
# Mission Site top unreached locations



Brig CORERGO DE Beque Rangely Hotchkiss Antonito Wood COUNTY: Adams Wray Walsenburg Acres Green In partnership with the:

Berthoud Haxtun Genesee Calh SITESCAPE: Cityscape of Park Lamar Yuma Plate Country Intercultural Institute

Intercultural Institute

Lakewood Black Fore Wells Country Hudson Redlands Erie Creston

Lyou For Contextual Ministry

Seibert Gunnison Coantestual Winistry

Holyoke Cheraw Manitou Springs Ault Fort Carson Eagle St. Manitous Redlands Genoa Geography 2014, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry and Fleming Bow Mar New Castle Windsor Keenesburg

## MissionSite (TM) Table of Contents

©Copyright 2014, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry ake Hudson Aguilar

1	Site Location Summary	3
2	Spirituality Indicators	11
3	Current Demographic Summary	15
4	Projected Demographic Summary	20
5	Ethnographic Summary	25
6	Unreached Households by Lifestyle Segment	30
7	Identifying Focus Groups in this Location	35
8	Potential Cultural Bridges	36
9	Potential Cultural Barriers	42
10	Potential Cultural Themes	45
11	Potential Shared Places	47
12	Potential Shared Projects	49
13	Communication Media Content	51
14	Communication Media Usage	55
15	Using the Bridges, Barriers, Themes, etc.	59
16	Biblical Missional Multiplication	61
17	Establishing Redemption Relationships	62
18	Your MissionSite and the Missional Suite	63
19	Notes and Sources	65



### Site Location Summary

Georgetown

The site location of this MissionSite (TM) report is based upon the SITESCAPE typology developed by the staff of IICM. The SITESCAPE is an analysis of the 0-0.5 mile, 0.5-1.5 mile, and 1.5-3 mile bands around each of the 65,399 US census tract centroids (or geographical centers). Each census tract has been assigned a large number of variables based upon this analysis. These variables include information regarding the spirituality of this particular location. This report reflects a "Top Unreached Location" meaning that this site has a significant number of households that have not been reached by the gospel of Jesus Christ. Sitescape definitions may be found online at the MissionalCyclopedia.org site.

	Location Typography	CODE	LOCATION
1	Region	08R01	Front Range
2	Association	80A80	Mile High
3	County Location	08001	Adams
4	Zipcode	80031	Adams
5	Sitescape Category	4	Cityscape
6	Sitescape Group	4.1	Small Cities
7	Sitescape Subgroup	4.16	Small cities adjacent to a medium city in a metro area
8	Sitescape Density Pattern	K	250000-1000000-1000000

Westminster


©Copyright 2014, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

Welby



### Site Location Summary - Map of the Site Location

Kit Carson



### Site Location Summary - Urbanicity

One key issue in site location is the degree of urbanicity - how rural or how urban is this setting? A number of government agencies have tackled this question in order to better compare various types of communities in the United States. The chart below provides a summary of how various government agencies have defined this particular location. IICM took the Rural Urban Commuting Areas (RUCA) from the US Department of Agriculture and created an index value based on the most rural value being a "0" and the most urban value being a "100". You will also see their ERS RUCA Commuting Value. The percent of households that commute from a non-metro to a metro area is also given.

	RURAL / URBAN	CODE	EXPLANATION
1	Metro or Non-Metro	1	Metro
2	Urban Influence	1	Large-in a metro area with at least 1 million residents or more
3	Rural / Urban Continuum	1	County in metro area with 1 million population or more
4	NCHS Rural Urban Codes	2	Large Fringe Metro - counties in a metropolitan statistical area of 1 million or more
			population who do not qualify as large central
5	NCES Urban Centric	21	Suburb: Large: Territory outside a principal city and inside an urbanized area with
	Locale Codes		population of 250,000 or more.
6	IICM RUCA Values Index	100	Metropolitan core commuting: No additional code
7	ERS RUCA Commuting	1	Metropolitan area core: primary flow within an urbanized area
	Value		
8	Percent Commuting to	0	Percent commuting from non metro to metro areas
	Metro		

### Site Location Summary - Band Composition

Below is a summary of the composition of the three bands around the center of the census tract. Values for the total population, and various types of group quarters in each band are provided below. In addition to current population estimates, each band has been compared to all of the other census tract bands around the country. Population density, language diversity, foreign born diversity, ancestry diversity and racial (broad census categories) diversity have all been indexed across the country with a "0" value representing the least value and 100 representing the most in each category. For an explanation of these indices please see the Sitescape article at MissionalCyclopedia.org.

BAND COMPOSITION	0-0.5 MILES	0.5-1.5 MILES	1.5-3 MILES
2010 Population	6,077	32,257	109,288
2010 Households	1,853	11,818	39,649
2010 Group Quarters Population	7	3	550

BAND COMPOSITION	0-3 MILES	3-7 MILES	7-10 MILES
Population Density National Index	83	77	73
Language Diversity National Index	66	64	72
Foreign Born Diversity National Index	34	18	8
Ancestry Diversity National Index	54	59	37
Racial Diversity National Index	68	57	76

### Site Location Summary - County Environment

In 2004, the US Department of Agriculture created a county typology that categorizes all of the 3140 US counties into 6 economic-dependency types and 7 other county-types. Although the specific bands of this report may differ from the dominant characteristics of the county, the county environment is useful to better understand the site location context. Further information about these categories may be found at the MissionalCyclopedia.org website.

COUNTY ENVIRONMENT	CODE	INDICATORS
Housing Stress County	1	True
Low-education County	0	False
Low-employment County	0	False
Persistent Poverty County	0	False
Population Loss County	0	False
Non-metro Recreation County	0	False
Retirement Destination County	0	False

ECONOMIC DEPENDENCY	CODE	INDICATORS
Farm-dependent county indicator	0	False
Mining-dependent county indicator	0	False
Manufacturing-dependent county indicator	0	False
Federal/State government-dependent county indicator	0	False
Services-dependent county indicator	0	False
Nonspecialized-dependent county indicator	1	True

### Site Location Summary - Social Environment

The MOSAIC USA lifestyle segmentation system was created by Experian, a global company based in the UK. This segmentation system has the US population subdivided into 60 lifestyle segments and 12 social groups. IICM has built upon this by creating a typology of six social environments of which each segment and social group is a part. The chart below gives the number of households that are in the 0-0.5 mile band that are in each of the six social environment. The households in each environment share a common situation that produces shared cultural practices, values and spiritual issues. For an explanation of these community cultures, please consult the corresponding articles at MissionalCyclopedia.org.

SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT	0-0.5 MI BAND	HHLDS	PERCENT
Upscale Communities	Affluent, White-collar Families	650	35.08%
Mainstay Communities	Established, Diverse Households	220	11.87%
Working Communities	Blue-collar, Working Families	896	48.35%
Country Communities	Rural, Agri. & Mining Families	0	0%
Aspiring Communities	Young Singles / Aspiring-Multihousing	76	4.1%
Urban Communities	High Density, Inner-city Neighborhoods	12	0.65%

### Using the Site Location Summary

The site location summary has been designed to aid APEPT missional response teams, church planters and church planting sponsors in determining potential sites for starting new ministries and new congregations and choosing appropriate personnel for the location. Below is a list of several issues that need to be considered in choosing a potential site location.

#### Issues for Your Consideration

- 1. The Sitescape category, group and density pattern listed on page 3 identifies this location within a continuum that reaches from country to town to suburb to city. Ideally, church planters and missional team members should have already acculturated to this type of location. It may be difficult for a "City Person" to minister effectively in a "country" location and vice versa.
- 2. The urbanicity designations on page 5 may have implications for ministry development. The extent of commuting within a metro area may need to be considered in choosing a potential building site or in developing evening events or ministries. The percent of the population that commute from a non-metro to metro area may also need to be taken into consideration.

### Using the Site Location Summary

#### Issues for Your Consideration - continued

- 3. Band composition and the comparison of population between the bands on page 6 may have implications regarding types of anticipated ministry development from "a neighborhood" congregation to a more "regional" approach. The numbers and types of group quarters (usually some form of multi-family housing) may suggest the need for multi-housing ministry. The density and diversity indices compare this site to other site locations across the country. Values over 50 in each of these indicates a higher level of diversity or density than most other locations.
- 4. Each US location exists in a county or county-equivalent, as shown on page 7. This overall context may affect the environment of this site location. The type of economic dependence of a county may shape the background of a church planter that will be needed for this particular site.
- 5. The dominant social environments in this particular site noted on page 8 create specific cultural practices and values that will need to be taken into consideration when starting a new ministry or new congregation. Please read the summary articles at MissionalCyclopedia.org for the top 2 largest social environments. What implications do you see based on the dominant social environments in this location?

### Spirituality Indicators Overview - Evangelscape

The Evangelscape is an IICM dataset based on a national study conducted by the Simmons Market Research Bureau as indexed to the MOSAIC lifestyle segmentation system. The dataset includes the percent of households for each MOSAIC lifestyle segment that are considered "unreached," "religious but not evangelical," "spiritual but not religious or evangelical," and "not evangelical and not interested in religion or spirituality." For an explanation of these terms see the Evangelscape article at MissionalCyclopedia.org.

The chart below compares the count and percent of households in the county with the count and percent of households in the 0-0.5 mile band that are in each category. The index value assumes that if the county percent and the 0-0.5 mile band percent were both the same, the index would be 100. Thus values lower than 100 reflect categories where the percentage in the 0-0.5 mile band are lower than the county average. The values that are higher than 100 reflect categories where the percentage is higher for the 0-0.5 mile band. A value of 200 would be twice the value of 100 (which would be a 100% increase over the county average).

