLLAR BACKBONE	15	1.47%	11	1.59%
Nuevo Hispanic Fam.	15	1.47%	11	1.59%
Working Rural Suburbia	0	0%	0	0%
Lower Income Essentials	0	0%	0	0%
Small Town Endeavors	0	0%	0	0%
AMER. DIVERSITY	51	4.99%	33	4.76%
Ethnic Urban Mix	0	0%	0	0%
Urban Blues	51	4.99%	33	4.76%
Professional Urbanites	0	0%	0	0%
Urban Advancement	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Great Outdoors	0	0%	0	0%
Mature America	0	0%	0	0%
METRO FRINGE	14	1.37%	10	1.44%
Steadfast Conservative	14	1.37%	10	1.44%
Moderate Conventionalists	0	0%	0	0%
Southern Blues	0	0%	0	0%
Urban Grit	0	0%	0	0%
Grass-Roots Living	0	0%	0	0%

Blissfield

The table below lists 13 lifestyle segments in three social groups, G-Remote America, H-Aspiring Contemporaries, and I-Rural Villages & Farms. For the 0-0.5 mile ring, the number of households is listed together with the percent of the band in that segment. The number of unreached households is also listed together with the percent of the total unreached that are in the segment.

2010 MOSAIC HHIds	0-0.5 HH & Percent		Unreached HH & Percent	
Total	1,022	100%	693	100%
REMOTE AMERICA	0	0%	0	0%
Hardy Rural Fam.	0	0%	0	0%
Rural Southern Living	0	0%	0	0%
Coal & Crops	0	0%	0	0%
Native America	0	0%	0	0%
ASPIRING CONTEMP'S	0	0%	0	0%
Young Cosmopolitans	0	0%	0	0%
Minority Metro Communities	0	0%	0	0%
Stable Careers	0	0%	0	0%
Aspiring Hispania	0	0%	0	0%
RURAL VILLAGES & FARMS	0	0%	0	0%
Industrious Country Living	0	0%	0	0%
America's Farmland	0	0%	0	0%
Comfy Country Living	0	0%	0	0%
Small Town Connections	0	0%	0	0%
Hinterland Fam.	0	0%	0	0%

The table below lists 14 lifestyle segments in three social groups, J-Struggling Societies, K-Urban Essence, and L-Varying Lifestyles. For each the 0-0.5 mile ring, the number of households is listed together with the percent of the band in that segment. The number of unreached households is also listed together with the percent of the total unreached that are in the segment.

2010 MOSAIC HHIds	0-0.5 HH & Percent		Unreached HH & Percent	
Total	1,022	100%	693	100%
STRUGGLING SOCIETIES	928	90.8%	629	90.76%
Rugged Southern Style	0	0%	0	0%
Latino Nuevo	0	0%	0	0%
Struggling city Centers	928	90.8%	629	90.76%
College Town Communities	0	0%	0	0%
New Beginnings	0	0%	0	0%
URBAN ESSENCE	14	1.37%	10	1.44%
Unattached Multicultures	0	0%	0	0%
Academic Necessities	0	0%	0	0%
Af. Amer. Neighborhoods	14	1.37%	10	1.44%
Urban Diversity	0	0%	0	0%
New Generation Activists	0	0%	0	0%
Getting By	0	0%	0	0%
VARYING LIFESTYLES	0	0%	0	0%
Military Family Life	0	0%	0	0%
Major University Towns	0	0%	0	0%
Gray Perspectives	0	0%	0	0%

Identifying Focus Groups in this Location

Within the 0-0.5 mile, 0.5-1.5 mile and 1.5-3 mile bands of this site location, there are several types of people groups including ethnic, lifestyle, lifestage, and socio-religious people groups. A focus group is a group of people to whom you feel especially called to reach. Below are some suggestions regarding identifying focus groups in this site location.

Issues for Your Consideration

- 1. Review the current demographic summary on pages 14-18 for this site location. What demographic groups are prevalent in this particular site location?
- 2. Next take a look at the projected demographic summary on pages 19-23. What increase or decrease is expected for these groups in this site location?
- 3. Review the current ethnic summary on pages 24-26. What ethnic groups are prevalent in this site location?
- 4. Review the unreached households by lifestyle segment on pages 30-33. Which social groups have the largest number of unreached households? Which lifestyle groups have a significant number of unreached households?
- 5. Compile your answers to numbers 1 through 4 and begin prayerfully prioritizing the list of people groups that you could attempt to reach in this location. Which groupings would appear to culturally "fit" together well? Which groups likely need special consideration in developing a culturally appropriate new missional ministry or congregation?

Grand Ledge Middletown



Potential Cultural Bridges

Donald McGavran in his book, The Bridges of God, emphasized the need for people to come to faith within the context of their own culture. "People like to become Christians without crossing racial, lignuistic, or class barriers." In addition, research into evangelism suggests that most people come to faith in Christ as the result of the witness of a Christian with whom they have a close personal relationship (mostly a friend or a relative).

Missional congregations intentionally release their people to permeate the community in order to develop relational bridges across which they can present Christ. These relational bridges usually are established in some context: arts & cultural organizations, sports teams and sporting events, park & recreational facility use, community organizations, community cultural events, and community social concerns and needs.

Thus, cultural bridges are those characteristics that could be used to build relationships with people in the lifestyle group. Various activities, interests, or opinions (AIO) represented among the consumer behavior characteristics of the lifestyle groups in the comunity offer ways for believers to build relationships with people in those lifestyle groups and through that relationship impact them for Christ.

[i] McGavran, Donald A. The Bridges of God. Revised edition. (New York: Friendship Press, 1981).

2012, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry ille



Potential Cultural Bridges

The tables below list 20 consumer behavior variables related to computer and internet use at this mission site. For each of the three bands - 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles - the percent of the households in the missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org

BRIDGES	0-0.5 MILES	0.5-1.5 MILES	1.5-3 MILES
PC-HH Own	59%	58%	62%
Use Comp. for Internet/E-mail	36%	35%	40%
Use Comp. for Comp. Games	33%	29%	30%
Internet Use: E-Mail	29%	30%	34%
Use Comp. for Education	29%	27%	29%
HH Owns DVD Player	23%	20%	23%
Internet Use: News/ Weather	17%	16%	20%
Use Comp. for Shopping	17%	18%	22%
Use Comp. for Banking	16%	17%	20%
Internet Use: Banking	16%	16%	19%

BRIDGES	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Use Comp. for Digital Camera	15%	16%	19%
Photo Editing			
PC-Network-HH Has One	14%	12%	13%
Use Comp. for Word Processing	12%	15%	21%
Use Comp. for Filing/DB Mngmnt	9%	10%	11%
HH Owns Video/Webcam	8%	9%	11%
Internet Use: Instant Messaging	7%	7%	7%
(lm)			
Use Comp. for News/Info./Data	6%	9%	14%
Service			
Internet Use: Digital Imaging/	6%	6%	7%
Photo Albums Online			
Internet Use: Yellow Pages	6%	6%	6%
Internet Use: Read Magazines/	5%	6%	9%
Newspapers			

The tables below lists 20 consumer behavior variables related to top leisure activities, auto maintenance, prescription drug use, and diet control at this mission site. For each of the three bands - 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles - the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

BRIDGES	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Listening To Music	63%	64%	65%
Reading Books	43%	43%	47%
Dining Out (Not Fast Food)	33%	36%	41%
Cooking for Fun	28%	28%	30%
Card Games	27%	29%	34%
Go To A Beach/Lake	24%	26%	27%
Board Games	20%	20%	23%
Gardening	20%	20%	21%
Going To	13%	15%	16%
Bars/Nightclubs/Dancing			
Visit Zoo	12%	14%	15%

BRIDGES	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Any Ailment	65%	62%	63%
Gen./Fam. Practitioner	27%	27%	28%
Hypertension/High Blood	23%	20%	19%
Pressure			
Backache	22%	21%	22%
None Of These	19%	21%	21%
Dentist	17%	17%	19%
Eye Dr.	16%	16%	17%
High Cholesterol	16%	14%	15%
Any Arthritis	15%	13%	13%
Acid Reflux Disease	15%	14%	14%
(GERD)			

The tables below lists 20 consumer behavior variables related to attending performances, movies and sporting events at this mission site. For each of the three bands - 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles - the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

BRIDGES	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Concert	20.85%	21.67%	23.47%
Rock/Pop Concerts Most	11.6%	11.92%	13.02%
Often			
Live Theater	10.57%	11.73%	14.71%
Dance Performance	10.31%	9.88%	10.21%
Comedy Club	8.66%	9.16%	10.35%
Dance Performance Most	8.35%	7.3%	6.74%
Often			
Movies: Comedy	45.42%	42.03%	41.35%
Movies: Action/Adventure	40.23%	37.9%	39.57%
Movies: Drama	29.9%	25.23%	24.95%

BRIDGES	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Movies: Horror	27.54%	25.05%	21.61%
Movies: Fam.	25.77%	23.14%	22.53%
Movies: Science Fiction	22.07%	18.44%	16.83%
College Basketball Reg.	3.41%	2.98%	2.85%
Season			
College Football Reg.	2.91%	3.16%	3.59%
Season			
College Baseball	2.35%	1.58%	1.22%
College Football	2.32%	1.83%	1.49%
Post-Season			
Tennis Matches	2.2%	1.52%	1.44%
College Basketball	2.09%	1.81%	1.5%
Post-Season			

The tables below lists 28 consumer behavior variables related to fitness participation at this mission site. For each of the three bands - 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles - the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org

BRIDGES	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Walking for	29.91%	30.64%	33.12%
Exercise			
Basketball	17.31%	16.92%	17.2%
Billiards/Pool	17.15%	18.81%	18.32%
Swimming	16.78%	18.94%	21.82%
Jogging/Running	13.97%	14.68%	15.98%
Football	13.52%	13.5%	12.72%
Bowling	12.71%	14.67%	16.99%
Weight Training	10.1%	10.78%	12.37%
Aerobics	8.75%	9.04%	10%
Freshwater Fishing	8.73%	9.38%	10.53%
Stationary Cycling	8.73%	8.68%	9.93%
Baseball	8.14%	10.88%	11.4%
Volleyball	7.95%	9.66%	9.64%
Ice Skating	6.29%	5.58%	5.63%