EVANGELSCAPE: SPIRITUALITY	COUNTY	0-0.5 MILE BAND	% INDEX
Unreached Households	101,717	1,272	1.25%
Unreached %	69.11%	68.65%	99.33
Religious But NOT Evangelical HH	22,826	303	1.33%
Religious But NOT Evangelical %	15.51%	16.35%	105.4
Spiritual But NOT Relig or Evang HH	16,541	185	1.12%
Spiritual But NOT Relig or Evang %	11.24%	9.99%	88.9
Not Evangelical, Not Interested HH	62,387	784	1.26%
Not Evangelical, Not Interested %	42.39%	42.31%	99.81



### Spirituality Indicators - Churchscape

IICM's Churchscape indicates the number of CBGC within 3 miles of the site location. It also compares the percentage of active and inactive evangelicals in the county to those in this site location. The index value provides a snapshot of how this site location compares to the county levels. The number of new churches needed at this site location is based upon the need to have one church for every 2000 households (or roughly every 4-5,000 people).

ECCLESCAPE: CHURCHES	COUNTY	3 MILE	PERCENT
		RING	&INDEX
Num of CBGC Churches	0	0	0%
Active CBGC Attenders	0	0	0%
Active Evangelical Households	7,126	2,519	35.34%
Active Evangelical Percent	4.84%	4.72%	97.56
Inactive Evangelical Households	38,334	13,549	35.34%
Inactive Evangelical Percent	26.05%	25.41%	97.56
# New Churches Needed	74	27	36.23%



### Using the Spirituality Indicators

Below are some issues related to the spirituality indicators that you may want to consider as you review this site as a potential location for a new ministry or new congregation.

#### Issues for Your Consideration


- 1. The Evangelscape on page 11 provides an overview of the spiritual topography of this location. While the percent of unreached households is significant, the other percentages may need further clarification as you conduct an on-site review. The percentage of the population who are religious (meaning actively attend some form of institutionalized religion) and spiritual (meaning embracing spirituality but not institutional religion) may require further investigation. Those in the "not evangelical, but not interested in religion or spirituality" category may require an outreach process rooted in incarnational ministry.
- 2. Your team may want to seriously discuss the Churchscape on page 12. The percentage of active evangelicals in this site is a key figure for you to consider both in terms of recruiting additional help, but also in terms of the significance of the need for a new ministry or new congregation. The number of new churches needed is another significant value.

Jamestown

The population and household figures from IICM's Demoscape report are given below for this site location. Historical figures from the 1990 and 2000 US Census precede the current year estimates for the 0-0.5 mile band. The percentage of the county population and number of households that appears in the 0-0.5 mile band is given for each year. Location types refer to residential, business and seasonal use

DEMOSCAPE	COUNTY	BAND	% OF CO
1990 Population	257,865	5,679	2.2%
2000 Population	347,996	5,931	1.7%
2010 Population	451,685	6,077	1.35%


DEMOSCAPE	COUNTY	BAND	% OF CO
1990 Households	93,950	1,838	1.96%
2000 Households	122,812	1,936	1.58%
2010 Households	147,177	1,853	1.26%



Location Type	0-0.5mi Band
Residential	2,098
Residential Apt.	240
Residential Non-Apt.	1,858
Business	27
Seasonal	0
USPS Residential	2,199
USPS Business	30

A current year demographic summary of age categories for the site location appears on the right.


For each category both county and 0-0.5 mile band percentages are given. The Index value assumes that if the county percent and the 0-0.5 mile band percent were both the same, the index would be 100.



2010 POP. ESTIMATES	COUNTY	BAND	INDEX
0-3 Years	7.1%	6.42%	90.42
4-5 Years	3.37%	3.27%	97.03
6-8 Years	4.96%	5%	100.81
9-11 Years	4.6%	4.64%	100.87
12-13 Years	2.9%	2.88%	99.31
14-17 Years	5.35%	5.51%	102.99
18-19 Years	2.53%	2.62%	103.56
0-5 Years	10.46%	9.69%	92.64
6-12 Years	11.01%	11.09%	100.73
13-19 Years	9.32%	9.56%	102.58
< 20 Years	30.79%	30.34%	98.54
20-34 Years	22.51%	22.23%	98.76
35-44 Years	15.1%	13.59%	90
45-64 Years	22.66%	24.01%	105.96
65-74 Years	5.19%	6.02%	115.99
75+ Years	3.74%	3.83%	102.41
Median Age	33	35	104.45
Median Age (Male)	33	33	101.77
Median Age (Female)	33	36	107.84

A current year demographic summary of race/ethnicity and education of adults for the site location appears on the right.

For each category both county and 0-0.5 mile band percentages are given. The Index value assumes that if the county percent and the 0-0.5 mile band percent were both the same, the index would be 100.



2010 POP. ESTIMATES	COUNTY	BAND	INDEX
Race/Ethnicity			
White, Anglo	83.51%	81.7%	97.83
Black, African-American	3.42%	2.22%	64.96
Native American	1.28%	1.27%	98.64
Asian	3.14%	7.44%	236.92
Pacific Island, Hawaiian	0.16%	0.03%	20.45
Other/Multiple Races	8.48%	7.34%	86.5
Hispanic	0%	31.56%	0

Education of Adults (25 yrs+)			
Total Adults over age 25 years.	284,430	3,854	
Less than 9th Grade	7.19%	6.98%	103.06
No High School Diploma	10.08%	9.55%	105.6
High School Graduate	31.02%	31.63%	98.07
Some College, no degree	21.64%	22.55%	95.96
Associate Degree	8.15%	8.04%	101.37
College Degree	15.75%	16.24%	96.96
Graduate/Prof. degree	6.17%	5.01%	123.12

A current year demographic summary of family and non-family households for the site location appears on the right.

For each category both county and 0-0.5 mile band percentages are given. The Index value assumes that if the county percent and the 0-0.5 mile band percent were both the same, the index would be 100. Thus values lower than 100 reflect categories where the percentage in the 0-0.5 mile band are lower than the county average. The values that are higher than 100 reflect categories where the percentage is higher for the 0-0.5 mile band. A value of 200 would be twice the value of 100 (which would be a 100% increase over the county average). A value of 50 would be 50% of the county average.

2010 HOUSEHOLD	COUNTY	BAND	INDEX
ESTIMATES			
Household Income			
< \$10,000	5.25%	2.48%	61.28
\$10,000 to \$19,999	8.97%	6.42%	71.56
\$20,000 to \$29,999	9.07%	8.63%	95.24
\$30,000 to \$49,999	19.85%	21.96%	110.68
\$50,000 to \$59,999	8.82%	10.36%	117.47
\$60,000 to \$69,999	8.48%	10.25%	120.96
\$70,000 to \$79,999	7.95%	7.29%	91.61
\$80,000 to \$89,999	6.4%	6.21%	96.94
\$90,000 to \$99,999	4.33%	4.26%	98.43
\$100,000 to \$124,999	9.68%	11.55%	119.3
\$125,000 to \$149,999	5.16%	6.69%	129.81
\$150,000 to \$199,999	3.92%	2.32%	59.14
\$200,000 to \$249,999	0.91%	0.59%	65.01
\$250,000 or more	1.21%	1.03%	84.83
Median Household	57,872	62,079	107.27
Average Household	68,200	70,409	103.24
Per Capita Household	22,556	21,478	95.22
Family/Non-Family Household			
Income			
Median Family Income	67,327	71,250	105.83
Average Family Income	77,052	78,240	101.54
Median Non-Family Income	39,940	45,694	114.41
Average Non-Family Income	48,086	49,015	101.93

A current year demographic summary of household types, houseing units and households by size and count by person for the site location appears at right.

For each category both county and 0-0.5 mile band percentages are given. The Index value assumes that if the county percent and the 0-0.5 mile band percent were both the same, the index would be 100. Thus values lower than 100 reflect categories where the percentage in the 0-0.5 mile band are lower than the county average. The values that are higher than 100 reflect categories where the percentage is higher for the 0-0.5 mile band. A value of 200 would be twice the value of 100 (which would be a 100% increase over the county average). A value of 50 would be 50% of the county average.


2010 HOUSEHOLD	COUNTY	BAND	
ESTIMATES			
Family Households			Index
% Family Households	69.44%	73.18%	105.38
Families with Children	38.92%	38.91%	99.97
Families without Children	30.52%	34.27%	112.29
Non-Family Households			
% Non-Family Households	30.56%	26.82%	87.77
Non-Families with Children	0.29	0.22	74.58
Non-Families without Children	30.27	26.61	87.89
Housing Units			Index
Total Housing Units	164,717	2,002	
Vacant percent	10.65%	7.39%	69.42
Owned percent	61.97%	62.79%	101.32%
Rented Percent	27.38%	29.77%	108.72
Households by Size			Index
Avg household size	3.04	3.28	107.89
Avg family hh size	3.82	3.97	103.93
Avg non-family hh size	1.29	1.38	106.98
Households By Count of Persons			Percent
One	36,640	381	1.04%
Two	31,704	396	1.25%
Three or Four	50,210	658	1.31%
Five+	28,623	418	1.46%

The population and household figures from IICM's Demoscape report are given below for this site location. Historical figures from the 1990 and 2000 US Census precede the current year estimates and the five year projections for both the county in which this site location exists and also for the 0-0.5 mile ring. The percentage of the county population and number of households that appears in the 0-0.5 mile ring is given for each year. The graph illustrates household change from 1985 to 2030.