BRIDGES	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Yoga	5.61%	5.58%	6.3%
Using Cardio	5.29%	7.17%	9.56%
Machine			
Roller Skating	5.23%	5.19%	5.43%
Mountain/Road	5.13%	5.56%	7.25%
Biking			
Softball	4.8%	6.02%	7.01%
Tennis	4.64%	4.69%	5.5%
Snorkeling	4.61%	4.21%	4.4%
Golf	4.33%	5.48%	7.08%
Jet Skiing	4.05%	3.69%	3.91%
Soccer	3.92%	7.02%	7.73%
Fly Fishing	3.67%	3.67%	3.78%
Camping Trips	3.53%	6.04%	7.62%
Power Boating	3.44%	3.6%	4.01%
Snowmobiling	3.28%	3.09%	3.05%

The tables below lists 20 consumer behavior variables related to fitness participation at this mission site. For each of the three bands - 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles - the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. However, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org

BRIDGES	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Hunting	3.17%	4.3%	4.49%
Skateboarding	3.16%	3.47%	3.68%
Snowboarding	3.11%	3.13%	3.25%
Saltwater Fishing	3.09%	4.63%	5.77%
Canoeing/Kayaking	2.76%	3.51%	4.11%
Motorcycling	2.58%	3.45%	4.08%
Hockey	2.42%	2.75%	3.33%
Water Skiing	2.41%	2.72%	3.03%
Horseback Riding	2.35%	3.13%	3.83%
Sailing	2.34%	2.53%	2.83%

Quinnesec

BRIDGES	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Racquetball	2.32%	2.88%	3.72%
Downhill & X-Country	2.27%	2.43%	2.92%
Skiing			
Rock Climbing	2.1%	2.49%	2.86%
Surfing & Windsurfing	2.1%	2.43%	2.86%
Backpacking/Hiking	1.85%	3.79%	5.63%
Archery	1.81%	2.39%	2.57%
Target Shooting	1.76%	3.32%	4.31%
Auto Racing	1.29%	2.24%	2.93%
Martial Arts	1.04%	2.26%	3%
Rowing	0.84%	1.48%	2.01%

Potential Cultural Barriers -- Introduction (part 1)

Most community barriers are related to some aspect of culture that stand in the way of some segments of society having the understanding or acceptance necessary to come to faith in Jesus Christ. These barriers inhibit the flow of the gospel within a people grouping. Other types of barriers include biblical barriers and ecclesial barriers.

BIBLICAL BARRIERS

The term Biblical barriers, is used to describe aspects of biblical truth that are offensive to the community. Biblical barriers can be divided into two types: 1) barriers based on clear, biblical teaching; 2) barriers based on the cultural application of biblical principles. Barriers caused by clear, biblical teaching cannot be eliminated without compromising the truth of scripture. Application barriers should be re-evaluated in light of the culture of the people group to verify that the application is transcultural instead of culture-specific.

ECCLESIAL BARRIERS

Ecclesial barriers are barriers that arise from the perceptions that people outside the church have of the church, its people, its programming, and it' leadership. In a classic study of the attitudes of the unchurched, people were asked: "What keeps people like you from considering the Christian faith?" Approximately 75 percent of unchurched people answered the question by refering t oan ecclesial barrier. "They resist becoming Christians because they 'don't want to become like church people'"[i]

Deckerville

[i] Hunter, George G., Jr. Church for the Unchurched. (Smyma: Abingdon Press, 1996), 59.



Stevensville Sherwood

Potential Cultural Barriers -- Introduction (part 2)

CULTURAL BARRIERS OF UNDERSTANDING

Cultural barriers may impede either understanding or acceptance. Cultural barriers of understanding occur because of problems with the communication of the message. Often people do not understand the gospel when it is presented to them.

CULTURAL BARRIERS OF ACCEPTANCE

Christian Subculture

Cultural barriers of acceptance occur because of a variety of problems, including those related to the Christian sub-culture as well as the culture of the hearer. Sometimes unchurched people have understood that they must adopt the evangelical Christian subculture in order to come to faith -- and become like "church people" -- including dressing like them, participating in all of the church functions and activities, passively following church leadership, not questioning aspects of Christian faith and beliefs, and ignoring the poor and minorities.


Unreached People Group

Sometimes cultural aspects from within the unchurched people group -- currently held values, attitudes & behaviors are seen as antithetical to Christianity, which inhibits them from coming to faith. Some aspects of culture may be redeemed for God's glory and used to please him. Other cultural aspects are so perverted by sin that they cannot be used without syncretizing. In order to identify cultural barriers of acceptance, missional congregations develop relationships within the cultural group and seek to understand who they are and what an indigenous church would look like among them in order to eliminate unnecessary barriers that have been identified that the missionary should consider in reaching out to the lifestyle segments in this site location.

Rochester Hills

Sylvan Lake St. Clair Shores

Fraser



Potential Cultural Barriers:

The tables below list 24 personal attitude variables related to cultural barriers at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the household in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

BARRIERS	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Important Continue Learning New Things	59%	55%	54%
Speak My Mind Even If It Upsets People	46%	42%	40%
Like Control Over People And Resources	45%	43%	41%
Don't Judge People/Way They Live Life	40%	37%	34%
Too Much Sponsorship In Arts/Sports	39%	37%	34%
Woman's Place Is In The Home	36%	34%	34%
I Am A Workaholic	34%	32%	28%
Find It Difficult To Say No To My Kids	34%	31%	33%
Like to Stand Out In A Crowd	29%	25%	23%
If Won Lottery Would Never Work Again	26%	24%	24%
We Should Strive for Equality for All	26%	23%	21%
Like To Do Unconventional Things	25%	25%	26%

BARRIERS	0-0.5 MILES	0.5-1.5 MILES	1.5-3 MILES
Prefer To Have Few Possessions As Possible	22%	24%	27%
Marijuana Should Be Legalized	20%	21%	22%
Rarely Sit Down to a Meal Together At Home	19%	17%	16%
Money Is Best Measure Of Success	19%	20%	22%
Like To Pursue Challenge/Novelty/Change	17%	20%	20%
Only Work Current Job for The Money	16%	17%	16%
Friends More Important Than My Fam.	14%	17%	21%
Very Happy With My Life As It Is	14%	14%	14%
More Important Do Duty Than Enjoy Life	14%	13%	11%
On Whole People Get What They Deserve	13%	12%	12%
Happy With My Standard Of Living	11%	12%	13%
Little I Can Do To Change My Life	11%	10%	9%

Potential Cultural Themes

Typically many Christians explain the gospel the way it was presented to them. However, today many nonbelievers in North America do not have a Christian background nor do they have any interest in the Christian Church or Christianity. Because of this the gospel messages that they have "heard" (with their ears or eyes on TV) has not registered in their mind, heart or soul -- truly they have not actually "heard" (in the Biblical sense of the word) the gospel yet. They need to hear the gospel in a way that grips them where they live.

Cultural themes that arise from their attitudes, beliefs, and life stories provide a significant way for missionaries to share the gospel in their own terms. Just as missionaries in Papua New Guinea shared the story of the legendary "peace child" that a jungle tribe anticipated from their folklore, so too missionaries to this site location need to take "themes" out of the culture to use in sharing the gospel in a fresh and culturally appropriate way. This section provides many significant thems that may be considered as a basis for developing a contextual gospel presentation to people in this site location.

Grosse Pointe Shores

Burr Oak

©Copyright 2012, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry



Potential Cultural Themes:

The tables below list 24 personal attitude variables related to cultural themes at this mission site. For each of the three bands-- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

THEMES	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
You Should Seize	60%	57%	57%
Opportunities In Life			
Important To Respect	50%	46%	50%
Customs And Beliefs			
Like To Understand About	41%	40%	39%
Nature			
Prefer Work Part Of Team	38%	36%	36%
Than Alone			
Important To Juggle Various	37%	37%	36%
Tasks			
Good At Fixing Things	35%	33%	32%
Have Keen Sense Of	31%	28%	27%
Adventure			
Important Feel Respected By	31%	32%	34%
My Peers			
Consider Myself Interested In	28%	27%	25%
The Arts		222/	
Provide My Kids With The	28%	23%	22%
Little Extras	/	/	- 407
Looking for New Ideas To	27%	26%	24%
Improve Home		222/	
People Have To Take Me As	23%	23%	23%
They Find Me			

Marcellus

Reed City

THEMES	0-0.5 MILES	0.5-1.5 MILES	1.5-3 MILES
Prefer To Have Few Possessions As Possible		24%	27%
Try Not To Worry About The Future	21%	20%	19%
Like To Just Enjoy Life	19%	20%	20%
Real Men Don't Cry	17%	19%	19%
Enjoy Spending Time With My Fam.	16%	16%	15%
Is An Important Part Of Who I Am	14%	14%	15%
Worried About Pollution Caused By Cars	11%	14%	17%
Children Should Be Allowed To Express Themselves	9%	9%	8%
Feel Very Alone In The World	6%	7%	7%
Like Spending Most Time With Fam.	5%	6%	6%
Would Like To Set Up Own Business	5%	5%	5%
Decor Particular Interest To Me	4%	5%	5%

Potential Shared Places

While missional strategy is important, unless someone begins a missional relationship with a non-believer and sharges the gospel with them the missional strategy is ineffective. A key component of missions is "hanging out" with lost people in order to build relationships and to allow the Holy Spirit to use us in moving them toward the claims of the gospel. This section provides some ideas of where to hang out -- where to meet people in the lifestyle segment you are attempting to reach.

Hazel Park

©Copyright 2012, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Lake



Potential Shared Places:

The tables below list 24 shared variables related to shared places at this mission site. For each of the three bands - 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that frequent the place is given. However, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

PLACE	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Fast Food/Drive-In	89.74%	86.77%	85.55%
Restaurant-Visit Any			
Fam. Restaurants/Steak	70.33%	68.73%	70.45%
Houses-Visit Any			
McDonald's	57.54%	55.93%	55.53%
Kentucky Fried Chicken	45.78%	38.65%	35.93%
(KFC)			
Burger King	40.84%	40.91%	40.13%
Wendy's	37.27%	31.88%	29.66%
Subway	32.76%	29.74%	28.63%
Pizza Hut	29.82%	26.73%	25%
Taco Bell	28.43%	26.92%	26.33%
Popeyes	26.5%	20.45%	17.62%
Applebee's	24.21%	23.09%	23.76%
Domino's Pizza	22.15%	21.06%	19.24%