DEMOSCAPE	COUNTY	RING	% OF CO
1990 Population	257,865	5,679	2.2%
2000 Population	347,996	5,931	1.7%
2010 Population	451,685	6,077	1.35%
2015 Population	505,129	6,337	1.25%

DEMOSCAPE	COUNTY	RING	% OF CO
1990 Households	93,950	1,838	1.96%
2000 Households	122,812	1,936	1.58%
2010 Households	147,177	1,853	1.26%
2015 Households	151,404	1,771	1.17%


Household Change from 1985 to 2030



A comparison between the current and PROJECTED demographic summary of age group categories for the site location for five years from the current year appears on the right.

For each category both the current year and the projected percents for the 0-0.5 mile band are given. The Index value assumes that if the current and projected percents were both the same, the index would be 100.


#### Projected Age Group Percentages



CURRENT VS. PROJECTED	CURRENT	PLUS 5 YEARS	INDEX
0-3 Years	6.42%	5.76%	89.72
4-5 Years	3.27%	3.19%	97.55
6-8 Years	5%	4.94%	98.8
9-11 Years	4.64%	4.67%	100.65
12-13 Years	2.88%	3.09%	107.29
14-17 Years	5.51%	5.93%	107.62
18-19 Years	2.62%	2.92%	111.45
0-5 Years	9.69%	8.95%	92.36
6-12 Years	11.09%	11.16%	100.63
13-19 Years	9.56%	10.4%	108.79
< 20 Years	30.34%	30.51%	100.56
20-34 Years	22.23%	18.79%	84.53
35-44 Years	13.59%	14.19%	104.42
45-64 Years	24.01%	24.98%	104.04
65-74 Years	6.02%	7.39%	122.76
75+ Years	3.83%	4.13%	107.83
Median Age	33	36	110.1
Median Age (Male)	33	35	107.3
Median Age (Female)	33	38	113.23

A comparison between the current and PROJECTED demographic summary of race/ethnicity and education categories for the site location for five years from the current year appears on the right.

For each category both the current year and the projected percents for the 0-0.5 mile band are given. The Index value assumes that if the current and projected percents were both the same, the index, would be 100.



CURRENT VS. PROJECTED	CURRENT	PLUS 5 YRS	INDEX
Race/Ethnicity			
White, Anglo	81.7%	81.65%	99.93
Black, African-American	2.22%	2.37%	106.55
Native American	1.27%	1.31%	103.37
Asian	7.44%	7.57%	101.84
Pacific Island, Hawaiian	0.03%	0.06%	191.79
Other/Multiple Races	7.34%	7.04%	95.9
Hispanic	0%	0%	0
Education of Adults (25 yrs+)			
Total Adults over age 25 years.	3,854	4,023	
Less than 9th Grade	6.98%	6.69%	95.8
No High School Diploma	9.55%	8.18%	85.65
High School Graduate	31.63%	31.62%	99.96
Some College, no degree	22.55%	21.6%	95.8
Associate Degree	8.04%	8.63%	107.23
College Degree	16.24%	17.8%	109.57

5.01%

Graduate/Prof. degree

5.49%

109.7

A comparison between the current and PROJECTED demographic summary of household income and family / non-family income for the site location appears on the right. For each category both the current year and the projected percents for the 0-0.5 mile band are given The Index value given. assumes that if the current and projected percents were both the same, the index would be 100. Thus values lower than 100 reflect categories where the projected percentage in the 0-0.5 mile band are lower than the current percents. The values that are higher than 100 reflect categories where the projected percentage is higher for the 0-0.5 mile band. A value of 200 would be twice the value of 100 (which would be a 100% increase over the current percent). A value of 50 would be 50% of the current percent.

CURRENT VS. PROJECTED	CURRENT	PLUS 5 YEARS	INDEX
Household Income			
< \$10,000	2.48%	2.65%	106.9
\$10,000 to \$19,999	6.42%	6.38%	99.35
\$20,000 to \$29,999	8.63%	7.91%	91.55
\$30,000 to \$49,999	21.96%	20.27%	92.29
\$50,000 to \$59,999	10.36%	8.58%	82.83
\$60,000 to \$69,999	10.25%	10.33%	100.78
\$70,000 to \$79,999	7.29%	7.85%	104.63
\$80,000 to \$89,999	6.21%	6.66%	108.27
\$90,000 to \$99,999	4.26%	4.63%	108.6
\$100,000 to \$249,999	11.55%	12.82%	110.99
\$125,000 to \$149,999	6.69%	7.85%	117.29
\$150,000 to \$199,999	2.32%	2.48%	107.06
\$200,000 to \$249,999	0.59%	0.68%	114.14
\$250,000 or more	1.03%	1.19%	115.64
Median Household	62,079	66,054	106.4
Average Household	70,409	75,637	107.43
Per Capita Household	21,478	21,147	98.46
Family/Non-Family Household			
Income			
Median Family Income	71,250	75,334	105.73
Average Family Income	78,240	84,567	108.09
Median Non-Family Income	45,694	47,856	104.73
Average Non-Family Income	49,015	51,903	105.89



A comparison between the current and PROJECTED demographic summary of family and non-family households, housing units, households by size, and households by count of persons for the site location appears on the right. For each category both the current year and the projected percents for the 0-0.5 mile band are given. The Index value assumes that if the current and Projected percents were both the same, the index would be 100. Thus values lower than 100 reflect categories where the projected percentage in the 0-0.5 mile band are lower than the current percents. The values that are higher than 100 reflect categories where the projected percentage is higher for the 0-0.5 mile band. A value of 200 would be twice the value of 100 (which would be a 100% increase over the current percent). A value of 50 would be 50% of the current percent.

CURRENT VS. PROJECTED	CURRENT	PLUS 5 YEARS	INDEX
Family Households			
% Family Households	73.18%	72.56%	99.15
Families with Children	38.91	37.83	97.23
Families without Children	34.27	31.85	92.93
Non-Family Households			
% Non-Family Households	26.82%	27.44%	102.31
Non-Families with Children	0.22	0.11	102.31
Non-Families without	26.61	27.33	102.72
Children			
Housing Units			
Total Housing Units	2,002	1,913	95.55%
Vacant percent	7.39%	7.42%	100.41
Owned percent	62.79%	62.57%	99.66
Rented Percent	29.77%	30.01%	100.79
Households by Size			
Avg household size	3.28	3.57	108.84%
Avg family hh size	3.97	4.45	112.09%
Avg non-family hh size	1.38	1.26	91.3%
Households By Count of			
Persons			
One	381	390	102.36%
Two	396	217	54.8%
Three or Four	658	653	99.24%
Five+	418	511	122.25%

### **Ethnographic Summary**

The heart of the missional task is reaching specific people groups. Some of the ethnic, language, ancestry, and foreign-born groups in your missional site may need contextual approaches to reach them and multiply disciples among them. Foreign born peoples bring much of their culture from their homelands with them. However, over time they begin to adapt to their new surroundings. Different approaches may be needed for groups at different levels of acculturation. For more information about acculturation please see the MissionalCyclopedia.org.

BORN IN:	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Foreign Born Pop	873	3,052	10,332
Northern Europe	0	100	280
Western Europe	69	215	469
Southern Europe	0	23	73
Eastern Europe	101	112	553
Other Europe	0	0	0
Eastern Asia	4	203	532
So. Central Asia	7	96	387
SE Asia	206	765	2,484
Western Asia	0	40	206
Other Asia	0	0	3

BORN IN:	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Eastern Africa	0	0	1
Middle Africa	0	0	8
Northern Africa	0	0	3
Southern Africa	0	0	42
Western Africa	0	16	16
Other Africa	0	2	5
Oceania	0	28	25
Caribbean	0	26	88
Central Amer.	458	1,258	4,700
South America	0	56	193
North America	28	112	264
Born at sea	0	0	0

### Language Summary

The table below provides the percentage of population over the age of 5 who speak these languages within the three site bands. The data is based upon the 2000 Census SF3 dataset.

SPOKEN AT HOME	0-0.5 MILES	0.5-1.5 MILES	1.5-3 MILES
English only	3,801	26,864	76,498
Spanish	723	2,997	10,621
Other Indo-Euro	147	500	1,991
language			
French (incl. Patois,	14	58	327
Cajun)			
French Creole	0	0	0
Italian	17	35	223
Portuguese	0	2	55
German	13	219	485
Yiddish	0	0	0
Other West Germanic	0	12	47
A Scandinavian	5	17	54
Language			
Greek	0	0	12
Russian	26	44	95
Polish	0	21	204
Serbo-Croatian	18	0	13
Other Slavic Language	47	27	197
Armenian	0	0	0
Persian	0	33	116
Gujarathi	0	0	0
Hindi	0	30	39
Urdu	0	0	57

SPOKEN AT HOME	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Other Indo-Euro	0	0	36
Asian/PI languages	0	0	0
Chinese	18	185	269
Japanese	0	20	153
Korean	0	76	224
Mon-Khmer, Cambodian	19	9	16
Miao, Hmong	283	340	1,341
Thai	0	80	100
Laotian	12	128	701
Vietnamese	2	294	959
Other Asian	81	17	113
Tagalog	3	81	123
Other Pacific Is	0	0	50
Other languages	8	132	312
Navajo	0	17	73
Other Native N. American	0	31	71
Hungarian	0	8	36
Arabic	0	51	117
Hebrew	8	0	7
African languages	0	25	8
Other unspecified	0	0	0

### **Ancestry Summary**

The table below provides the percentage of population with a historical national heritage within the three site bands. The data is based upon the 2000 Census SF3 dataset.