PLACE	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Dairy Queen	21.17%	18.58%	16.67%
Arby's	20.55%	17.96%	17.72%
Church's Fried Chicken	20.1%	17.32%	14.28%
Red Lobster	19.78%	17.95%	17.92%
Golden Corral	18.6%	15.42%	14%
Sonic	17.31%	14.55%	12.85%
Hardee's	16.1%	12.15%	10.91%
Denny's	16.07%	16.78%	14.92%
Olive Garden	15.6%	14.87%	15.86%
IHOP (International House	14.99%	14.25%	15.2%
Of Pancakes)			
Krispy Kreme	14.97%	11.64%	10.35%
Rallys	14.83%	10.67%	7.91%


Potential Shared Projects

Shared projects are those social or community projects in which people at this site location are involved that Christians could join in with them as a means of developing relationships while "serving with them." So often Christians either expect nonbelievers to come to them ("come to our church service or event") or the church creates a social type activity (church softball league, etc) in the community to invite nonbelievers to attend or join. These type of church-sponsored events and activities are often intimidating to a nonbeliever.

Shared projects are community activities that already exist in the missional site location in which nonbelievers are already participating. When missional believers, who live in the community, join community organizations and participate in community events, they have opportunities to be salt and light in their neighborhoods.

This section of the MissionSite report provides a list of common community activities together with the percentage of the site location that we would expect to be already participating. Find these community organizations, activities or events and join in with others in your community! What a way to build evangelistic relationships!

Capac



Potential Shared Projects

The tables below list 12 attitude variables related to shared projects at this mission site. For each of the three bands-- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. However, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

PROJECTS	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Voted in fed/state/local election	31.49%	29.96%	33.09%
Recycled products	15.01%	18%	21.27%
Worked as volunteer (non political)	8.52%	8.87%	10.07%
Engaged in fund raising	7.26%	6.85%	7.77%
Religious club member	6.05%	5.88%	6.77%
Church Board	5.06%	4.44%	4.91%

PROJECTS	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Wrote to editor of mag or newspaper	3.79%	3.87%	4.24%
Took active part in local civic issue	3.63%	3.44%	3.97%
Addressed a public meeting	2.41%	2.45%	3.02%
Wrote to elected offcl about publ bus	2.38%	2.6%	3.21%
School or College Board member	2.32%	2.03%	1.96%
Civic club member	2.24%	1.94%	2.02%

Communication Media Content

The media-saturated 21st century poses both unique challenges and unique opportunities for missional leaders today. A major challenge you will face in this missional site location is cutting through the many layers of media that bombard your intended audience. Through the din of competing voices, the message of the gospel needs to become a clarion call.

Understanding the type of media content people in your site location use may help you to begin planning a comprehensive media strategy. Combining an understanding of this media content with the cultural bridges, barriers, and themes mentioned on pages 36-45 will enable you to begin shaping targeted messages to your intended audience.

Vernon

Copyright 2012, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Sault Ste.

Marcellus



Potential Print Media Content:

The table below list 18 variables related to book and magazine usage at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the household in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. However, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org

Onaway

BOOKS	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Children's Books	10.81%	10.89%	11.13%
Novel	8.08%	9.46%	11.39%
Religious (not Bibles)	7.83%	7.73%	8.13%
Cookbooks	7.35%	7.41%	7.97%
Romance	5.66%	5.73%	6.04%
Mystery	5.49%	6.77%	7.6%
Supermarket	4.57%	4.47%	4.58%
Mail order	4.31%	3.98%	4.3%
Desk Dictionary	3.85%	3.55%	3.31%

MAGAZINES	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Newspaper Distributed	61.31%	56.81%	59.03%
Gen. Editorial	56.24%	50.48%	49.58%
Womens	45.82%	43.34%	44%
Service	27.34%	26.46%	27.26%
Music	23.02%	20.45%	19.46%
Mens	20.91%	19.47%	19.18%
Business/Finance	18.89%	15.73%	16.37%
Parenthood	18.27%	17.17%	16.35%
Health	13.42%	12.89%	13.19%

Potential Print & Audio Media Content:

The tables below list 31 variables related to newspaper & radio usage at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. However, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behaviors and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

NEWSPAPERS	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Gen. News	39.47%	38.89%	41.96%
Classified	30.81%	31%	31.37%
Sport	24.86%	24.69%	25.94%
TV/Radio Listings	19.76%	18.45%	19.14%
Comics	19.54%	20.1%	21.03%
Editorial Page	18.44%	18.19%	20.23%
Movie Listings &	18.31%	18.4%	20.17%
Reviews			
Business/Finance	16.76%	16.68%	18.91%
Food/Cooking	16.59%	16.69%	18.78%
Fashion	12.4%	11.79%	13.31%
Home/Gardening	11.32%	11.62%	13.99%
Travel	9.85%	10.17%	12.47%
Science/Technology	7.32%	8.54%	10.62%