ANCESTRY	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Reporting ancestry	4,708	28,900	84,203
Arab	14	67	210
Armenian	0	0	0
Austrian	3	48	178
British	16	109	256
Canadian	11	125	113
Croatian	14	34	117
Czech	4	125	476
Czechoslovak	4	27	105
Danish	5	193	540
Dutch	50	432	1,105
English	283	2,673	6,671
European	38	493	793
Finnish	0	26	204
French (not	89	515	1,861
Basque)			
French Canadian	2	167	464
German	725	5,577	15,313
Greek	5	53	205
Hungarian	0	147	220
Iranian	0	12	109

ANCESTRY	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Irish	335	2,680	7,264
Italian	180	1,405	4,603
Lithuanian	0	15	57
Norwegian	59	745	1,932
Polish	47	463	1,666
Portuguese	0	11	102
Romanian	0	4	13
Russian	34	163	311
Scandinavian	0	71	247
Scotch-Irish	61	480	1,074
Scottish	69	479	1,309
Slovak	0	46	155
Subsaharan African	13	47	122
Swedish	82	518	1,776
Swiss	54	68	178
Ukrainian	19	31	85
US/American	336	1,689	4,318
Welsh	0	200	488
West Indian	0	4	20
Yugoslavian	6	54	76
Other	2,150	8,905	29,463

### Using the Demographic Indicators

Below are some issues related to the demographic indicators that you may want to consider as you review this site as a potential location for a new ministry or new congregation.

#### Issues for Your Consideration

- 1. The Demoscape information on page 19 highlights the rate of population change at the site compared to it's county from 1990 to a projected date 5 years from the current year. What changes do you see over time? How significant is this site location as a percentage of the county? Is this a growing, plateauing or declining location?
- 2. The age categories on pages 15 and 20 clearly indicate the site population in various age-graded groups. Which age groups are the largest at this site? What changes are projected in the next 5 years? What implications does this have for small groups and other ministries?
- 3. The racial/ethnic and education categories listed on pages 16 & 21 provide a window into the type of people that need to be reached at the site. What is the dominant racial/ethnic category that needs to be reached? What do the educational levels tell you about the ways in which you need to design your outreach and discipleship? What implications arise from the changes that are projected in the next 5 years?

**Grand View Estates** 

### Using the Demographic Indicators

#### Issues for Your Consideration - continued

- 4. Socioeconomics has a profound effect on many aspects of ministry and missional design. Pages 17 & 22 highlight income categories at the site compared to county percentages and 5 year projections. What are the dominant economic categories for this location? How does this site compare to the rest of the country? How do family and non-family households compare economically at this site? What implications arise from the changes projected over the next 5 years? What are the implications for missional ministry?
- 5. A summary of family and non-family households, housing units, households by size and households by count of persons for both the site, the county and 5 year projections are listed on pages 18 & 23. What are the primary family types for this location? How does this site compare to the rest of the county? What changes are anticipated over the next 5 years? What are the implications for missional ministry?
- 6. The ethnic summary on pages 24, 25 & 26 provide an overview of the foreign-born population, language diversity and ancestry. From this information which groups of people are most significant at this site and in comparison to the country? How does this impact the development of new ministries and new congregations at this site?


In recent years, both George Barna and George Gallup have completed national polls that indicate that approximately 30% to 32% of the US population consider themselves "evangelical." However, their polling process interviews about 1000 statistically sampled people from which they derive this number. Simmons Market Research Bureau, the oldest and most authoritative source for market research in the US today, has conducted a similar poll. However, their methodology interviews approximately 27,000 people across the US. Their survey found that 31.9% of the US population consider themselves to be evangelical. The large sampling size enables IICM to cross reference their findings across the 60 MOSAIC lifestyle segments in the US. From this IICM has created an "Evangelscape dataset" that establishes the extent to which each of the 60 MOSAIC lifestyle segments are unreached in your site location.

This section of your MissionSite report provides one view of the number of households in your area that have not been reached with the gospel. To obtain a full Evangelscape report of your area you will want to purchase a TRIBALDEX report at MissionalContext.org. To create a neighborhood level map of the unreached status of your missional site area you will want to subscribe to the IICM mapping site at MissionalCulturescape.org.

Delta

pyright 2014, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

Breckenridae



The table below lists 18 lifestyle segments in three social groups, A-Affluent Suburbia, B-Upscale America, and C-Small Town Success. For the 0-0.5 mile ring, the number of households is listed together with the percent of the band in that segment. The number of unreached households is also listed together with the percent of the total unreached that are in the segment.

2010 MOSAIC HHIds	0-0.5 HH & Percent		Unreached HH & Percent	
Total	1,853	100%	1,271	100%
AFFLUENT SUBURBIA	0	0%	0	0%
America's Wealthiest	0	0%	0	0%
Dream Weavers	0	0%	0	0%
White Collar Suburbia	0	0%	0	0%
Upscale Suburbia	0	0%	0	0%
Enterprising Couples	0	0%	0	0%
Small Town Success	0	0%	0	0%
New Suburbia Fam.	0	0%	0	0%
UPSCALE AMERICA	650	35.08%	448	35.25%
Status Conscious Consumers	0	0%	0	0%
Affluent Urban Professionals	0	0%	0	0%
Urban Commuter Fam.	478	25.8%	321	25.26%
Solid Suburban Mix	8	0.43%	6	0.47%
2nd Generation Success	156	8.42%	115	9.05%
Successful Urban Sprawl	8	0.43%	6	0.47%
SM TWN SUCCESS	148	7.99%	96	7.55%
2nd City Homebodies	0	0%	0	0%
Prime Middle America	37	2%	24	1.89%
Urban Optimists	16	0.86%	11	0.87%
Family Convenience	95	5.13%	61	4.8%
Mid-Market Enterprise	0	0%	0	0%

The table below lists 15 lifestyle segments in three social groups, D-Blue Collar Backbone, E-American Diversity, and F-Metro Fringe. For the 0-0.5 mile ring, the number of households is listed together with the percent of the band in that segment. The number of unreached households is also listed together with the percent of the total unreached that are in the segment.

2010 MOSAIC HHIds	0-0.5 HH & Percent		Unreached HH & Percent	
Total	1,853	100%	1,271	100%
BLUE COLLAR BACKBONE	199	10.74%	140	11.01%
Nuevo Hispanic Fam.	199	10.74%	140	11.01%
Working Rural Suburbia	0	0%	0	0%
Lower Income Essentials	0	0%	0	0%
Small Town Endeavors	0	0%	0	0%
AMER. DIVERSITY	72	3.89%	52	4.09%
Ethnic Urban Mix	0	0%	0	0%
Urban Blues	0	0%	0	0%
Professional Urbanites	72	3.89%	52	4.09%
Urban Advancement	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Great Outdoors	0	0%	0	0%
Mature America	0	0%	0	0%
METRO FRINGE	697	37.61%	475	37.37%
Steadfast Conservative	511	27.58%	350	27.54%
Moderate Conventionalists	186	10.04%	125	9.83%
Southern Blues	0	0%	0	0%
Urban Grit	0	0%	0	0%
Grass-Roots Living	0	0%	0	0%

Williamsburg

The table below lists 13 lifestyle segments in three social groups, G-Remote America, H-Aspiring Contemporaries, and I-Rural Villages & Farms. For the 0-0.5 mile ring, the number of households is listed together with the percent of the band in that segment. The number of unreached households is also listed together with the percent of the total unreached that are in the segment.

2010 MOSAIC HHIds	0-0.5 HH & Percent		Unreached HH & Percent	
Total	1,853	100%	1,271	100%
REMOTE AMERICA	0	0%	0	0%
Hardy Rural Fam.	0	0%	0	0%
Rural Southern Living	0	0%	0	0%
Coal & Crops	0	0%	0	0%
Native America	0	0%	0	0%
ASPIRING CONTEMP'S	76	4.1%	53	4.17%
Young Cosmopolitans	0	0%	0	0%
Minority Metro Communities	0	0%	0	0%
Stable Careers	46	2.48%	33	2.6%
Aspiring Hispania	30	1.62%	20	1.57%
RURAL VILLAGES & FARMS	0	0%	0	0%
Industrious Country Living	0	0%	0	0%
America's Farmland	0	0%	0	0%
Comfy Country Living	0	0%	0	0%
Small Town Connections	0	0%	0	0%
Hinterland Fam.	0	0%	0	0%

The table below lists 14 lifestyle segments in three social groups, J-Struggling Societies, K-Urban Essence, and L-Varying Lifestyles. For each the 0-0.5 mile ring, the number of households is listed together with the percent of the band in that segment. The number of unreached households is also listed together with the percent of the total unreached that are in the segment.

2010 MOSAIC HHIds	0-0.5 HH & Percent		Unreached HH & Pe	ercent
Total	1,853	100%	1,271	100%
STRUGGLING SOCIETIES	0	0%	0	0%
Rugged Southern Style	0	0%	0	0%
Latino Nuevo	0	0%	0	0%
Struggling city Centers	0	0%	0	0%
College Town Communities	0	0%	0	0%
New Beginnings	0	0%	0	0%
URBAN ESSENCE	12	0.65%	7	0.55%
<b>Unattached Multicultures</b>	0	0%	0	0%
Academic Necessities	12	0.65%	7	0.55%
Af. Amer. Neighborhoods	0	0%	0	0%
Urban Diversity	0	0%	0	0%
New Generation Activists	0	0%	0	0%
Getting By	0	0%	0	0%
VARYING LIFESTYLES	0	0%	0	0%
Military Family Life	0	0%	0	0%
Major University Towns	0	0%	0	0%
Gray Perspectives	0	0%	0	0%

### Identifying Focus Groups in this Location

Lafavette

Within the 0-0.5 mile, 0.5-1.5 mile and 1.5-3 mile bands of this site location, there are several types of people groups including ethnic, lifestyle, lifestage, and socio-religious people groups. A focus group is a group of people to whom you feel especially called to reach. Below are some suggestions regarding identifying focus groups in this site location.

#### Issues for Your Consideration

- 1. Review the current demographic summary on pages 14-18 for this site location. What demographic groups are prevalent in this particular site location?
- 2. Next take a look at the projected demographic summary on pages 19-23. What increase or decrease is expected for these groups in this site location?
- 3. Review the current ethnic summary on pages 24-26. What ethnic groups are prevalent in this site location?
- 4. Review the unreached households by lifestyle segment on pages 30-33. Which social groups have the largest number of unreached households? Which lifestyle groups have a significant number of unreached households?
- 5. Compile your answers to numbers 1 through 4 and begin prayerfully prioritizing the list of people groups that you could attempt to reach in this location. Which groupings would appear to culturally "fit" together well? Which groups likely need special consideration in developing a culturally appropriate new missional ministry or congregation?

Victor

Ophir

Womelsdorf (Coalton)




### Potential Cultural Bridges

Donald McGavran in his book, The Bridges of God, emphasized the need for people to come to faith within the context of their own culture. "People like to become Christians without crossing racial, lignuistic, or class barriers." In addition, research into evangelism suggests that most people come to faith in Christ as the result of the witness of a Christian with whom they have a close personal relationship (mostly a friend or a relative).

Missional congregations intentionally release their people to permeate the community in order to develop relational bridges across which they can present Christ. These relational bridges usually are established in some context: arts & cultural organizations, sports teams and sporting events, park & recreational facility use, community organizations, community cultural events, and community social concerns and needs.

Thus, cultural bridges are those characteristics that could be used to build relationships with people in the lifestyle group. Various activities, interests, or opinions (AIO) represented among the consumer behavior characteristics of the lifestyle groups in the comunity offer ways for believers to build relationships with people in those lifestyle groups and through that relationship impact them for Christ.

[i] McGavran, Donald A. The Bridges of God. Revised edition. (New York: Friendship Press, 1981).



Ca�on Citv

Lafavette

### Potential Cultural Bridges

The tables below list 20 consumer behavior variables related to computer and internet use at this mission site. For each of the three bands - 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles - the percent of the households in the missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org

BRIDGES	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
PC-HH Own	79%	78%	78%
Use Comp. for Internet/E-mail	63%	63%	62%
Internet Use: E-Mail	51%	52%	52%
Use Comp. for Word	40%	41%	42%
Processing			
Use Comp. for Comp. Games	38%	39%	40%
Use Comp. for Shopping	36%	36%	37%
Use Comp. for Education	34%	33%	33%
Use Comp. for Banking	33%	34%	35%
Use Comp. for Digital Camera	32%	33%	34%
Photo Editing			
Internet Use: News/ Weather	30%	29%	29%

BRIDGES	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
HH Owns DVD Player	28%	29%	29%
Internet Use: Banking	25%	27%	28%
Use Comp. for News/Info./Data	25%	26%	26%
Service			
PC-Network-HH Has One	19%	20%	21%
Use Comp. for Personal	15%	15%	15%
Financial Mngmnt			
Internet Use: Shopping:	14%	15%	14%
Gathered Info. for Shopping			
Use Comp. for Accounting	13%	14%	14%
Use Comp. for Filing/DB Mngmnt	13%	12%	12%
Internet Use: Research/	13%	14%	14%
Education			
Internet Use: Read Magazines/	12%	12%	12%
Newspapers			

The tables below lists 20 consumer behavior variables related to top leisure activities, auto maintenance, prescription drug use, and diet control at this mission site. For each of the three bands - 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles - the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

BRIDGES	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Listening To Music	67%	67%	67%
Dining Out (Not Fast Food)	57%	59%	58%
Reading Books	52%	54%	54%
Card Games	40%	41%	40%
Go To A Beach/Lake	37%	38%	38%
Cooking for Fun	36%	37%	36%
Gardening	34%	32%	31%
Board Games	32%	32%	32%
Going To	22%	22%	22%
Bars/Nightclubs/Dancing			
Visit Museum	21%	22%	22%

BRIDGES	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Any Ailment	66%	67%	65%
Gen./Fam. Practitioner	41%	41%	39%
Dentist	30%	31%	30%
Eye Dr.	22%	22%	21%
None Of These	21%	20%	21%
Backache	21%	21%	20%
Hypertension/High Blood	19%	19%	18%
Pressure			
High Cholesterol	18%	19%	18%
Any Arthritis	15%	15%	14%
Acid Reflux Disease (GERD)	14%	13%	12%

The tables below lists 20 consumer behavior variables related to attending performances, movies and sporting events at this mission site. For each of the three bands - 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles - the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

BRIDGES	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Concert	28.61%	29.84%	29.66%
Live Theater	20.41%	21.63%	21.36%
Live Theater Most Often	16.98%	17.98%	17.7%
Rock/Pop Concerts Most	16.7%	17.14%	16.85%
Often			
Comedy Club	9.98%	9.73%	10.06%
Dance Performance	8.76%	8.65%	9.22%
Movies: Action/Adventure	38.62%	38.6%	39.15%
Movies: Comedy	37.97%	38.05%	39.17%
Movies: Romantic Comedy	19.86%	20.18%	20.32%

BRIDGES	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Movies: Drama	19.57%	20.78%	20.57%
Movies: Fam.	18.99%	18.88%	19.33%
Movies: Mystery	16.15%	16.6%	16.75%
MLB Baseball Reg.	9.12%	9.33%	9.37%
Season			
NFL Football Reg.	7.21%	7.81%	7.43%
Season			
College Football Reg.	5.96%	6.15%	6.01%
Season			
NBA Basketball Reg.	3.74%	4.12%	4.2%
Season			
NHL Hockey Reg.	3.36%	3.44%	3.81%
Season			
College Basketball Reg. Season	3.25%	3.46%	3.74%

The tables below lists 28 consumer behavior variables related to fitness participation at this mission site. For each of the three bands - 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles - the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org

0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
MILES	MILES	MILES
41.73%	41.95%	41.55%
32.8%	33.5%	33.4%
21.53%	20.79%	20.87%
20.23%	19.3%	19.74%
17.56%	15.98%	15.54%
16.81%	15.83%	14.83%
16.33%	16.97%	17.13%
15.74%	15.85%	15.99%
15.35%	15.47%	15.47%
14.77%	14.37%	14.93%
14.22%	14.23%	13.77%
13.18%	13.4%	13.57%
13.17%	13.41%	13.2%
11.54%	11.08%	11.74%
	MILES 41.73% 32.8% 21.53% 20.23% 17.56% 16.81% 16.33% 15.74% 15.35%  14.77% 14.22% 13.18%	MILES         41.73%       41.95%         32.8%       33.5%         21.53%       20.79%         20.23%       19.3%         17.56%       15.98%         16.81%       15.83%         16.33%       16.97%         15.74%       15.85%         15.35%       15.47%         14.77%       14.37%         14.22%       14.23%         13.18%       13.44%

BRIDGES	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Backpacking/Hiking	10.57%	11.2%	10.68%
Aerobics	9.93%	10.33%	10.15%
Volleyball	9.53%	8.61%	8.72%
Football	9.02%	8.95%	9.17%
Target Shooting	8.73%	8.04%	8.22%
Hunting	8.68%	7.99%	7.83%
Soccer	8.55%	8.39%	8.89%
Power Boating	8.25%	8.17%	7.94%
Softball	7.88%	7.65%	7.7%
Yoga	7.81%	8.35%	8.07%
Canoeing/Kayaking	7.54%	7.6%	7.16%
Saltwater Fishing	7.47%	7.04%	7.03%
Tennis	7.4%	7.64%	7.8%
Motorcycling	6.29%	5.85%	6.02%



The tables below lists 20 consumer behavior variables related to fitness participation at this mission site. For each of the three bands - 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles - the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. However, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org

BRIDGES	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Roller Skating	5.55%	5.71%	5.59%
Ice Skating	5.35%	5.41%	5.3%
Horseback Riding	5.31%	5.23%	5.35%
Downhill & X-Country	5.13%	5.46%	5.15%
Skiing			
Archery	4.93%	4.22%	4.14%
Snorkeling	4.53%	4.9%	5.14%
Fly Fishing	4.5%	4.31%	3.95%
Jet Skiing	4.4%	4.49%	4.56%
Water Skiing	4.38%	4.31%	4.42%
Racquetball	4.28%	4.32%	4.14%

BRIDGES	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Hockey	4.19%	4.08%	3.88%
Snowboarding	4.12%	4.3%	3.93%
Rock Climbing	4.04%	4%	4.17%
Martial Arts	3.67%	3.83%	4.1%
Snowmobiling	3.6%	3.6%	3.55%
Skateboarding	3.49%	3.41%	3.11%
Auto Racing	3.14%	3.15%	3.48%
Surfing &	3.1%	3.37%	2.95%
Windsurfing			
Rowing	2.86%	2.99%	2.96%
Sailing	2.79%	3.3%	3.5%

### Potential Cultural Barriers -- Introduction (part 1)

Most community barriers are related to some aspect of culture that stand in the way of some segments of society having the understanding or acceptance necessary to come to faith in Jesus Christ. These barriers inhibit the flow of the gospel within a people grouping. Other types of barriers include biblical barriers and ecclesial barriers.

#### **BIBLICAL BARRIERS**

The term Biblical barriers, is used to describe aspects of biblical truth that are offensive to the community. Biblical barriers can be divided into two types: 1) barriers based on clear, biblical teaching; 2) barriers based on the cultural application of biblical principles. Barriers caused by clear, biblical teaching cannot be eliminated without compromising the truth of scripture. Application barriers should be re-evaluated in light of the culture of the people group to verify that the application is transcultural instead of culture-specific.

#### **ECCLESIAL BARRIERS**

Ecclesial barriers are barriers that arise from the perceptions that people outside the church have of the church, its people, its programming, and it' leadership. In a classic study of the attitudes of the unchurched, people were asked: "What keeps people like you from considering the Christian faith?" Approximately 75 percent of unchurched people answered the question by refering t oan ecclesial barrier. "They resist becoming Christians because they 'don't want to become like church people'"[i]


**Grand View Estates** 

Centennial

[i] Hunter, George G., Jr. Church for the Unchurched. (Smyma: Abingdon Press, 1996), 59.

Kersev Lakeside

©Copyright 2014, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry oke



### Potential Cultural Barriers -- Introduction (part 2)

#### **CULTURAL BARRIERS OF UNDERSTANDING**

Cultural barriers may impede either understanding or acceptance. Cultural barriers of understanding occur because of problems with the communication of the message. Often people do not understand the gospel when it is presented to them.

#### **CULTURAL BARRIERS OF ACCEPTANCE**


#### Christian Subculture

Cultural barriers of acceptance occur because of a variety of problems, including those related to the Christian sub-culture as well as the culture of the hearer. Sometimes unchurched people have understood that they must adopt the evangelical Christian subculture in order to come to faith -- and become like "church people" -- including dressing like them, participating in all of the church functions and activities, passively following church leadership, not questioning aspects of Christian faith and beliefs, and ignoring the poor and minorities.

#### **Unreached People Group**

Sometimes cultural aspects from within the unchurched people group -- currently held values, attitudes & behaviors are seen as antithetical to Christianity, which inhibits them from coming to faith. Some aspects of culture may be redeemed for God's glory and used to please him. Other cultural aspects are so perverted by sin that they cannot be used without syncretizing. In order to identify cultural barriers of acceptance, missional congregations develop relationships within the cultural group and seek to understand who they are and what an indigenous church would look like among them in order to eliminate unnecessary barriers that have been identified that the missionary should consider in reaching out to the lifestyle segments in this site location.

Copyright 2014, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry



## **Potential Cultural Barriers:**

The tables below list 24 personal attitude variables related to cultural barriers at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the household in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

Cedaredge

BARRIERS	0-0.5 MILES	0.5-1.5 MILES	1.5-3 MILES
Important Continue Learning New Things	49%	49%	48%
Prefer To Have Few Possessions As Possible	41%	41%	39%
Find It Difficult To Say No To My Kids	37%	36%	36%
Woman's Place Is In The Home	36%	34%	34%
Speak My Mind Even If It Upsets People	34%	34%	34%
Like Control Over People And Resources	34%	33%	33%
Friends More Important Than My Fam.	30%	30%	29%
If Won Lottery Would Never Work Again	29%	29%	29%
Don't Judge People/Way They Live Life	29%	28%	28%
Like To Do Unconventional Things	28%	27%	27%
Money Is Best Measure Of Success	26%	26%	25%
Too Much Sponsorship In Arts/Sports	23%	23%	23%

Crestone

BARRIERS	0-0.5 MILES	0.5-1.5 MILES	1.5-3 MILES
Marijuana Should Be Legalized	21%	21%	21%
Like To Pursue Challenge/Novelty/Change	21%	21%	21%
Like to Stand Out In A Crowd	20%	19%	19%
Rarely Sit Down to a Meal Together At Home	17%	16%	15%
I Am A Workaholic	16%	16%	17%
Only Work Current Job for The Money	15%	15%	15%
We Should Strive for Equality for All	14%	14%	14%
Happy With My Standard Of Living	14%	14%	14%
Indulge My Kids With The Little Extras	11%	10%	10%
On Whole People Get What They Deserve	10%	10%	10%
Little I Can Do To Change My Life	8%	7%	7%
More Important Do Duty Than Enjoy Life	7%	7%	7%

#### **Potential Cultural Themes**

Copyright 2014, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry

Typically many Christians explain the gospel the way it was presented to them. However, today many nonbelievers in North America do not have a Christian background nor do they have any interest in the Christian Church or Christianity. Because of this the gospel messages that they have "heard" (with their ears or eyes on TV) has not registered in their mind, heart or soul -- truly they have not actually "heard" (in the Biblical sense of the word) the gospel yet. They need to hear the gospel in a way that grips them where they live.

Cultural themes that arise from their attitudes, beliefs, and life stories provide a significant way for missionaries to share the gospel in their own terms. Just as missionaries in Papua New Guinea shared the story of the legendary "peace child" that a jungle tribe anticipated from their folklore, so too missionaries to this site location need to take "themes" out of the culture to use in sharing the gospel in a fresh and culturally appropriate way. This section provides many significant thems that may be considered as a basis for developing a contextual gospel presentation to people in this site location.



## Potential Cultural Themes:

The tables below list 24 personal attitude variables related to cultural themes at this mission site. For each of the three bands-- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

THEMES	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Important To Respect Customs And Beliefs	62%	63%	61%
You Should Seize Opportunities In Life	57%	57%	56%
Prefer To Have Few Possessions As Possible	41%	41%	39%
Like To Understand About Nature	38%	38%	37%
Important Feel Respected By My Peers	34%	35%	34%
Prefer Work Part Of Team Than Alone	32%	32%	31%
Important To Juggle Various Tasks	29%	30%	30%
Good At Fixing Things	29%	29%	27%
Have Keen Sense Of Adventure	27%	27%	26%
Like To Just Enjoy Life	26%	26%	25%
People Have To Take Me As They Find Me	23%	24%	24%
Worried About Pollution Caused By Cars	19%	20%	20%


THEMES	0-0.5 MILES	0.5-1.5 MILES	1.5-3 MILES
Consider Myself Interested In The Arts	19%	18%	18%
Is An Important Part Of Who I Am	18%	17%	16%
Real Men Don't Cry	17%	17%	17%
Looking for New Ideas To Improve Home	16%	15%	16%
Try Not To Worry About The Future	15%	14%	14%
Enjoy Spending Time With My Fam.	13%	12%	13%
Provide My Kids With The Little Extras	11%	12%	11%
Children Should Be Allowed To Express Themselves	7%	7%	7%
Like Spending Most Time With Fam.	6%	6%	5%
Feel Very Alone In The World	6%	6%	6%
Would Like To Set Up Own Business	5%	4%	4%
Decor Particular Interest To Me	5%	4%	4%

### **Potential Shared Places**

While missional strategy is important, unless someone begins a missional relationship with a non-believer and sharges the gospel with them the missional strategy is ineffective. A key component of missions is "hanging out" with lost people in order to build relationships and to allow the Holy Spirit to use us in moving them toward the claims of the gospel. This section provides some ideas of where to hang out -- where to meet people in the lifestyle segment you are attempting to reach.

Kit Carson

©Copyright 2014, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry



## **Potential Shared Places:**

The tables below list 24 shared variables related to shared places at this mission site. For each of the three bands - 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that frequent the place is given. However, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

PLACE	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Fast Food/Drive-In	85.03%	84.4%	84%
Restaurant-Visit Any			
Fam. Restaurants/Steak	82.54%	82.64%	81.22%
Houses-Visit Any			
McDonald's	54.47%	54.27%	53.8%
Burger King	38.69%	38.1%	37%
Subway	29.98%	29.02%	28.73%
Taco Bell	29.65%	28.9%	28.82%
Applebee's	29.14%	28.56%	28.44%
Wendy's	28.89%	28.51%	27.99%
Kentucky Fried Chicken	25.49%	25.63%	25.63%
(KFC)			
Pizza Hut	21.56%	20.28%	20.8%
Olive Garden	21.21%	20.63%	20.36%
Arby's	20.89%	20.01%	19.92%

PLACE	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Dairy Queen	16.92%	15.87%	15.48%
Red Lobster	16.42%	16.31%	15.51%
Outback Steakhouse	15.04%	15.84%	15.16%
Denny's	14.66%	14.41%	14.27%
IHOP (International House	14.45%	14.95%	14.54%
Of Pancakes)			
Domino's Pizza	14.27%	14.07%	14.68%
Starbucks	13.39%	13.7%	13.87%
Chili's Grill and Bar	12.89%	13.59%	14.01%
Dunkin' Donuts	12.67%	13.05%	11.9%
Cracker Barrel	12.64%	12.08%	12.47%
TGI Friday's	12.2%	12.75%	12.54%
Chick-Fil-A	10.91%	11.6%	11.81%

## Potential Shared Projects

Shared projects are those social or community projects in which people at this site location are involved that Christians could join in with them as a means of developing relationships while "serving with them." So often Christians either expect nonbelievers to come to them ("come to our church service or event") or the church creates a social type activity (church softball league, etc) in the community to invite nonbelievers to attend or join. These type of church-sponsored events and activities are often intimidating to a nonbeliever.

Shared projects are community activities that already exist in the missional site location in which nonbelievers are already participating. When missional believers, who live in the community, join community organizations and participate in community events, they have opportunities to be salt and light in their neighborhoods.

This section of the MissionSite report provides a list of common community activities together with the percentage of the site location that we would expect to be already participating. Find these community organizations, activities or events and join in with others in your community! What a way to build evangelistic relationships!

Cheraw Center



## **Potential Shared Projects**

The tables below list 12 attitude variables related to shared projects at this mission site. For each of the three bands-- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. However, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

PROJECTS	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Voted in fed/state/local election	48.55%	48.34%	47.16%
Recycled products	38.97%	38.71%	39.12%
Worked as volunteer (non political)	17.46%	17.68%	17.74%
Engaged in fund raising	11.01%	10.96%	10.88%
Religious club member	7.38%	7.3%	7.25%
Wrote to editor of mag or newspaper	6.29%	6.01%	6.22%

**Crested Butte** 

PROJECTS	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Wrote to elected offcl about	6.2%	6.35%	6.2%
publ bus			
Union member	5.77%	5.43%	5.22%
Charitable Organization	5.43%	5.56%	5.44%
Took active part in local	5.41%	5.41%	5.22%
civic issue			
Fraternal order member	4.85%	4.79%	4.6%
Addressed a public meeting	4.53%	4.94%	4.83%


#### **Communication Media Content**

The media-saturated 21st century poses both unique challenges and unique opportunities for missional leaders today. A major challenge you will face in this missional site location is cutting through the many layers of media that bombard your intended audience. Through the din of competing voices, the message of the gospel needs to become a clarion call.

Understanding the type of media content people in your site location use may help you to begin planning a comprehensive media strategy. Combining an understanding of this media content with the cultural bridges, barriers, and themes mentioned on pages 36-45 will enable you to begin shaping targeted messages to your intended audience.

k Cortez Broomfield

**Brookside** 



## Potential Print Media Content:

The table below list 18 variables related to book and magazine usage at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the household in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. However, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org

BOOKS	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Novel	17.54%	18.71%	19.04%
Children's Books	12.91%	12.97%	13.25%
Mystery	12.02%	12.83%	12.95%
Cookbooks	10.87%	11.31%	11.37%
Religious (not Bibles)	7.86%	8.29%	8.25%
History	7.57%	7.92%	8.13%
Personal/Business	7.3%	7.35%	7.49%
Self-help			
Biography	7.19%	7.63%	7.61%
Romance	6.38%	6.57%	6.57%

MAGAZINES	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Newspaper Distributed	69.52%	70.03%	68.66%
Gen. Editorial	45.18%	46.97%	46.45%
Womens	40.14%	41.39%	41.28%
Service	34.48%	34.84%	34.73%
Mens	19.42%	19.87%	20.04%
Business/Finance	16.93%	18.37%	18.48%
Sports	15.67%	16.22%	16.43%
Automotive	15.05%	14.2%	13.94%
Parenthood	13.75%	13.08%	13.58%

## Potential Print & Audio Media Content:

The tables below list 31 variables related to newspaper & radio usage at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. However, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behaviors and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

NEWSPAPERS	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Gen. News	55.66%	56.17%	55.36%
Sport	32.74%	32.96%	32.59%
Classified	31.83%	31.6%	31.39%
Business/Finance	30.07%	30.97%	30.65%
Editorial Page	29.45%	30.54%	29.66%
Comics	28.07%	28.03%	27.49%
Movie Listings &	27.02%	27.36%	27.22%
Reviews			
Food/Cooking	25.76%	26.17%	25.68%
TV/Radio Listings	23.81%	24.28%	23.4%
Home/Gardening	21.98%	21.82%	21.53%
Travel	20.17%	20.87%	20.78%
Science/Technology	18.02%	18.18%	18.49%
Fashion	14.05%	14.22%	13.93%

RADIO	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
CHR Contemp Hit Radio	20.84%	20.05%	20.39%
Adult Contemporary	19.65%	19.51%	19.32%
Country	19.14%	18.27%	18.06%
Rock	14.44%	13.66%	13.47%
News/Talk	13.32%	13.52%	13.79%
Oldies	12.63%	12.23%	12.26%
Alternative	11.37%	11.63%	11.94%
Classic Rock	10.41%	10.7%	10.95%
Variety	10.26%	9.48%	9.77%
Urban Contemporary	10.16%	11.4%	11.12%
Hispanic	8.3%	5.46%	6.88%
Soft Contemporary	6.7%	7.17%	7.18%
Religious	6.68%	6.22%	6.33%
All News	5.88%	6.43%	6.07%
Classic Hits	4.71%	4.7%	4.65%
All Talk	4.65%	4.78%	4.7%
Sports	4.51%	4.64%	4.68%
Jazz	4.16%	4.9%	4.5%
Jazz	4.16%	4.9%	4.5%

## Potential Multimedia Content:

The tables below list 24 variables related to television content and delivery at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org

MULTIMEDIA: TV	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Fox News Channel	64.06%	63.97%	63.81%
Satellite Dish	56.71%	51.36%	52.36%
Soapnet	50.88%	50.82%	50.8%
Other Video-On-Demand	44.31%	41.85%	40.63%
Adult Pay Per View TV	38.28%	33.77%	32.09%
Sci-Fi Channel	36.77%	36.29%	36.63%
MSNBC	34.3%	33.77%	33.46%
Comedy Central	32.73%	35.84%	34.46%
Subscribe Digital Cable	30.46%	28.64%	27.88%
TV Info From Sunday TV	29.73%	29.18%	29.07%
Magazine			
Adult Swim	29.43%	28.1%	27.59%
Nickelodeon	29.21%	28.3%	28.2%

MULTIMEDIA: TV	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
ABC Fam.	27.97%	28.43%	28.79%
BET (Black Entertainment TV)	26.5%	26.45%	25.33%
TV Info From Newspapers	26.21%	24.98%	25.07%
Hallmark Channel	26.21%	25.63%	25.13%
Nick At Nite	26.15%	25.25%	24.99%
TCM (Turner Classic Movies)	25.33%	25.42%	25.32%
The Golf Channel	24.49%	25.2%	24.18%
ESPN2	24.06%	25.15%	24.03%
USA Network	23.95%	24.53%	23.74%
TV Info From Monthly Cable Guide	23.79%	22.95%	23.02%
Video-On-Demand Movies	23%	22.19%	22.6%
ESPN Classic	22.35%	24.87%	23.55%


## Communication Media Usage

It is not enough to understand the type of media that your intended audience uses. It may also be helpful to understand the extent to which people in your site location use various media types and when they use the media. Media usage is generally measured by frequency or extent. Time usage is measured in blocks of day, night and weekend usage.

Taken together, media content, media usage, and time usage may be combined with demographics, lifestyles, and consumer behavior to paint a picture of who does what and when at the site location.

Each of these components may help you to begin planning a comprehensive media strategy. Combining media with the cultural bridges, barriers, and themes mentioned on pages 36-45 will enable you to deliver targeted messages to your intended audience at times when they are apt to listen.

pyright 2014, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry



## Potential Print Media Usage:

The tables below list 23 variables related to print media usage at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the households that participate in the activity or an index value is given. For more detailed information you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

MEDIUM	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Book Readers			
Heavy Users (7+)	19.89%	20.8%	21.34%
Medium Users (4-6)	11.7%	11.78%	11.79%
Light Users (1-3)	21.18%	21.32%	21.16%
Quintiles (20%)			
Newspaper I	2.01%	2.36%	1.91%
(Heavy)			
Newspaper II	1.51%	1.61%	1.53%
Newspaper III	1.79%	1.78%	1.79%
Newspaper IV	0.51%	0.46%	0.5%
Newspaper V	1.23%	1.11%	1.04%
(Light)			

MEDIUM	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Quintiles (20%)			
Magazines I (Heavy)	18.06%	18.55%	18.82%
Magazines II	8.53%	8.99%	8.67%
Magazines III	9.17%	8.96%	9.11%
Magazines IV	10.88%	11.16%	11.15%
Magazines V (Light)	0.51%	0.52%	0.59%
Outdoor I (Heavy)	9.04%	8.85%	8.65%
Outdoor II	4%	3.29%	3.11%
Outdoor III	4.1%	3.56%	3.78%
Outdoor IV	17.28%	16.57%	16.07%
Outdoor V (Light)	26.18%	25.2%	24.05%
Yellow Pages I	16.33%	15.76%	15.24%
(Heavy)			
Yellow Pages II	7.43%	7.02%	6.56%
Yellow Pages III	6.43%	6.05%	5.8%
Yellow Pages IV	22.17%	22.55%	22.24%
Yellow Pages V	4.57%	4.23%	4.05%
(Light)			

## Potential Multimedia Usage

The tables below list 23 variables related to multimedia usage at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the households that participate in the activity or an index value is given. For more detailed information you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

Carbondale

MEDIUM	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Radio Drive Time Quntiles			
(fifths / 20%)			
Drive Time I & II (Heavy)	3.31%	3.16%	2.87%
Drive Time III (Medium)	0.48%	0.53%	0.67%
Radio IV & V (Light)	3.08%	3.09%	2.69%
Radio Media Quntiles (fifths /			
20%)			
Radio I & II (Heavy)	7.71%	8.16%	8.89%
Radio III (Medium)	5.11%	4.82%	4.8%
Radio IV & V (Light)	3.38%	3.5%	3.46%
Cable TV Quntiles (fifths /			
20%)			
Cable I & II (Heavy)	14.62%	14.6%	14.53%
Cable III (Medium)	3.82%	3.93%	4.08%
Cable IV & V (Light)	32.39%	33.5%	32.65%

MEDIUM	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
TV Prime Time Quntiles (fifth / 20%)	s		
Prime Time I & II (Heavy)	3.1%	3.63%	3.54%
Prime Time III (Medium)	1.79%	1.9%	1.94%
Prime Time IV & V (Light)	7%	7.48%	8.54%
TV Early/Late Fringe Quntiles (fifths / 20%)	3		
Fringe I & II (Heavy)	39.77%	40.23%	38.4%
Fringe III (Medium)	53.41%	51.76%	51.17%
Fringe IV (Light)	54.37%	54.18%	53.51%
TV All Day Quntiles (fifths / 20%)			
All Day I & II (Heavy)	15.07%	13.24%	12.95%
All Day III (Medium)	25.21%	24.09%	22.94%
All Day IV (Light)	14.98%	13.87%	13.67%

## Potential Audio & Prime Time TV Media Usage:

The tables below list 23 variables related to audio & prime time TV media usage at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

USAGE	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Day-time Radio	229	220	
Listeners			
Dayparts [summary]	11.97%	12.8%	12.19%
6:00am - 10:00am	15.73%	17.69%	17.47%
10:00am - 3:00pm	6.21%	7.09%	6.99%
3:00pm - 7:00pm	13.62%	14.04%	14.69%
7:00pm - Midnight	14.42%	15%	13.83%
Midnight - 6:00am	5.91%	6.43%	6.26%
Weekend Radio			
Listeners			
Dayparts [summary]	15.8%	15.62%	15.23%
6:00am - 10:00am	4%	4.58%	4.55%
10:00am-3:00pm	6.32%	6.94%	6.63%
3:00pm - 7:00pm	6.92%	6.58%	6.7%
7:00pm - Midnight	9.15%	8.93%	8.79%
Midnight - 6:00am	10.14%	11.84%	10.96%

USAGE	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Prime Time TV			
Viewers			
8:00-11:00pm	8.3%	7.8%	8.42%
Saturday:	8.39%	7.89%	7.65%
8:00-11:00pm			
Sunday: 7:00-11:00pm	9.2%	9.41%	9.67%
9:00am-1:00pm	26.15%	25.25%	24.99%
9:00am-4:00pm	30.16%	29.25%	28.69%
4:00pm-7:00pm	30.67%	30.61%	29.96%
11:00pm-1:00am	42.54%	41.57%	41.12%
AVG Prime time	3.32%	3.13%	3.11%
Mon-Sun			

# Potential Weekday & Weekend TV Media Usage:

The table below lists 28 variables related to weekday & weekend media usage at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-0.5 miles, 0.5-1.5 miles, 1.5-3 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

TV VIEWERS	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Weekday			
6-7am	19.04%	18.9%	19.39%
7-9am	24.06%	25.15%	24.03%
9am-12noon	21.67%	20.61%	20.83%
12noon-4pm	8.49%	8.64%	7.85%
4-6pm	50.41%	49.78%	48.4%
6-7pm	19.82%	19.81%	18.57%
7-7:30pm	2.9%	2.63%	2%
7:30-8pm	10.99%	11.24%	10.95%
8-11pm	8.3%	7.8%	8.42%
11pm-12am	34.3%	33.77%	33.46%
11pm-1am	42.54%	41.57%	41.12%
1-6am	33.45%	35.04%	34.14%

TV VIEWERS	0-0.5	0.5-1.5	1.5-3
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Weekend			
Sat: 7-10am	19.32%	19.42%	19.2%
Sat: 10am-1pm	7.5%	7.18%	7.66%
Sat: 1-4pm	25.49%	24.84%	24.51%
Sat: 4-6pm	6.57%	6.33%	6.64%
Sat: 6-7pm	2.5%	2.12%	2.2%
Sat: 7-8pm	1.36%	1.39%	1.28%
Sat: 8-11pm	8.39%	7.89%	7.65%
Sat: 11pm-1am	5.42%	5.31%	4.9%
Sat: 1am-7pm	23.95%	24.53%	23.74%
Sun: 7-10am	2.82%	2.68%	2.37%
Sun: 10am-1pm	7.06%	6.38%	6.01%
Sun: 1-4pm	5.5%	6.1%	6.34%
Sun: 4-7pm	12.74%	12.35%	12.64%
Sun: 7-11pm	9.2%	9.41%	9.67%
Sun: 11pm-1am	5.32%	5%	4.93%
Sun: 1-7am	20.69%	20.64%	21%

# Using the Cultural Bridges, Barriers and Themes

The Cultural Bridges provide lists of activities and interests that are common among some groups of people in this mission site. Missional team members and church planters may use this data as the basis for creating intentional redemptive relationships. The Cultural Barriers list gives attitudes that may become a barrier to the spread of the gospel. The Cultural Themes are attitudes that may be the basis for developing a gospel presentation.

#### Issues for Your Consideration

- 1. Please read pages 61 and 62 on Biblical Missional Multiplication and Establishing Redemptive Relationships before considering the following issues.
- 2. Look through the cultural bridges listed on pages 36-40. Has anyone on the missional team been personally involved or interested in any of these bridge areas in the past? How can the team get involved in these activities with people who live and/or work in the mission site area?
- 3. Review the cultural barriers listed on page 43. Discuss how each of the attitudes reflected in this mission site may become a barrier to the spread of the gospel. Also discuss what steps the missional team may take to overcome these barriers.
- 4. Review the cultural themes listed on page 45. Choose two or three of the major themes that are prevalent at this mission site and begin developing presentations that wrap the gospel around these themes. Discuss how to "steer" the conversation to these themes and bridge into the gospel presentation.

# Using the Shared Places, Projects, Media Content and Usage

The Shared Places provides a list of restaurants and restaurant types that are frequented by some groups of people in the mission site. If a particular restaurant chain is not in your area, look for similar types of restaurants. The Shared Projects section lists various activities in which some people in the mission site are engaged. The Media Content and Usage sections give a window into the types of media content that is preferred and the times media is commonly used

#### Issues for Your Consideration

Wellington

Salt Creek Fowler Rve Rico

- 1. Review the shared places listed on page 47. Discuss these types of organizations that may be found at the mission site. Discuss how the mission team may become part of these organizations in order to develop redemptive relationships.
- 2. Review the shared projects listed on page 49. Discuss ways in which people in the mission site may be involved in these activities. Has anyone on the missional team been personally involved in these activities with people who live and/or work in the mission site area.
- 3. Review the print, radio, and television media content listed on pages 51-53. What do people in this mission site read, listen to or watch? Describe the "typical" types of people in the mission site based on this information. How does this information shape the ways in which the missional team should present the gospel and the church? What mediums could be used to communicate with people at ths mission site more effectively?
- 4. Review the print, radio and television media usage on pages 55-58. Describe the frequency and times of media usage by people at this mission site. How does this inform what media the missional team should use and when the media should be employed?

Womelsdorf (Coalton)

# Biblical Missional Multiplication


Biblical missional multiplication builds upon disciplemaking and spiritual reproduction.

Jesus and his disciples multiplied their efforts by investing in relationships with others. The diagram to the right illustrates how social networking in the New Testament era was behind the success of this multiplication.

The MissionSite report provides insights into ways that we too can build redemptive relationships and foster missional multiplication at this site location.

Missional Multiplication Principle 2nd Timothy 2:2

Commerce City




## Establishing Redemptive Relationships

Missional Multiplication occurs when redemptive relationships move people through a process to bring people to Christ. This process is most successful as we relate to people based on their identity. Five types of identity enable us to connect with people and see them move through this process.

### Communication Steps

- 1. Category-to-Category: Connect through a common social identity; some activity, interest, or behavior that you share with the person.
- 2. Face-to-Face: Begin interacting with the person; building a personal rapport.
- 3. Mind-to-Mind: After building a rapport, move the conversation towards spiritual issues.
- 4. Heart-to-Heart: As the Holy Spirit leads you, wrap the gospel presentation around a theme that connects with the person.
- Spirit-to-Spirit: This is the level at which the Holy Spirit works speaks to our inner self. The previous 4 steps facilitates the Holy Spirit doing his regenerative work.

Copyright 2014, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry ndale



#### Your MissionSite and the Missional Suite


The site location of this MissionSite report is based upon the SITESCAPE typology developed by the staff of IICM. The SITESCAPE is an analysis of the 0-1/2 mile, 0-1 1/2 mile, 0-3 mile, 3-7 mile, 7-10 mile, and 10-15 mile bands around each of the 65,370 US census tract centroids (or geographical centers). Each census tract has been assigned a large number of variables based upon this analysis. These variables include information regarding the spirituallity of this particular location. This report relects a "Top Unreached Location" meaning that this site has a significant number of households that have not been reached by the gospel of Jesus Christ. Sitescape definitions may be found online at the MissionalCyclopedia.org site.

erton Welby Aguilaı De Begue Brookside

Additional help regarding this MissionSite location may be obtained at www.iicm.net. IICM provides access to a number of resources:

©Copyright 2014, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Oghill Village

- Online personal missional profile at APEPT.org
- Custom reports at MissionalContext.org
- Custom mapping at MissionalCulturescape.org
- Missional information at Missional Cyclopedia.org
- Networking & Zipcode level data at MissionalCorps.org
- Information on top lifestyle groups at MissionalZipcode.org



## **Notes and Sources**

- 1. Sitescape location and Evangelscape spirituality indicators data are datasets created by the staff of IICM by cross-tabulating multiple datasets from ScanUS, Experian, Simmons Market Research Bureau and TheAmericanChurch.org. These datasets are updated annually.
- 2. Demographic data are copyrighted by ScanUS and are licensed from ScanUS.

**Fort Carson** 

Kiowa

- 3. MOSAIC segmentation data are copyrighted by Experian US and are licensed through ScanUS
- 4. The consumer behavior data from Mediamark Research & Intelligence is copyrighted by them and is licensed through ScanUS.
- 5. The consumer behavior data from Simmons Market Research Bureau is copyrighted by them and is licensed through ScanUS.
- 6. The data from the departments of the US government is public data. US government sources include: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce; Economic Research Service, Department of Agriculture; National Center for Education Statistics, Department of Education.



6 Wateroak Court North Augusta, SC 29841

An evangelical mission agency supported by individuals, foundations, churches, denominations, mission agencies & para-church ministries. For more information about the mission and vision of IICM please visit www.iicm.net.

#### In Partnership with:



#### **CONTACT US:**

Kevstone