Canada Creek Ranch

Zilwaukee

RADIO	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Urban Contemporary	52.36%	42.13%	39.24%
CHR Contemp Hit Radio	12.74%	17.47%	18.99%
Jazz	11.98%	8.85%	8.85%
Gospel	10.48%	7.73%	7.12%
Variety	10.19%	11.32%	11.19%
Adult Contemporary	9.78%	10.32%	11.12%
Oldies	8.99%	10.14%	9.54%
Country	8.05%	9.4%	9.68%
Alternative	4.75%	4.92%	5.04%
Rock	3.58%	4.56%	5.24%
Soft Contemporary	3.52%	3.77%	4.27%
All News	3.06%	2.78%	4.16%
News/Talk	2.99%	3.39%	4.43%
Religious	2.97%	3.6%	4.28%
Hispanic	2.76%	10.08%	9.52%
Classic Rock	2.62%	3.77%	4.13%
Sports	1.53%	1.65%	2.19%
Classical	1.25%	1.47%	1.87%

Potential Multimedia Content:

The tables below list 24 variables related to television content and delivery at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org

MULTIMEDIA: TV	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Other Video-On-Demand	62.67%	55.86%	52.27%
Fox News Channel	58.09%	56.96%	57.11%
Soapnet	49.78%	49.14%	49.05%
Satellite Dish	46.27%	46.3%	47.15%
MSNBC	37.37%	34.53%	32.82%
Sci-Fi Channel	33.07%	33.14%	32.61%
Adult Pay Per View TV	32.22%	29.87%	30.51%
Nick At Nite	28.23%	26.54%	25.11%
BET (Black	28.17%	26.04%	24.16%
Entertainment TV)			
Subscribe Digital Cable	28.14%	29.38%	32.09%
Nickelodeon	27.45%	26.57%	25.55%
TCM (Turner Classic Movies)	27.36%	24.21%	23.05%
,			

MULTIMEDIA: TV	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Lifetime	25.24%	22.74%	21.22%
TV Info From Sunday TV	24.48%	25.15%	25.84%
Magazine			
CNN (Cable News	24.19%	22.17%	20.27%
Network)			
TV Info From Newspapers	23.97%	23.37%	24.34%
TV Info From Monthly	23.67%	22.45%	22.99%
Cable Guide			
TV Land	23.31%	19.94%	18.54%
Encore	23.15%	19.64%	17.84%
Hallmark Channel	22.98%	22.85%	22.55%
ABC Fam.	22.88%	23%	23.63%
ESPN	21.61%	20.5%	19.51%
Comedy Central	20.64%	20.63%	23.95%
HGTV (and Garden	20.63%	19.17%	17.98%
Television)			



Communication Media Usage

It is not enough to understand the type of media that your intended audience uses. It may also be helpful to understand the extent to which people in your site location use various media types and when they use the media. Media usage is generally measured by frequency or extent. Time usage is measured in blocks of day, night and weekend usage.

Taken together, media content, media usage, and time usage may be combined with demographics, lifestyles, and consumer behavior to paint a picture of who does what and when at the site location.

Each of these components may help you to begin planning a comprehensive media strategy. Combining media with the cultural bridges, barriers, and themes mentioned on pages 36-45 will enable you to deliver targeted messages to your intended audience at times when they are apt to listen.

Douglas

©Copyright 2012, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry ester



Potential Print Media Usage:

The tables below list 23 variables related to print media usage at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the households that participate in the activity or an index value is given. For more detailed information you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

MEDIUM	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Book Readers			
Heavy Users (7+)	11.99%	12.61%	14.1%
Medium Users (4-6)	6.18%	7.47%	8.01%
Light Users (1-3)	12.75%	14.89%	16.36%
Quintiles (20%)			
Newspaper I	1.89%	1.83%	1.49%
(Heavy)			
Newspaper II	0.98%	1.13%	1.51%
Newspaper III	1.13%	1.1%	1.22%
Newspaper IV	0.99%	0.8%	0.6%
Newspaper V	1.86%	1.37%	1.06%
(Light)			

MEDIUM	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Quintiles (20%)			
Magazines I (Heavy)	25.58%	24.92%	23.15%
Magazines II	10.74%	10.97%	10.21%
Magazines III	11.86%	12.42%	11.7%
Magazines IV	17.59%	16.21%	14.5%
Magazines V (Light)	0.94%	1.27%	1.07%
Outdoor I (Heavy)	11.95%	12%	11.12%
Outdoor II	8.59%	7.33%	6.5%
Outdoor III	7.77%	6.8%	6.34%
Outdoor IV	16.02%	17.09%	17.5%
Outdoor V (Light)	24.45%	22.86%	23.5%
Yellow Pages I	17.03%	17.49%	16.57%
(Heavy)			
Yellow Pages II	9.71%	9.22%	8.9%
Yellow Pages III	16.98%	13.91%	11.95%
Yellow Pages IV	28.83%	27.59%	25.61%
Yellow Pages V (Light)	7.83%	7.27%	6.42%

Potential Multimedia Usage

The tables below list 23 variables related to multimedia usage at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the households that participate in the activity or an index value is given. For more detailed information you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

MEDIUM	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Radio Drive Time Quntiles (fifths / 20%)			
Drive Time I & II (Heavy)	1.29%	1.96%	2.27%
Drive Time III (Medium)	1.13%	1.07%	0.97%
Radio IV & V (Light)	1.97%	2.06%	2.37%
Radio Media Quntiles (fifths /			
20%)			
Radio I & II (Heavy)	17.27%	16.51%	14.4%
Radio III (Medium)	3.13%	3.51%	3.66%
Radio IV & V (Light)	4.13%	4.36%	4.21%
Cable TV Quntiles (fifths / 20%)			
Cable I & II (Heavy)	9.37%	11.37%	12.14%
Cable III (Medium)	7.67%	6.37%	5.86%
Cable IV & V (Light)	48.37%	43.65%	40.91%

MEDIUM	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
TV Prime Time Quntiles (fifths			
/ 20%)			
Prime Time I & II (Heavy)	3.27%	3.37%	3.48%
Prime Time III (Medium)	0.96%	1.11%	1.01%
Prime Time IV & V (Light)	16.41%	15.93%	13.97%
TV Early/Late Fringe Quntiles			
(fifths / 20%)			
Fringe I & II (Heavy)	35.7%	34.95%	36.12%
Fringe III (Medium)	59.3%	56.61%	54.11%
Fringe IV (Light)	58.31%	55.57%	54.02%
TV All Day Quntiles (fifths /			
20%)			
All Day I & II (Heavy)	17.92%	17.95%	18.03%
All Day III (Medium)	29.68%	27.96%	26.6%
All Day IV (Light)	29.66%	25.79%	22.84%

Potential Audio & Prime Time TV Media Usage:

The tables below list 23 variables related to audio & prime time TV media usage at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

USAGE	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
00/102	MILES	MILES	MILES
Day-time Radio	_		
Listeners			
Dayparts [summary]	8.91%	9.02%	9.66%
6:00am - 10:00am	13.71%	13.05%	14.41%
10:00am - 3:00pm	17.92%	15.05%	15.26%
3:00pm - 7:00pm	24.19%	22.17%	20.27%
7:00pm - Midnight	13.48%	12.21%	11.73%
Midnight - 6:00am	13.19%	11.42%	10.6%
Weekend Radio			
Listeners			
Dayparts [summary]	17.47%	17.03%	16.96%
6:00am - 10:00am	1.98%	2.07%	2.55%
10:00am-3:00pm	3.14%	3.57%	4.89%
3:00pm - 7:00pm	9.51%	9.82%	10.71%
7:00pm - Midnight	14.26%	12.64%	11.33%
Midnight - 6:00am	19.61%	16.94%	15.74%

USAGE	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Prime Time TV			
Viewers			
8:00-11:00pm	5.92%	5.97%	6.25%
Saturday:	7.9%	8.12%	8.41%
8:00-11:00pm			
Sunday: 7:00-11:00pm	12.45%	10.63%	9.54%
9:00am-1:00pm	28.23%	26.54%	25.11%
9:00am-4:00pm	31.2%	29.76%	28.87%
4:00pm-7:00pm	32.47%	31.14%	29.82%
11:00pm-1:00am	50.97%	46.75%	44.11%
AVG Prime time	8.52%	6.88%	6.35%
Mon-Sun			

Potential Weekday & Weekend TV Media Usage:

The table below lists 28 variables related to weekday & weekend media usage at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

Wavne

TV VIEWERS	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Weekday			
6-7am	21.61%	20.5%	19.51%
7-9am	19.66%	18.77%	21.27%
9am-12noon	17.92%	16.82%	16.4%
12noon-4pm	13.28%	12.94%	12.46%
4-6pm	45.53%	45.03%	45.17%
6-7pm	16.82%	17.19%	17.18%
7-7:30pm	1.11%	1.42%	1.71%
7:30-8pm	12.05%	12.31%	12.12%
8-11pm	5.92%	5.97%	6.25%
11pm-12am	37.37%	34.53%	32.82%
11pm-1am	50.97%	46.75%	44.11%
1-6am	34.71%	34.66%	34.1%

TV VIEWERS	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Weekend			
Sat: 7-10am	15.91%	16.25%	16.78%
Sat: 10am-1pm	9.23%	9.4%	9.98%
Sat: 1-4pm	25.48%	23.95%	23.62%
Sat: 4-6pm	7.02%	6.35%	6.97%
Sat: 6-7pm	1.04%	1.1%	1.24%
Sat: 7-8pm	1%	1.21%	1.1%
Sat: 8-11pm	7.9%	8.12%	8.41%
Sat: 11pm-1am	6.76%	6.33%	6.17%
Sat: 1am-7pm	18.2%	18.56%	19.46%
Sun: 7-10am	2.05%	2.25%	2.31%
Sun: 10am-1pm	4.83%	4.53%	4.38%
Sun: 1-4pm	4.13%	4.47%	4.68%
Sun: 4-7pm	10.97%	10.78%	11.1%
Sun: 7-11pm	12.45%	10.63%	9.54%
Sun: 11pm-1am	7.71%	6.57%	6.27%
Sun: 1-7am	20.57%	19.61%	19.11%

Using the Cultural Bridges, Barriers and Themes

The Cultural Bridges provide lists of activities and interests that are common among some groups of people in this mission site. Missional team members and church planters may use this data as the basis for creating intentional redemptive relationships. The Cultural Barriers list gives attitudes that may become a barrier to the spread of the gospel. The Cultural Themes are attitudes that may be the basis for developing a gospel presentation.

Issues for Your Consideration

Greater Galesburg

- 1. Please read pages 61 and 62 on Biblical Missional Multiplication and Establishing Redemptive Relationships before considering the following issues.
- 2. Look through the cultural bridges listed on pages 36-40. Has anyone on the missional team been personally involved or interested in any of these bridge areas in the past? How can the team get involved in these activities with people who live and/or work in the mission site area?
- 3. Review the cultural barriers listed on page 43. Discuss how each of the attitudes reflected in this mission site may become a barrier to the spread of the gospel. Also discuss what steps the missional team may take to overcome these barriers.
- 4. Review the cultural themes listed on page 45. Choose two or three of the major themes that are prevalent at this mission site and begin developing presentations that wrap the gospel around these themes. Discuss how to "steer" the conversation to these themes and bridge into the gospel presentation.

Using the Shared Places, Projects, Media Content and Usage

The Shared Places provides a list of restaurants and restaurant types that are frequented by some groups of people in the mission site. If a particular restaurant chain is not in your area, look for similar types of restaurants. The Shared Projects section lists various activities in which some people in the mission site are engaged. The Media Content and Usage sections give a window into the types of media content that is preferred and the times media is commonly used

Issues for Your Consideration

Onsted

Marine City

- 1. Review the shared places listed on page 47. Discuss these types of organizations that may be found at the mission site. Discuss how the mission team may become part of these organizations in order to develop redemptive relationships.
- 2. Review the shared projects listed on page 49. Discuss ways in which people in the mission site may be involved in these activities. Has anyone on the missional team been personally involved in these activities with people who live and/or work in the mission site area.
- 3. Review the print, radio, and television media content listed on pages 51-53. What do people in this mission site read, listen to or watch? Describe the "typical" types of people in the mission site based on this information. How does this information shape the ways in which the missional team should present the gospel and the church? What mediums could be used to communicate with people at ths mission site more effectively?
- 4. Review the print, radio and television media usage on pages 55-58. Describe the frequency and times of media usage by people at this mission site. How does this inform what media the missional team should use and when the media should be employed?

Brownlee Park




Biblical Missional Multiplication

Biblical missional multiplication builds upon disciplemaking and spiritual reproduction.

Jesus and his disciples multiplied their efforts by investing in relationships with others. The diagram to the right illustrates how social networking in the New Testament era was behind the success of this multiplication.

The MissionSite report provides insights into ways that we too can build redemptive relationships and foster missional multiplication at this site location.

Missional Multiplication Principle 2nd Timothy 2:2




Establishing Redemptive Relationships

Missional Multiplication occurs when redemptive relationships move people through a process to bring people to Christ. This process is most successful as we relate to people based on their identity. Five types of identity enable us to connect with people and see them move through this process.

Fairgrove

Communication Steps

- 1. Category-to-Category: Connect through a common social identity; some activity, interest, or behavior that you share with the person.
- 2. Face-to-Face: Begin interacting with the person; building a personal rapport.
- 3. Mind-to-Mind: After building a rapport, move the conversation towards spiritual issues.
- 4. Heart-to-Heart: As the Holy Spirit leads you, wrap the gospel presentation around a theme that connects with the person.
- Spirit-to-Spirit: This is the level at which the Holy Spirit works speaks to our inner self. The previous 4 steps facilitates the Holy Spirit doing his regenerative work.



Your MissionSite and the Missional Suite


The site location of this MissionSite report is based upon the SITESCAPE typology developed by the staff of IICM. The SITESCAPE is an analysis of the 0-1/2 mile, 0-1 1/2 mile, 0-3 mile, 3-7 mile, 7-10 mile, and 10-15 mile bands around each of the 65,370 US census tract centroids (or geographical centers). Each census tract has been assigned a large number of variables based upon this analysis. These variables include information regarding the spirituallity of this particular location. This report relects a "Top Unreached Location" meaning that this site has a significant number of households that have not been reached by the gospel of Jesus Christ. Sitescape definitions may be found online at the MissionalCyclopedia.org site.

Cement City

Grand Haven

Additional help regarding this MissionSite location may be obtained at www.iicm.net. IICM provides access to a number of resources:

- Online personal missional profile at APEPT.org
- Custom reports at MissionalContext.org
- Custom mapping at MissionalCulturescape.org
- Missional information at Missional Cyclopedia.org
- Networking & Zipcode level data at MissionalCorps.org
- Information on top lifestyle groups at MissionalZipcode.org



Notes and Sources

- 1. Sitescape location and Evangelscape spirituality indicators data are datasets created by the staff of IICM by cross-tabulating multiple datasets from ScanUS, Experian, Simmons Market Research Bureau and TheAmericanChurch.org. These datasets are updated annually.
- 2. Demographic data are copyrighted by ScanUS and are licensed from ScanUS.
- 3. MOSAIC segmentation data are copyrighted by Experian US and are licensed through ScanUS
- 4. The consumer behavior data from Mediamark Research & Intelligence is copyrighted by them and is licensed through ScanUS.
- 5. The consumer behavior data from Simmons Market Research Bureau is copyrighted by them and is licensed through ScanUS.
- 6. The data from the departments of the US government is public data. US government sources include: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce; Economic Research Service, Department of Agriculture; National Center for Education Statistics, Department of Education.



6 Wateroak Court North Augusta, SC 29841

An evangelical mission agency supported by individuals, foundations, churches, denominations, mission agencies & para-church ministries. For more information about the mission and vision of IICM please visit www.iicm.net.

In Partnership with:



CONTACT US:

