## MissionSite top unreached locations



Multip Vulesburg Hooper Coal Creek Brush REGION: Front Range Federal Heights Vona Steam CONGREGATIONAL DE Beque Strasburg Atwood KremmlASSOCIATION: Mile Highside Jamestown Limon Stratton Elizabeth Fort Carson Crook Longmont De COUNTY: Douglas A Sherrelwood Eads In partnership with the: elluride Littleton Aguilar Wray CheSITESCAPE: CountryscapeCastle Rock Fleming C

Intercultural Institute<sub>Simla</sub> Hot Sulphur Spring Wiley Wiley Montezuma Firestone Ol for Contextual Ministry rand Junction Hotchkiss COLORADO Balot Romanza 「win Lakes Brookside

The Pinery Avon Rye Gypsum Starkville Meeker

½on City West Pleasant View Crest <sup>,</sup> ©**Copŷright 2014/Intercultdraldnstituté for Contextua**hMin**istry**istown Eldora Mancos Crestone Severance Aspen Dil

We

# MissionSite (TM) Table of Contents

Dolores

1	Site Location Summary	3
2	Spirituality Indicators	11
3	Current Demographic Summary	15
4	Projected Demographic Summary	20
5	Ethnographic Summary	25
6	Unreached Households by Lifestyle Segment	30
7	Identifying Focus Groups in this Location	35
8	Potential Cultural Bridges	36
9	Potential Cultural Barriers	42
10	Potential Cultural Themes	45
11	Potential Shared Places	47
12	Potential Shared Projects	49
13	Communication Media Content	51
14	Communication Media Usage	55
15	Using the Bridges, Barriers, Themes, etc.	59
16	Biblical Missional Multiplication	61
17	Establishing Redemption Relationships	62
18	Your MissionSite and the Missional Suite	63
19	Notes and Sources	65



#### Site Location Summary

The site location of this MissionSite (TM) report is based upon the SITESCAPE typology developed by the staff of IICM. The SITESCAPE is an analysis of the 0-7 mile, 7-10 mile, and 10-15 mile bands around each of the 65,399 US census tract centroids (or geographical centers). Each census tract has been assigned a large number of variables based upon this analysis. These variables include information regarding the spirituality of this particular location. This report reflects a "Top Unreached Location" meaning that this site has a significant number of households that have not been reached by the gospel of Jesus Christ. Sitescape definitions may be found online at the MissionalCyclopedia.org site.

	Location Typography	CODE	LOCATION
1	Region	08R01	Front Range
2	Association	80A80	Mile High
3	County Location	08035	Douglas
4	Zipcode	80135	Douglas
5	Sitescape Category	1	Countryscape
6	Sitescape Group	1.2	Distant Settlements
7	Sitescape Subgroup	1.21	Settlements outside settlements
8	Sitescape Density Pattern	13	2500-0-10000

©Copyright 2014, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry


**Broomfield** 



### Site Location Summary - Map of the Site Location

Ordway

©Copyright 2014, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry



### Site Location Summary - Urbanicity

One key issue in site location is the degree of urbanicity - how rural or how urban is this setting? A number of government agencies have tackled this question in order to better compare various types of communities in the United States. The chart below provides a summary of how various government agencies have defined this particular location. IICM took the Rural Urban Commuting Areas (RUCA) from the US Department of Agriculture and created an index value based on the most rural value being a "0" and the most urban value being a "100". You will also see their ERS RUCA Commuting Value. The percent of households that commute from a non-metro to a metro area is also given.

	RURAL/	СО	EXPLANATION
	URBAN	DE	
1	Metro or Non-Metro	1	Metro
2	Urban Influence	1	Large-in a metro area with at least 1 million residents or more
3	Rural / Urban Continuum	1	County in metro area with 1 million population or more
4	NCHS Rural Urban Codes	2	Large Fringe Metro - counties in a metropolitan statistical area of 1 million or more population who do not qualify as large central
5	NCES Urban Centric Locale Codes	42	Rural: Distant: Census-defined rural territory that is more than 5 miles but less than or equal to 25 miles from an urbanized area, as well as rural territory that is more than 2.5 miles but less than or equal to 10 miles from an urban cluster.
6	IICM RUCA Values Index	91	Metropolitan high commuting: No additional code
7	ERS RUCA Commuting Value	2	Metropolitan area high commuting: primary flow 30% or more to a urbanized area
8	Percent Commuting to Metro	0	Percent commuting from non metro to metro areas



#### Site Location Summary - Band Composition

Below is a summary of the composition of the three bands around the center of the census tract. Values for the total population, and various types of group quarters in each band are provided below. In addition to current population estimates, each band has been compared to all of the other census tract bands around the country. Population density, language diversity, foreign born diversity, ancestry diversity and racial (broad census categories) diversity have all been indexed across the country with a "0" value representing the least value and 100 representing the most in each category. For an explanation of these indices please see the Sitescape article at MissionalCyclopedia.org.

BAND COMPOSITION	0-7 MILES	7-10 MILES	10-15 MILES
2010 Population	1,035	2,962	31,500
2010 Households	405	1,063	10,522
2010 Group Quarters Population	0	3	71

BAND COMPOSITION	0-3 MILES	3-7 MILES	7-10 MILES
Population Density National Index	2	0	5
Language Diversity National Index	18	0	22
Foreign Born Diversity National Index	0	0	49
Ancestry Diversity National Index	76	0	95
Racial Diversity National Index	15	23	19

### Site Location Summary - County Environment

In 2004, the US Department of Agriculture created a county typology that categorizes all of the 3140 US counties into 6 economic-dependency types and 7 other county-types. Although the specific bands of this report may differ from the dominant characteristics of the county, the county environment is useful to better understand the site location context. Further information about these categories may be found at the MissionalCyclopedia.org website.

COUNTY ENVIRONMENT	CODE	INDICATORS
Housing Stress County	0	False
Low-education County	0	False
Low-employment County	0	False
Persistent Poverty County	0	False
Population Loss County	0	False
Non-metro Recreation County	0	False
Retirement Destination County	1	True

ECONOMIC DEPENDENCY	CODE	INDICATORS
Farm-dependent county indicator	0	False
Mining-dependent county indicator	0	False
Manufacturing-dependent county indicator	0	False
Federal/State government-dependent county indicator	0	False
Services-dependent county indicator	1	True
Nonspecialized-dependent county indicator	0	False

**Arboles** 

**Chevenne Wells** 

### Site Location Summary - Social Environment

The MOSAIC USA lifestyle segmentation system was created by Experian, a global company based in the UK. This segmentation system has the US population subdivided into 60 lifestyle segments and 12 social groups. IICM has built upon this by creating a typology of six social environments of which each segment and social group is a part. The chart below gives the number of households that are in the 0-7 mile band that are in each of the six social environment. The households in each environment share a common situation that produces shared cultural practices, values and spiritual issues. For an explanation of these community cultures, please consult the corresponding articles at MissionalCyclopedia.org.

SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT	0-7 MI BAND	HHLDS	PERCENT
Upscale Communities	Affluent, White-collar Families	324	80%
Mainstay Communities	Established, Diverse Households	15	3.7%
Working Communities	Blue-collar, Working Families	0	0%
Country Communities	Rural, Agri. & Mining Families	63	15.56%
Aspiring Communities	Young Singles / Aspiring-Multihousing	0	0%
Urban Communities	High Density, Inner-city Neighborhoods	0	0%

### Using the Site Location Summary

The site location summary has been designed to aid APEPT missional response teams, church planters and church planting sponsors in determining potential sites for starting new ministries and new congregations and choosing appropriate personnel for the location. Below is a list of several issues that need to be considered in choosing a potential site location.

#### Issues for Your Consideration

- 1. The Sitescape category, group and density pattern listed on page 3 identifies this location within a continuum that reaches from country to town to suburb to city. Ideally, church planters and missional team members should have already acculturated to this type of location. It may be difficult for a "City Person" to minister effectively in a "country" location and vice versa.
- 2. The urbanicity designations on page 5 may have implications for ministry development. The extent of commuting within a metro area may need to be considered in choosing a potential building site or in developing evening events or ministries. The percent of the population that commute from a non-metro to metro area may also need to be taken into consideration.

### Using the Site Location Summary

#### Issues for Your Consideration - continued

- 3. Band composition and the comparison of population between the bands on page 6 may have implications regarding types of anticipated ministry development from "a neighborhood" congregation to a more "regional" approach. The numbers and types of group quarters (usually some form of multi-family housing) may suggest the need for multi-housing ministry. The density and diversity indices compare this site to other site locations across the country. Values over 50 in each of these indicates a higher level of diversity or density than most other locations.
- 4. Each US location exists in a county or county-equivalent, as shown on page 7. This overall context may affect the environment of this site location. The type of economic dependence of a county may shape the background of a church planter that will be needed for this particular site.
- 5. The dominant social environments in this particular site noted on page 8 create specific cultural practices and values that will need to be taken into consideration when starting a new ministry or new congregation. Please read the summary articles at MissionalCyclopedia.org for the top 2 largest social environments. What implications do you see based on the dominant social environments in this location?

Salt Creek

Colorado Springs

### Spirituality Indicators Overview - Evangelscape

The Evangelscape is an IICM dataset based on a national study conducted by the Simmons Market Research Bureau as indexed to the MOSAIC lifestyle segmentation system. The dataset includes the percent of households for each MOSAIC lifestyle segment that are considered "unreached," "religious but not evangelical," "spiritual but not religious or evangelical," and "not evangelical and not interested in religion or spirituality." For an explanation of these terms see the Evangelscape article at MissionalCyclopedia.org.

The chart below compares the count and percent of households in the county with the count and percent of households in the 0-7 mile band that are in each category. The index value assumes that if the county percent and the 0-7 mile band percent were both the same, the index would be 100. Thus values lower than 100 reflect categories where the percentage in the 0-7 mile band are lower than the county average. The values that are higher than 100 reflect categories where the percentage is higher for the 0-7 mile band. A value of 200 would be twice the value of 100 (which would be a 100% increase over the county average).

EVANGELSCAPE: SPIRITUALITY	COUNTY	0-7 MILE BAND	% INDEX
Unreached Households	70,487	275	0.39%
Unreached %	71.69%	67.81%	94.58
Religious But NOT Evangelical HH	15,855	61	0.38%
Religious But NOT Evangelical %	16.13%	15.02%	93.12
Spiritual But NOT Relig or Evang HH	13,272	49	0.37%
Spiritual But NOT Relig or Evang %	13.5%	12.12%	89.82
Not Evangelical, Not Interested HH	41,363	165	0.4%
Not Evangelical, Not Interested %	42.07%	40.66%	96.65

Kersev



### Spirituality Indicators - Churchscape

IICM's Churchscape indicates the number of CBGC within 3 miles of the site location. It also compares the percentage of active and inactive evangelicals in the county to those in this site location. The index value provides a snapshot of how this site location compares to the county levels. The number of new churches needed at this site location is based upon the need to have one church for every 2000 households (or roughly every 4-5,000 people).

ECCLESCAPE: CHURCHES	COUNTY	3 MILE	PERCENT
		RING	&INDEX
Num of CBGC Churches	0	0	0%
Active CBGC Attenders	0	0	0%
Active Evangelical Households	6,108	0	0.01%
Active Evangelical Percent	6.21%	6.20%	99.87
Inactive Evangelical Households	21,725	2	0.01%
Inactive Evangelical Percent	22.10%	22.07%	99.87
# New Churches Needed	49	0	0.01%



#### Using the Spirituality Indicators

Coal Creek

Below are some issues related to the spirituality indicators that you may want to consider as you review this site as a potential location for a new ministry or new congregation.

#### Issues for Your Consideration


- 1. The Evangelscape on page 11 provides an overview of the spiritual topography of this location. While the percent of unreached households is significant, the other percentages may need further clarification as you conduct an on-site review. The percentage of the population who are religious (meaning actively attend some form of institutionalized religion) and spiritual (meaning embracing spirituality but not institutional religion) may require further investigation. Those in the "not evangelical, but not interested in religion or spirituality" category may require an outreach process rooted in incarnational ministry.
- 2. Your team may want to seriously discuss the Churchscape on page 12. The percentage of active evangelicals in this site is a key figure for you to consider both in terms of recruiting additional help, but also in terms of the significance of the need for a new ministry or new congregation. The number of new churches needed is another significant value.

Coal Creek

The population and household figures from IICM's Demoscape report are given below for this site location. Historical figures from the 1990 and 2000 US Census precede the current year estimates for the 0-7 mile band. The percentage of the county population and number of households that appears in the 0-7 mile band is given for each year. Location types refer to residential, business and seasonal use

DEMOSCAPE	COUNTY	BAND	% OF CO
1990 Population	60,391	637	1.05%
2000 Population	175,766	852	0.48%
2010 Population	296,358	1,035	0.35%

DEMOSCAPE	COUNTY	BAND	% OF CO
1990 Households	20,842	259	1.24%
2000 Households	60,924	352	0.58%
2010 Households	98,320	405	0.41%




Location Type	0-7mi Band
Residential	390
Residential Apt.	25
Residential Non-Apt.	365
Business	9
Seasonal	7
USPS Residential	385
USPS Business	11

A current year demographic summary of age categories for the site location appears on the right.

For each category both county and 0-7 mile band percentages are given. The Index value assumes that if the county percent and the 0-7 mile band percent were both the same, the index would be 100.

#### Age Group Percentages




2010 POP. ESTIMATES	COUNTY	BAND	INDEX
0-3 Years	6.1%	1.84%	30.16
4-5 Years	3.19%	0.77%	24.14
6-8 Years	4.86%	2.22%	45.68
9-11 Years	4.94%	4.35%	88.06
12-13 Years	3.32%	3%	90.36
14-17 Years	6.03%	5.02%	83.25
18-19 Years	2.88%	4.06%	140.97
0-5 Years	9.3%	2.61%	28.06
6-12 Years	11.46%	7.83%	68.32
13-19 Years	10.57%	10.82%	102.37
< 20 Years	31.33%	21.26%	67.86
20-34 Years	15.81%	6.96%	44.02
35-44 Years	17.43%	14.59%	83.71
45-64 Years	27.9%	42.22%	151.33
65-74 Years	5.08%	10.82%	212.99
75+ Years	2.46%	4.25%	172.76
Median Age	37	47	128.5
Median Age (Male)	36	47	128.55
Median Age (Female)	37	47	126.42

Cortez

A current year demographic summary of race/ethnicity and education of adults for the site location appears on the right.

For each category both county and 0-7 mile band percentages are given. The Index value assumes that if the county percent and the 0-7 mile band percent were both the same, the index would be 100.



2010 POP. ESTIMATES	COUNTY	BAND	INDEX
Race/Ethnicity			
White, Anglo	86.35%	92.17%	106.75
Black, African-American	1.82%	0.19%	10.63
Native American	0.48%	0.39%	80.43
Asian	3.51%	0.97%	27.55
Pacific Island, Hawaiian	0.06%	0%	0
Other/Multiple Races	7.79%	6.18%	79.35
Hispanic	0%	3.86%	0

Education of Adults (25 yrs+)			
Total Adults over age 25 years.	188,231	778	
Less than 9th Grade	0.74%	1.8%	41.07
No High School Diploma	1.32%	2.44%	54.23
High School Graduate	14.4%	24.68%	58.33
Some College, no degree	20.36%	24.16%	84.24
Associate Degree	9.13%	6.43%	142.02
College Degree	36.59%	28.41%	128.79
Graduate/Prof. degree	17.47%	12.08%	144.61

Georgetown

Cedaredge

A current year demographic summary of family and non-family households for the site location appears on the right.

For each category both county and 0-7 mile band percentages are given. The Index value assumes that if the county percent and the 0-7 mile band percent were both the same, the index would be 100. Thus values lower than 100 reflect categories where the percentage in the 0-7 mile band are lower than the county average. The values that are higher than 100 reflect categories where the percentage is higher for the 0-7 mile band. A value of 200 would be twice the value of 100 (which would be a 100% increase over the county average). A value of 50 would be 50% of the county average.

Norwood

2010 HOUSEHOLD	COUNTY	BAND	INDEX
ESTIMATES			
Household Income			
< \$10,000	1.78%	10.62%	420.92
\$10,000 to \$19,999	2.04%	8.89%	436.54
\$20,000 to \$29,999	3.75%	11.6%	309.38
\$30,000 to \$49,999	11.52%	10.86%	94.32
\$50,000 to \$59,999	5.98%	4.69%	78.4
\$60,000 to \$69,999	6.72%	3.46%	51.46
\$70,000 to \$79,999	6.18%	3.7%	59.95
\$80,000 to \$89,999	6.2%	4.44%	71.71
\$90,000 to \$99,999	7.1%	2.72%	38.27
\$100,000 to \$124,999	15.55%	17.04%	109.53
\$125,000 to \$149,999	10.49%	11.36%	108.26
\$150,000 to \$199,999	11.14%	4.94%	44.35
\$200,000 to \$249,999	3.98%	1.73%	43.41
\$250,000 or more	7.58%	2.72%	35.85
Median Household	98,215	66,253	67.46
Average Household	119,786	87,830	73.32
Per Capita Household	39,819	34,368	86.31
Family/Non-Family Household			
Income			
Median Family Income	107,734	104,095	96.62
Average Family Income	134,382	109,122	81.2
Median Non-Family Income	60,552	38,142	62.99
Average Non-Family Income	74,481	26,435	35.49

A current year demographic summary of household types, houseing units and households by size and count by person for the site location appears at right.


For each category both county and 0-7 mile band percentages are given. The Index value assumes that if the county percent and the 0-7 mile band percent were both the same, the index would be 100. Thus values lower than 100 reflect categories where the percentage in the 0-7 mile band are lower than the county average. The values that are higher than 100 reflect categories where the percentage is higher for the 0-7 mile band. A value of 200 would be twice the value of 100 (which would be a 100% increase over the county average). A value of 50 would be 50% of the county average.

2010 HOUSEHOLD	COUNTY	BAND	
ESTIMATES			
Family Households			Index
% Family Households	75.63%	68.64%	90.76
Families with Children	42.94%	25.93%	60.38
Families without Children	32.69%	42.72%	130.65
Non-Family Households			
% Non-Family Households	24.37%	31.36%	128.69
Non-Families with Children	0.21	0	0
Non-Families without Children	24.16	31.36	129.8
Housing Units			Index
Total Housing Units	103,989	599	
Vacant percent	5.45%	32.39%	594.09
Owned percent	77.67%	55.93%	72.01%
Rented Percent	16.88%	11.69%	69.24
Households by Size			Index
Avg household size	3.01	2.56	85.05
Avg family hh size	3.57	3.15	88.24
Avg non-family hh size	1.28	1.24	96.88
Households By Count of Persons			Percent
One	19,105	109	0.57%
Two	24,058	134	0.56%
Three or Four	41,000	118	0.29%
Five+	14,157	44	0.31%


The population and household figures from IICM's Demoscape report are given below for this site location. Historical figures from the 1990 and 2000 US Census precede the current year estimates and the five year projections for both the county in which this site location exists and also for the 0-7 mile ring. The percentage of the county population and number of households that appears in the 0-7 mile ring is given for each year. The graph illustrates household change from 1985 to 2030.

DEMOSCAPE	COUNTY	RING	% OF CO
1990 Population	60,391	637	1.05%
2000 Population	175,766	852	0.48%
2010 Population	296,358	1,035	0.35%
2015 Population	337,018	1,165	0.35%

DEMOSCAPE	COUNTY	RING	% OF CO
1990 Households	20,842	259	1.24%
2000 Households	60,924	352	0.58%
2010 Households	98,320	405	0.41%
2015 Households	106,456	428	0.4%




Allenspark



A comparison between the current and PROJECTED demographic summary of age group categories for the site location for five years from the current year appears on the right.

For each category both the current year and the projected percents for the 0-7 mile band are given. The Index value assumes that if the current and projected percents were both the same, the index would be 100.


Projected Age Group Percentages



CURRENT VS. PROJECTED	CURRENT	PLUS 5 YEARS	INDEX
0-3 Years	1.84%	1.2%	65.22
4-5 Years	0.77%	0.52%	67.53
6-8 Years	2.22%	1.89%	85.14
9-11 Years	4.35%	3%	68.97
12-13 Years	3%	2.32%	77.33
14-17 Years	5.02%	5.06%	100.8
18-19 Years	4.06%	4.98%	122.66
0-5 Years	2.61%	1.72%	65.9
6-12 Years	7.83%	5.92%	75.61
13-19 Years	10.82%	11.33%	104.71
< 20 Years	21.26%	18.97%	89.23
20-34 Years	6.96%	7.38%	106.03
35-44 Years	14.59%	10.56%	72.38
45-64 Years	42.22%	41.8%	99.01
65-74 Years	10.82%	16.14%	149.17
75+ Years	4.25%	5.41%	127.29
Median Age	37	51	138.54
Median Age (Male)	36	53	146.52
Median Age (Female)	37	50	132.89

A comparison between the current and PROJECTED demographic summary of race/ethnicity and education categories for the site location for five years from the current year appears on the right.

For each category both the current year and the projected percents for the 0-7 mile band are given. The Index value assumes that if the current and projected percents were both the same, the index, would be 100.



CURRENT VS. PROJECTED	CURRENT	PLUS 5 YRS	INDEX
Race/Ethnicity			
White, Anglo	92.17%	91.93%	99.74
Black, African-American	0.19%	0.26%	133.26
Native American	0.39%	0.69%	177.68
Asian	0.97%	1.46%	151.03
Pacific Island, Hawaiian	0%	0.09%	0
Other/Multiple Races	6.18%	5.49%	88.84
Hispanic	0%	0%	0
Education of Adults (25 yrs+)			
Total Adults over age 25 years.	778	893	

Education of Adults (25 yrs+)			
Total Adults over age 25 years.	778	893	
Less than 9th Grade	1.8%	1.57%	87.12
No High School Diploma	2.44%	1.46%	59.61
High School Graduate	24.68%	24.86%	100.73
Some College, no degree	24.16%	21.5%	88.98
Associate Degree	6.43%	7.05%	109.77
College Degree	28.41%	29.12%	102.5
Graduate/Prof. degree	12.08%	14.45%	119.56

A comparison between the current and PROJECTED demographic summary of household income and family / non-family income for the site location appears on the right. For each category both the current year and the projected percents for the 0-7 mile band are given The Index value given. assumes that if the current and projected percents were both the same, the index would be 100. Thus values lower than 100 reflect categories where the projected percentage in the 0-7 mile band are lower than the current percents. The values that are higher than 100 reflect categories where the projected percentage is higher for the 0-7 mile band. A value of 200 would be twice the value of 100 (which would be a 100% increase over the current percent). A value of 50 would be 50% of the current percent.

CURRENT VS. PROJECTED	CURRENT	PLUS 5 YEARS	INDEX
Household Income			
< \$10,000	10.62%	10.51%	99.03
\$10,000 to \$19,999	8.89%	8.88%	99.88
\$20,000 to \$29,999	11.6%	9.81%	84.56
\$30,000 to \$49,999	10.86%	7.01%	64.52
\$50,000 to \$59,999	4.69%	4.44%	94.63
\$60,000 to \$69,999	3.46%	2.57%	74.35
\$70,000 to \$79,999	3.7%	3.74%	100.93
\$80,000 to \$89,999	4.44%	3.74%	94.63
\$90,000 to \$99,999	2.72%	3.27%	120.43
\$100,000 to \$249,999	17.04%	18.69%	109.71
\$125,000 to \$149,999	11.36%	13.32%	117.25
\$150,000 to \$199,999	4.94%	5.84%	118.28
\$200,000 to \$249,999	1.73%	2.34%	135.18
\$250,000 or more	2.72%	3.97%	146.24
Median Household	66,253	75,308	113.67
Average Household	87,830	95,736	109
Per Capita Household	34,368	35,172	102.34
Family/Non-Family Household			
Income			
Median Family Income	104,095	115,631	111.08
Average Family Income	109,122	119,943	109.92
Median Non-Family Income	38,142	39,239	102.88
Average Non-Family Income	26,435	30,740	116.29

A comparison between the current and PROJECTED demographic summary of family and non-family households, housing units, households by size, and households by count of persons for the site location appears on the right. For each category both the current year and the projected percents for the 0-7 mile band are given. The Index value assumes that if the current and Projected percents were both the same, the index would be 100. Thus values lower than 100 reflect categories where the projected percentage in the 0-7 mile band are lower than the current percents. The values that are higher than 100 reflect categories where the projected percentage is higher for the 0-7 mile band. A value of 200 would be twice the value of 100 (which would be a 100% increase over the current percent). A value of 50 would be 50% of the current percent.

Family Households % Family Households 68.64% 67.29% 98.03 Families with Children 25.93 25.47 98.23 Families without Children 42.72 42.76 100.1 Non-Family Households % Non-Family Households % Non-Family Households 31.36% 32.71% 104.31 Non-Families with Children 0 0.23 104.31 Non-Families without 31.36 32.48 103.57 Children  Housing Units Total Housing Units 599 634 105.84% Vacant percent 32.39% 32.65% 100.81 Owned percent 55.93% 57.26% 102.38 Rented Percent 11.69% 10.25% 87.73  Households by Size Avg household size 2.56 2.72 106.25% Avg family hh size 1.24 1.31 105.65%  Households By Count of Persons One 109 129 118.35% Two 134 111 82.84% Three or Four 118 136 115.25% Five+ 44 52 118.18%				
% Family Households       68.64%       67.29%       98.03         Families with Children       25.93       25.47       98.23         Families without Children       42.72       42.76       100.1         Non-Family Households       31.36%       32.71%       104.31         Non-Families with Children       0       0.23       104.31         Non-Families without       31.36       32.48       103.57         Children         Housing Units       599       634       105.84%         Vacant percent       32.39%       32.65%       100.81         Owned percent       55.93%       57.26%       102.38         Rented Percent       11.69%       10.25%       87.73         Households by Size       Avg household size       2.56       2.72       106.25%         Avg family hh size       3.15       3.41       108.25%         Avg non-family hh size       1.24       1.31       105.65%         Households By Count of       Persons         One       109       129       118.35%         Two       134       111       82.84%         Three or Four       118       136       115.25%	CURRENT VS. PROJECTED	CURRENT	PLUS 5 YEARS	INDEX
Families with Children       25.93       25.47       98.23         Families without Children       42.72       42.76       100.1         Non-Family Households       31.36%       32.71%       104.31         Non-Families with Children       0       0.23       104.31         Non-Families without       31.36       32.48       103.57         Children	Family Households			
Families without Children 42.72 42.76 100.1  Non-Family Households 31.36% 32.71% 104.31  Non-Families with Children 0 0.23 104.31  Non-Families without 31.36 32.48 103.57  Children  Housing Units  Total Housing Units 599 634 105.84%  Vacant percent 32.39% 32.65% 100.81  Owned percent 55.93% 57.26% 102.38  Rented Percent 11.69% 10.25% 87.73  Households by Size  Avg household size 2.56 2.72 106.25%  Avg family hh size 3.15 3.41 108.25%  Avg non-family hh size 1.24 1.31 105.65%  Households By Count of Persons  One 109 129 118.35%  Two 134 111 82.84%  Three or Four 118 136 115.25%	% Family Households	68.64%	67.29%	98.03
Non-Family Households % Non-Family Households 31.36% 32.71% 104.31 Non-Families with Children 0 0.23 104.31 Non-Families without 31.36 32.48 103.57 Children  Housing Units Total Housing Units 599 634 105.84% Vacant percent 32.39% 32.65% 100.81 Owned percent 55.93% 57.26% 102.38 Rented Percent 11.69% 10.25% 87.73  Households by Size Avg household size 2.56 2.72 106.25% Avg family hh size 3.15 3.41 108.25% Avg non-family hh size 1.24 1.31 105.65%  Households By Count of Persons One 109 129 118.35% Two 134 111 82.84% Three or Four 118 136 115.25%	Families with Children	25.93	25.47	98.23
% Non-Family Households       31.36%       32.71%       104.31         Non-Families with Children       0       0.23       104.31         Non-Families without       31.36       32.48       103.57         Children       31.36       32.48       103.57         Children       59.36       32.48       103.57         Children       59.36       634       105.84%         Vacant percent       32.39%       32.65%       100.81         Owned percent       55.93%       57.26%       102.38         Rented Percent       11.69%       10.25%       87.73         Households by Size       2.56       2.72       106.25%         Avg household size       2.56       2.72       106.25%         Avg non-family hh size       1.24       1.31       105.65%         Households By Count of Persons       109       129       118.35%         Two       134       111       82.84%         Three or Four       118       136       115.25%	Families without Children	42.72	42.76	100.1
Non-Families with Children       0       0.23       104.31         Non-Families without       31.36       32.48       103.57         Children       31.36       32.48       103.57         Children       103.57       103.57         Housing Units       599       634       105.84%         Vacant percent       32.39%       32.65%       100.81         Owned percent       55.93%       57.26%       102.38         Rented Percent       11.69%       10.25%       87.73         Households by Size         Avg household size       2.56       2.72       106.25%         Avg family hh size       3.15       3.41       108.25%         Avg non-family hh size       1.24       1.31       105.65%         Households By Count of Persons       0ne       109       129       118.35%         Two       134       111       82.84%         Three or Four       118       136       115.25%	Non-Family Households			
Non-Families without       31.36       32.48       103.57         Children       31.36       32.48       103.57         Housing Units       599       634       105.84%         Vacant percent       32.39%       32.65%       100.81         Owned percent       55.93%       57.26%       102.38         Rented Percent       11.69%       10.25%       87.73         Households by Size       2.56       2.72       106.25%         Avg household size       2.56       2.72       106.25%         Avg family hh size       3.15       3.41       108.25%         Avg non-family hh size       1.24       1.31       105.65%         Households By Count of Persons       0ne       109       129       118.35%         Two       134       111       82.84%         Three or Four       118       136       115.25%	% Non-Family Households	31.36%	32.71%	104.31
Children         Housing Units         Total Housing Units       599       634       105.84%         Vacant percent       32.39%       32.65%       100.81         Owned percent       55.93%       57.26%       102.38         Rented Percent       11.69%       10.25%       87.73         Households by Size         Avg household size       2.56       2.72       106.25%         Avg family hh size       3.15       3.41       108.25%         Avg non-family hh size       1.24       1.31       105.65%         Households By Count of Persons         One       109       129       118.35%         Two       134       111       82.84%         Three or Four       118       136       115.25%	Non-Families with Children	0	0.23	104.31
Housing Units  Total Housing Units 599 634 105.84% Vacant percent 32.39% 32.65% 100.81 Owned percent 55.93% 57.26% 102.38 Rented Percent 11.69% 10.25% 87.73  Households by Size Avg household size 2.56 2.72 106.25% Avg family hh size 3.15 3.41 108.25% Avg non-family hh size 1.24 1.31 105.65%  Households By Count of Persons One 109 129 118.35% Two 134 111 82.84% Three or Four 118 136 115.25%	Non-Families without	31.36	32.48	103.57
Total Housing Units       599       634       105.84%         Vacant percent       32.39%       32.65%       100.81         Owned percent       55.93%       57.26%       102.38         Rented Percent       11.69%       10.25%       87.73         Households by Size         Avg household size       2.56       2.72       106.25%         Avg family hh size       3.15       3.41       108.25%         Avg non-family hh size       1.24       1.31       105.65%         Households By Count of Persons         One       109       129       118.35%         Two       134       111       82.84%         Three or Four       118       136       115.25%	Children			
Total Housing Units       599       634       105.84%         Vacant percent       32.39%       32.65%       100.81         Owned percent       55.93%       57.26%       102.38         Rented Percent       11.69%       10.25%       87.73         Households by Size         Avg household size       2.56       2.72       106.25%         Avg family hh size       3.15       3.41       108.25%         Avg non-family hh size       1.24       1.31       105.65%         Households By Count of Persons         One       109       129       118.35%         Two       134       111       82.84%         Three or Four       118       136       115.25%				
Vacant percent       32.39%       32.65%       100.81         Owned percent       55.93%       57.26%       102.38         Rented Percent       11.69%       10.25%       87.73         Households by Size         Avg household size       2.56       2.72       106.25%         Avg family hh size       3.15       3.41       108.25%         Avg non-family hh size       1.24       1.31       105.65%         Households By Count of Persons       One       109       129       118.35%         Two       134       111       82.84%         Three or Four       118       136       115.25%	Housing Units			
Owned percent       55.93%       57.26%       102.38         Rented Percent       11.69%       10.25%       87.73         Households by Size       2.56       2.72       106.25%         Avg family hh size       3.15       3.41       108.25%         Avg non-family hh size       1.24       1.31       105.65%         Households By Count of Persons         One       109       129       118.35%         Two       134       111       82.84%         Three or Four       118       136       115.25%	Total Housing Units	599	634	105.84%
Rented Percent       11.69%       10.25%       87.73         Households by Size       2.56       2.72       106.25%         Avg family hh size       3.15       3.41       108.25%         Avg non-family hh size       1.24       1.31       105.65%         Households By Count of Persons         One       109       129       118.35%         Two       134       111       82.84%         Three or Four       118       136       115.25%	Vacant percent	32.39%	32.65%	100.81
Households by Size  Avg household size 2.56 2.72 106.25%  Avg family hh size 3.15 3.41 108.25%  Avg non-family hh size 1.24 1.31 105.65%  Households By Count of Persons  One 109 129 118.35%  Two 134 111 82.84%  Three or Four 118 136 115.25%	Owned percent	55.93%	57.26%	102.38
Avg household size       2.56       2.72       106.25%         Avg family hh size       3.15       3.41       108.25%         Avg non-family hh size       1.24       1.31       105.65%         Households By Count of Persons         One       109       129       118.35%         Two       134       111       82.84%         Three or Four       118       136       115.25%	Rented Percent	11.69%	10.25%	87.73
Avg household size       2.56       2.72       106.25%         Avg family hh size       3.15       3.41       108.25%         Avg non-family hh size       1.24       1.31       105.65%         Households By Count of Persons         One       109       129       118.35%         Two       134       111       82.84%         Three or Four       118       136       115.25%				
Avg family hh size       3.15       3.41       108.25%         Avg non-family hh size       1.24       1.31       105.65%         Households By Count of Persons         One       109       129       118.35%         Two       134       111       82.84%         Three or Four       118       136       115.25%	Households by Size			
Avg non-family hh size       1.24       1.31       105.65%         Households By Count of Persons       109       129       118.35%         Two       134       111       82.84%         Three or Four       118       136       115.25%	Avg household size	2.56	2.72	106.25%
Households By Count of Persons One 109 129 118.35% Two 134 111 82.84% Three or Four 118 136 115.25%	Avg family hh size	3.15	3.41	108.25%
Persons         One       109       129       118.35%         Two       134       111       82.84%         Three or Four       118       136       115.25%	Avg non-family hh size	1.24	1.31	105.65%
Persons         One       109       129       118.35%         Two       134       111       82.84%         Three or Four       118       136       115.25%				
One       109       129       118.35%         Two       134       111       82.84%         Three or Four       118       136       115.25%	Households By Count of			
Two       134       111       82.84%         Three or Four       118       136       115.25%	Persons			
Three or Four 118 136 115.25%	One	109	129	118.35%
	Two	134	111	82.84%
Five+ 44 52 118.18%	Three or Four	118	136	115.25%
	Five+	44	52	118.18%

#### **Ethnographic Summary**

The heart of the missional task is reaching specific people groups. Some of the ethnic, language, ancestry, and foreign-born groups in your missional site may need contextual approaches to reach them and multiply disciples among them. Foreign born peoples bring much of their culture from their homelands with them. However, over time they begin to adapt to their new surroundings. Different approaches may be needed for groups at different levels of acculturation. For more information about acculturation please see the MissionalCyclopedia.org.

BORN IN:	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Foreign Born Pop	8	95	580
Northern Europe	0	24	65
Western Europe	8	11	46
Southern Europe	0	2	22
Eastern Europe	0	8	78
Other Europe	0	0	0
Eastern Asia	0	6	40
So. Central Asia	0	0	38
SE Asia	0	6	77
Western Asia	0	0	0
Other Asia	0	0	0

Dinosaur

BORN IN:	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Eastern Africa	0	0	0
Middle Africa	0	0	0
Northern Africa	0	2	3
Southern Africa	0	0	0
Western Africa	0	0	0
Other Africa	0	0	0
Oceania	0	1	18
Caribbean	0	0	0
Central Amer.	0	4	44
South America	0	4	27
North America	0	27	122
Born at sea	0	0	0

### Language Summary

The table below provides the percentage of population over the age of 5 who speak these languages within the three site bands. The data is based upon the 2000 Census SF3 dataset.

SPOKEN AT HOME	0-7 MILES	7-10 MILES	10-15 MILES
English only	660	2,217	12,690
Spanish	22	47	299
Other Indo-Euro	8	67	341
language			
French (incl. Patois,	0	13	58
Cajun)			
French Creole	0	0	0
Italian	0	7	33
Portuguese	0	6	16
German	8	25	106
Yiddish	0	0	0
Other West Germanic	0	3	10
A Scandinavian	0	7	17
Language			
Greek	0	0	9
Russian	0	6	17
Polish	0	0	22
Serbo-Croatian	0	0	0
Other Slavic Language	0	0	28
Armenian	0	0	0
Persian	0	0	0
Gujarathi	0	0	0
Hindi	0	0	0
Urdu	0	0	8

SPOKEN AT HOME	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Other Indo-Euro	0	0	0
Asian/PI languages	0	0	0
Chinese	0	0	54
Japanese	0	0	0
Korean	0	0	0
Mon-Khmer,	0	0	0
Cambodian			
Miao, Hmong	0	0	0
Thai	0	0	0
Laotian	0	0	0
Vietnamese	0	0	0
Other Asian	0	0	0
Tagalog	0	0	0
Other Pacific Is	0	0	0
Other languages	0	0	6
Navajo	0	0	0
Other Native N.	0	0	6
American			
Hungarian	0	0	0
Arabic	0	0	0
Hebrew	0	0	0
African languages	0	0	0
Other unspecified	0	0	0

### **Ancestry Summary**

The table below provides the percentage of population with a historical national heritage within the three site bands. The data is based upon the 2000 Census SF3 dataset.

ANCESTRY	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Reporting ancestry	612	2,003	12,470
Arab	0	1	4
Armenian	0	0	3
Austrian	0	3	43
British	0	19	110
Canadian	0	24	86
Croatian	0	2	5
Czech	0	7	65
Czechoslovak	0	5	33
Danish	0	15	68
Dutch	0	31	191
English	63	316	1,542
European	0	29	170
Finnish	28	4	15
French (not Basque)	0	68	348
French Canadian	0	8	98
German	135	415	2,899
Greek	8	6	32
Hungarian	0	5	14
Iranian	0	0	0

ANCESTRY	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Irish	49	238	1,345
Italian	67	110	704
Lithuanian	0	0	28
Norwegian	21	61	385
Polish	16	65	515
Portuguese	0	8	31
Romanian	0	2	27
Russian	0	12	101
Scandinavian	0	12	89
Scotch-Irish	9	36	194
Scottish	10	82	393
Slovak	0	0	10
Subsaharan African	0	1	3
Swedish	29	64	382
Swiss	0	13	128
Ukrainian	0	9	71
US/American	46	154	865
Welsh	0	3	34
West Indian	0	2	2
Yugoslavian	0	7	38
Other	131	166	1,399

#### Using the Demographic Indicators

Below are some issues related to the demographic indicators that you may want to consider as you review this site as a potential location for a new ministry or new congregation.

#### Issues for Your Consideration

- 1. The Demoscape information on page 19 highlights the rate of population change at the site compared to it's county from 1990 to a projected date 5 years from the current year. What changes do you see over time? How significant is this site location as a percentage of the county? Is this a growing, plateauing or declining location?
- 2. The age categories on pages 15 and 20 clearly indicate the site population in various age-graded groups. Which age groups are the largest at this site? What changes are projected in the next 5 years? What implications does this have for small groups and other ministries?
- 3. The racial/ethnic and education categories listed on pages 16 & 21 provide a window into the type of people that need to be reached at the site. What is the dominant racial/ethnic category that needs to be reached? What do the educational levels tell you about the ways in which you need to design your outreach and discipleship? What implications arise from the changes that are projected in the next 5 years?

#### Using the Demographic Indicators

#### Issues for Your Consideration - continued

Cripple Creek

- 4. Socioeconomics has a profound effect on many aspects of ministry and missional design. Pages 17 & 22 highlight income categories at the site compared to county percentages and 5 year projections. What are the dominant economic categories for this location? How does this site compare to the rest of the country? How do family and non-family households compare economically at this site? What implications arise from the changes projected over the next 5 years? What are the implications for missional ministry?
- 5. A summary of family and non-family households, housing units, households by size and households by count of persons for both the site, the county and 5 year projections are listed on pages 18 & 23. What are the primary family types for this location? How does this site compare to the rest of the county? What changes are anticipated over the next 5 years? What are the implications for missional ministry?
- 6. The ethnic summary on pages 24, 25 & 26 provide an overview of the foreign-born population, language diversity and ancestry. From this information which groups of people are most significant at this site and in comparison to the country? How does this impact the development of new ministries and new congregations at this site?

In recent years, both George Barna and George Gallup have completed national polls that indicate that approximately 30% to 32% of the US population consider themselves "evangelical." However, their polling process interviews about 1000 statistically sampled people from which they derive this number. Simmons Market Research Bureau, the oldest and most authoritative source for market research in the US today, has conducted a similar poll. However, their methodology interviews approximately 27,000 people across the US. Their survey found that 31.9% of the US population consider themselves to be evangelical. The large sampling size enables IICM to cross reference their findings across the 60 MOSAIC lifestyle segments in the US. From this IICM has created an "Evangelscape dataset" that establishes the extent to which each of the 60 MOSAIC lifestyle segments are unreached in your site location.

This section of your MissionSite report provides one view of the number of households in your area that have not been reached with the gospel. To obtain a full Evangelscape report of your area you will want to purchase a TRIBALDEX report at MissionalContext.org. To create a neighborhood level map of the unreached status of your missional site area you will want to subscribe to the IICM mapping site at MissionalCulturescape.org.

Evergreen



The table below lists 18 lifestyle segments in three social groups, A-Affluent Suburbia, B-Upscale America, and C-Small Town Success. For the 0-7 mile ring, the number of households is listed together with the percent of the band in that segment. The number of unreached households is also listed together with the percent of the total unreached that are in the segment.

2010 MOSAIC HHIds	0-7 HH & Percent		Unreached HH & Percent	
Total	405	100%	276	100%
AFFLUENT SUBURBIA	43	10.62%	33	11.96%
America's Wealthiest	2	0.49%	2	0.72%
Dream Weavers	6	1.48%	4	1.45%
White Collar Suburbia	18	4.44%	15	5.43%
Upscale Suburbia	0	0%	0	0%
Enterprising Couples	0	0%	0	0%
Small Town Success	17	4.2%	12	4.35%
New Suburbia Fam.	0	0%	0	0%
UPSCALE AMERICA	281	69.38%	190	68.84%
Status Conscious Consumers	34	8.4%	24	8.7%
Affluent Urban Professionals	0	0%	0	0%
Urban Commuter Fam.	246	60.74%	165	59.78%
Solid Suburban Mix	0	0%	0	0%
2nd Generation Success	1	0.25%	1	0.36%
Successful Urban Sprawl	0	0%	0	0%
SM TWN SUCCESS	0	0%	0	0%
2nd City Homebodies	0	0%	0	0%
Prime Middle America	0	0%	0	0%
Urban Optimists	0	0%	0	0%
Family Convenience	0	0%	0	0%
Mid-Market Enterprise	0	0%	0	0%

The table below lists 15 lifestyle segments in three social groups, D-Blue Collar Backbone, E-American Diversity, and F-Metro Fringe. For the 0-7 mile ring, the number of households is listed together with the percent of the band in that segment. The number of unreached households is also listed together with the percent of the total unreached that are in the segment.

2010 MOSAIC HHIds	0-7 HH & Percent		Unreached HH & Percent	
Total	405	100%	276	100%
BLUE COLLAR BACKBONE	0	0%	0	0%
Nuevo Hispanic Fam.	0	0%	0	0%
Working Rural Suburbia	0	0%	0	0%
Lower Income Essentials	0	0%	0	0%
Small Town Endeavors	0	0%	0	0%
AMER. DIVERSITY	15	3.7%	11	3.99%
Ethnic Urban Mix	0	0%	0	0%
Urban Blues	0	0%	0	0%
Professional Urbanites	15	3.7%	11	3.99%
Urban Advancement	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Great Outdoors	0	0%	0	0%
Mature America	0	0%	0	0%
METRO FRINGE	0	0%	0	0%
Steadfast Conservative	0	0%	0	0%
Moderate Conventionalists	0	0%	0	0%
Southern Blues	0	0%	0	0%
Urban Grit	0	0%	0	0%
Grass-Roots Living	0	0%	0	0%

The table below lists 13 lifestyle segments in three social groups, G-Remote America, H-Aspiring Contemporaries, and I-Rural Villages & Farms. For the 0-7 mile ring, the number of households is listed together with the percent of the band in that segment. The number of unreached households is also listed together with the percent of the total unreached that are in the segment.

2010 MOSAIC HHIds	0-7 HH & Percent		Unreached HH & Percent	
Total	405	100%	276	100%
REMOTE AMERICA	16	3.95%	10	3.62%
Hardy Rural Fam.	16	3.95%	10	3.62%
Rural Southern Living	0	0%	0	0%
Coal & Crops	0	0%	0	0%
Native America	0	0%	0	0%
ASPIRING CONTEMP'S	0	0%	0	0%
Young Cosmopolitans	0	0%	0	0%
Minority Metro Communities	0	0%	0	0%
Stable Careers	0	0%	0	0%
Aspiring Hispania	0	0%	0	0%
RURAL VILLAGES & FARMS	47	11.6%	32	11.59%
Industrious Country Living	47	11.6%	32	11.59%
America's Farmland	0	0%	0	0%
Comfy Country Living	0	0%	0	0%
Small Town Connections	0	0%	0	0%
Hinterland Fam.	0	0%	0	0%

The table below lists 14 lifestyle segments in three social groups, J-Struggling Societies, K-Urban Essence, and L-Varying Lifestyles. For each the 0-7 mile ring, the number of households is listed together with the percent of the band in that segment. The number of unreached households is also listed together with the percent of the total unreached that are in the segment.

2010 MOSAIC HHIds	0-7 HH & Percent		Unreached HH & Percent	
Total	405	100%	276	100%
STRUGGLING SOCIETIES	0	0%	0	0%
Rugged Southern Style	0	0%	0	0%
Latino Nuevo	0	0%	0	0%
Struggling city Centers	0	0%	0	0%
College Town Communities	0	0%	0	0%
New Beginnings	0	0%	0	0%
URBAN ESSENCE	0	0%	0	0%
Unattached Multicultures	0	0%	0	0%
Academic Necessities	0	0%	0	0%
Af. Amer. Neighborhoods	0	0%	0	0%
Urban Diversity	0	0%	0	0%
New Generation Activists	0	0%	0	0%
Getting By	0	0%	0	0%
VARYING LIFESTYLES	0	0%	0	0%
Military Family Life	0	0%	0	0%
Major University Towns	0	0%	0	0%
Gray Perspectives	0	0%	0	0%

#### Identifying Focus Groups in this Location

Within the 0-7 mile, 7-10 mile and 10-15 mile bands of this site location, there are several types of people groups including ethnic, lifestyle, lifestage, and socio-religious people groups. A focus group is a group of people to whom you feel especially called to reach. Below are some suggestions regarding identifying focus groups in this site location.

#### Issues for Your Consideration

- 1. Review the current demographic summary on pages 14-18 for this site location. What demographic groups are prevalent in this particular site location?
- 2. Next take a look at the projected demographic summary on pages 19-23. What increase or decrease is expected for these groups in this site location?
- 3. Review the current ethnic summary on pages 24-26. What ethnic groups are prevalent in this site location?
- 4. Review the unreached households by lifestyle segment on pages 30-33. Which social groups have the largest number of unreached households? Which lifestyle groups have a significant number of unreached households?
- 5. Compile your answers to numbers 1 through 4 and begin prayerfully prioritizing the list of people groups that you could attempt to reach in this location. Which groupings would appear to culturally "fit" together well? Which groups likely need special consideration in developing a culturally appropriate new missional ministry or congregation?



#### Potential Cultural Bridges

Donald McGavran in his book, The Bridges of God, emphasized the need for people to come to faith within the context of their own culture. "People like to become Christians without crossing racial, lignuistic, or class barriers." In addition, research into evangelism suggests that most people come to faith in Christ as the result of the witness of a Christian with whom they have a close personal relationship (mostly a friend or a relative).

Missional congregations intentionally release their people to permeate the community in order to develop relational bridges across which they can present Christ. These relational bridges usually are established in some context: arts & cultural organizations, sports teams and sporting events, park & recreational facility use, community organizations, community cultural events, and community social concerns and needs.

Thus, cultural bridges are those characteristics that could be used to build relationships with people in the lifestyle group. Various activities, interests, or opinions (AIO) represented among the consumer behavior characteristics of the lifestyle groups in the comunity offer ways for believers to build relationships with people in those lifestyle groups and through that relationship impact them for Christ.

[i] McGavran, Donald A. The Bridges of God. Revised edition. (New York: Friendship Press, 1981).

Silverthorne



#### Potential Cultural Bridges

The tables below list 20 consumer behavior variables related to computer and internet use at this mission site. For each of the three bands - 0-7 miles, 7-10 miles, 10-15 miles - the percent of the households in the missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org

BRIDGES	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
PC-HH Own	81%	86%	91%
Use Comp. for Internet/E-mail	69%	75%	81%
Internet Use: E-Mail	59%	65%	69%
Use Comp. for Word	49%	57%	62%
Processing			
Use Comp. for Comp. Games	45%	49%	50%
Use Comp. for Shopping	42%	48%	56%
Use Comp. for Digital Camera	41%	45%	50%
Photo Editing			
Use Comp. for Banking	38%	45%	53%
Use Comp. for Education	36%	41%	47%
Internet Use: News/ Weather	33%	37%	40%

**Snowmass Village** 

BRIDGES	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
HH Owns DVD Player	32%	37%	41%
Internet Use: Banking	30%	34%	40%
Use Comp. for News/Info./Data	28%	34%	39%
Service			
PC-Network-HH Has One	26%	31%	35%
Use Comp. for Personal Financial	19%	23%	27%
Mngmnt			
Use Comp. for Accounting	19%	23%	25%
Internet Use: Shopping: Gathered	17%	20%	21%
Info. for Shopping			
Use Comp. for Filing/DB Mngmnt	15%	18%	19%
Internet Use: Shopping: Made A	15%	17%	18%
Purchase			
Internet Use: Research/ Education	14%	16%	17%

The tables below lists 20 consumer behavior variables related to top leisure activities, auto maintenance, prescription drug use, and diet control at this mission site. For each of the three bands - 0-7 miles, 7-10 miles, 10-15 miles - the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

Greenwood Village

BRIDGES	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Listening To Music	69%	71%	72%
Dining Out (Not Fast	65%	68%	69%
Food)			
Reading Books	57%	61%	61%
Go To A Beach/Lake	44%	48%	48%
Card Games	44%	43%	47%
Gardening	42%	41%	38%
Cooking for Fun	39%	40%	39%
Board Games	34%	36%	38%
Visit Museum	25%	28%	28%
Photography	24%	25%	25%

BRIDGES	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Any Ailment	68%	68%	64%
Gen./Fam. Practitioner	43%	42%	41%
Dentist	36%	38%	35%
Eye Dr.	23%	23%	21%
None Of These	21%	22%	24%
Backache	21%	20%	18%
High Cholesterol	19%	19%	17%
Hypertension/High Blood	18%	17%	14%
Pressure			
Any Arthritis	16%	13%	11%
Acid Reflux Disease (GERD)	13%	13%	12%

The tables below lists 20 consumer behavior variables related to attending performances, movies and sporting events at this mission site. For each of the three bands - 0-7 miles, 7-10 miles, 10-15 miles - the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

BRIDGES	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Concert	32.68%	36.58%	36.56%
Live Theater	27.54%	31.19%	28.73%
Live Theater Most Often	23.86%	26.68%	23.8%
Rock/Pop Concerts Most	18.26%	21.24%	20.77%
Often			
Dance Performance	10.02%	10.1%	10.33%
Comedy Club	9.41%	9.04%	11.67%
Movies: Comedy	40.49%	42.49%	45.66%
Movies: Action/Adventure	39.82%	42.84%	45.86%
Movies: Romantic Comedy	22.86%	25.66%	25.76%

BRIDGES	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Movies: Drama	21.5%	25.14%	25.72%
Movies: Fam.	18.66%	19.78%	24.04%
Movies: Mystery	17.27%	18.12%	18.14%
MLB Baseball Reg.	9.92%	12.06%	12.82%
Season			
NFL Football Reg. Season	8.74%	10.02%	11.47%
College Football Reg.	7.59%	8.69%	10.95%
Season			
College Basketball Reg.	4.64%	5.58%	7.37%
Season			
NBA Basketball Reg.	4.08%	5.71%	7.15%
Season			
NHL Hockey Reg. Season	3.98%	4.86%	5.55%

The tables below lists 28 consumer behavior variables related to fitness participation at this mission site. For each of the three bands - 0-7 miles, 7-10 miles, 10-15 miles - the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org

BRIDGES	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Walking for Exercise	46.58%	47.93%	49.22%
Swimming	38.6%	40.48%	43.27%
Bowling	23.29%	23.07%	26.73%
Weight Training	19.53%	24.18%	25.35%
Golf	19.34%	20.51%	22.5%
Billiards/Pool	19.02%	19.11%	21.41%
Camping Trips	18.03%	16.32%	16.46%
Jogging/Running	17.73%	19.87%	21.96%
Using Cardio Machine	17.68%	19.93%	22.83%
Freshwater Fishing	17.26%	14.42%	14.42%
Mountain/Road Biking	16.02%	15.87%	17.73%
Basketball	14.71%	14.85%	16.9%
Stationary Cycling	14.68%	15.13%	15.61%
Backpacking/Hiking	12.78%	13.87%	13.56%

BRIDGES	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Power Boating	12.12%	11.03%	10.78%
Aerobics	11.85%	13.24%	12.94%
Target Shooting	10.54%	8.53%	8.53%
Baseball	10.26%	9.85%	10.87%
Hunting	10.07%	7.41%	6.8%
Canoeing/Kayaking	9.68%	8.97%	7.68%
Football	8.56%	8.26%	9.22%
Softball	8.51%	7.19%	7.25%
Volleyball	8.5%	7.72%	8.25%
Yoga	8.22%	9.53%	9.36%
Tennis	8.15%	9.97%	11.21%
Saltwater Fishing	8.06%	7.21%	7.67%
Soccer	7.42%	8.04%	9.08%
Motorcycling	6.66%	5.9%	6.42%

The tables below lists 20 consumer behavior variables related to fitness participation at this mission site. For each of the three bands - 0-7 miles, 7-10 miles, 10-15 miles - the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. However, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org

BRIDGES	0-7	7-10	10-15	
	MILES	MILES	MILES	
Ice Skating	6.4%	6.78%	7.04%	
Horseback Riding	6.28%	5.32%	5.22%	
Downhill & X-Country	6.18%	7.51%	8.39%	
Skiing				
Water Skiing	6.05%	5.17%	6.26%	
Snorkeling	5.98%	6.03%	6.34%	
Jet Skiing	5.77%	5.89%	6.9%	
Roller Skating	5.72%	5.47%	6.23%	
Archery	5.39%	4.12%	3.71%	
Fly Fishing	5.07%	4.78%	4.38%	
Snowmobiling	4.96%	3.9%	3.44%	

BRIDGES	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Snowboarding	4.84%	4.46%	4.3%
Rock Climbing	4.58%	3.99%	4.36%
Racquetball	4.36%	4.41%	4.3%
Sailing	4.09%	4.4%	4.33%
Auto Racing	4.02%	3.34%	3.62%
Hockey	3.97%	3.58%	3.41%
Skateboarding	3.81%	3.39%	3.11%
Martial Arts	3.74%	3.58%	4.6%
Rowing	3.56%	3.05%	3.5%
Surfing & Windsurfing	3.52%	3.27%	3.14%

### Potential Cultural Barriers -- Introduction (part 1)

Most community barriers are related to some aspect of culture that stand in the way of some segments of society having the understanding or acceptance necessary to come to faith in Jesus Christ. These barriers inhibit the flow of the gospel within a people grouping. Other types of barriers include biblical barriers and ecclesial barriers.

#### **BIBLICAL BARRIERS**

The term Biblical barriers, is used to describe aspects of biblical truth that are offensive to the community. Biblical barriers can be divided into two types: 1) barriers based on clear, biblical teaching; 2) barriers based on the cultural application of biblical principles. Barriers caused by clear, biblical teaching cannot be eliminated without compromising the truth of scripture. Application barriers should be re-evaluated in light of the culture of the people group to verify that the application is transcultural instead of culture-specific.

#### **ECCLESIAL BARRIERS**

Ecclesial barriers are barriers that arise from the perceptions that people outside the church have of the church, its people, its programming, and it' leadership. In a classic study of the attitudes of the unchurched, people were asked: "What keeps people like you from considering the Christian faith?" Approximately 75 percent of unchurched people answered the question by refering t oan ecclesial barrier. "They resist becoming Christians because they 'don't want to become like church people'"[i]

Gvpsum

[i] Hunter, George G., Jr. Church for the Unchurched. (Smyma: Abingdon Press, 1996), 59.

**Woodland Park** 

opyright 2014, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry



### Potential Cultural Barriers -- Introduction (part 2)

#### **CULTURAL BARRIERS OF UNDERSTANDING**

Cultural barriers may impede either understanding or acceptance. Cultural barriers of understanding occur because of problems with the communication of the message. Often people do not understand the gospel when it is presented to them.

#### **CULTURAL BARRIERS OF ACCEPTANCE**

#### Christian Subculture

Cultural barriers of acceptance occur because of a variety of problems, including those related to the Christian sub-culture as well as the culture of the hearer. Sometimes unchurched people have understood that they must adopt the evangelical Christian subculture in order to come to faith -- and become like "church people" -- including dressing like them, participating in all of the church functions and activities, passively following church leadership, not questioning aspects of Christian faith and beliefs, and ignoring the poor and minorities.

#### **Unreached People Group**

Sometimes cultural aspects from within the unchurched people group -- currently held values, attitudes & behaviors are seen as antithetical to Christianity, which inhibits them from coming to faith. Some aspects of culture may be redeemed for God's glory and used to please him. Other cultural aspects are so perverted by sin that they cannot be used without syncretizing. In order to identify cultural barriers of acceptance, missional congregations develop relationships within the cultural group and seek to understand who they are and what an indigenous church would look like among them in order to eliminate unnecessary barriers that have been identified that the missionary should consider in reaching out to the lifestyle segments in this site location.

Copyright 2014, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry Intain View



## **Potential Cultural Barriers:**

The tables below list 24 personal attitude variables related to cultural barriers at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-7 miles, 7-10 miles, 10-15 miles -- the percent of the household in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

**Del Norte** 

BARRIERS	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Important Continue Learning New Things	48%	47%	49%
Prefer To Have Few Possessions As Possible	45%	49%	42%
Find It Difficult To Say No To My Kids	38%	39%	41%
Woman's Place Is In The Home	33%	32%	34%
Speak My Mind Even If It Upsets People	33%	31%	32%
If Won Lottery Would Never Work Again	32%	35%	35%
Friends More Important Than My Fam.	30%	31%	28%
Like Control Over People And Resources	30%	28%	29%
Don't Judge People/Way They Live Life	28%	26%	27%
Money Is Best Measure Of Success	28%	26%	24%
Like To Do Unconventional Things	27%	25%	25%
Marijuana Should Be Legalized	21%	22%	23%

BARRIERS	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Like to Stand Out In A Crowd	20%	20%	19%
Like To Pursue	19%	20%	18%
Challenge/Novelty/Change			
Too Much Sponsorship In	18%	18%	19%
Arts/Sports			
Rarely Sit Down to a Meal	17%	16%	16%
Together At Home			
I Am A Workaholic	15%	15%	17%
Happy With My Standard Of	15%	14%	14%
Living			
Only Work Current Job for The	13%	12%	13%
Money			
We Should Strive for Equality for	12%	11%	13%
All			
On Whole People Get What	10%	10%	9%
They Deserve			•••
Indulge My Kids With The Little	8%	7%	8%
Extras			
Little I Can Do To Change My	6%	6%	6%
Life	•••		10/
Willing To Give Up Time With	6%	5%	4%
Fam. To Advance			

#### **Potential Cultural Themes**

Typically many Christians explain the gospel the way it was presented to them. However, today many nonbelievers in North America do not have a Christian background nor do they have any interest in the Christian Church or Christianity. Because of this the gospel messages that they have "heard" (with their ears or eyes on TV) has not registered in their mind, heart or soul -- truly they have not actually "heard" (in the Biblical sense of the word) the gospel yet. They need to hear the gospel in a way that grips them where they live.

Cultural themes that arise from their attitudes, beliefs, and life stories provide a significant way for missionaries to share the gospel in their own terms. Just as missionaries in Papua New Guinea shared the story of the legendary "peace child" that a jungle tribe anticipated from their folklore, so too missionaries to this site location need to take "themes" out of the culture to use in sharing the gospel in a fresh and culturally appropriate way. This section provides many significant thems that may be considered as a basis for developing a contextual gospel presentation to people in this site location.

Welbv



Williamsburg

Cottonwood

## **Potential Cultural Themes:**

The tables below list 24 personal attitude variables related to cultural themes at this mission site. For each of the three bands-- 0-7 miles, 7-10 miles, 10-15 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

THEMES         0-7         7-10         10-15           Important To Respect Customs         67%         72%         76%           And Beliefs         70u Should Seize Opportunities         56%         56%         57%           In Life         Prefer To Have Few         45%         49%         42%           Possessions As Possible         Like To Understand About         37%         36%         38%           Like To Understand About Pollution Caused         34%         33%         31%           My Peers         34%         33%         31%           My Peers         Prefer Work Part Of Team Than Alone         29%         32%           Important To Juggle Various         28%         26%         25%           Tasks         Have Keen Sense Of Adventure         27%         26%         27%           People Have To Take Me As         26%         27%         29%           They Find Me         26%         24%         24%           Like To Just Enjoy Life         25%         25%         24%           Worried About Pollution Caused         20%         23%         26%				
Important To Respect Customs 67% 72% 76% And Beliefs You Should Seize Opportunities 56% 56% 57% In Life Prefer To Have Few 45% 49% 42% Possessions As Possible Like To Understand About 37% 36% 38% Nature Important Feel Respected By 34% 33% 31% My Peers Prefer Work Part Of Team Than 31% 29% 32% Alone Important To Juggle Various 28% 26% 25% Tasks Have Keen Sense Of Adventure 27% 26% 27% People Have To Take Me As 26% 27% 29% They Find Me Good At Fixing Things 26% 24% 24% Like To Just Enjoy Life 25% 25% 24% Worried About Pollution Caused 20% 23% 26%	THEMES	•	_	
And Beliefs You Should Seize Opportunities 56% 56% 57% In Life Prefer To Have Few 45% 49% 42% Possessions As Possible Like To Understand About 37% 36% 38% Nature Important Feel Respected By 34% 33% 31% My Peers Prefer Work Part Of Team Than 31% 29% 32% Alone Important To Juggle Various 28% 26% 25% Tasks Have Keen Sense Of Adventure 27% 26% 27% People Have To Take Me As 26% 27% 29% They Find Me Good At Fixing Things 26% 24% 24% Like To Just Enjoy Life 25% 25% 24% Worried About Pollution Caused 20% 23% 26%		MILES	MILES	MILES
In Life Prefer To Have Few 45% 49% 42% Possessions As Possible Like To Understand About 37% 36% 38% Nature Important Feel Respected By 34% 33% 31% My Peers Prefer Work Part Of Team Than 31% 29% 32% Alone Important To Juggle Various 28% 26% 25% Tasks Have Keen Sense Of Adventure 27% 26% 27% People Have To Take Me As 26% 27% 29% They Find Me Good At Fixing Things 26% 24% 24% Like To Just Enjoy Life 25% 25% 24% Worried About Pollution Caused 20% 23% 26%	•	67%	72%	76%
Possessions As Possible Like To Understand About 37% 36% 38% Nature Important Feel Respected By 34% 33% 31% My Peers Prefer Work Part Of Team Than 31% 29% 32% Alone Important To Juggle Various 28% 26% 25% Tasks Have Keen Sense Of Adventure 27% 26% 27% People Have To Take Me As 26% 27% 29% They Find Me Good At Fixing Things 26% 24% 24% Like To Just Enjoy Life 25% 25% 24% Worried About Pollution Caused 20% 23% 26%	• •	56%	56%	57%
Nature Important Feel Respected By 34% 33% 31% My Peers Prefer Work Part Of Team Than 31% 29% 32% Alone Important To Juggle Various 28% 26% 25% Tasks Have Keen Sense Of Adventure 27% 26% 27% People Have To Take Me As 26% 27% 29% They Find Me Good At Fixing Things 26% 24% 24% Like To Just Enjoy Life 25% 25% 24% Worried About Pollution Caused 20% 23% 26%		45%	49%	42%
My Peers Prefer Work Part Of Team Than 31% 29% 32% Alone Important To Juggle Various 28% 26% 25% Tasks Have Keen Sense Of Adventure 27% 26% 27% People Have To Take Me As 26% 27% 29% They Find Me Good At Fixing Things 26% 24% 24% Like To Just Enjoy Life 25% 25% 24% Worried About Pollution Caused 20% 23% 26%		37%	36%	38%
Alone Important To Juggle Various 28% 26% 25% Tasks Have Keen Sense Of Adventure 27% 26% 27% People Have To Take Me As 26% 27% 29% They Find Me Good At Fixing Things 26% 24% 24% Like To Just Enjoy Life 25% 25% 24% Worried About Pollution Caused 20% 23% 26%		34%	33%	31%
Tasks Have Keen Sense Of Adventure 27% 26% 27% People Have To Take Me As 26% 27% 29% They Find Me Good At Fixing Things 26% 24% 24% Like To Just Enjoy Life 25% 25% 24% Worried About Pollution Caused 20% 23% 26%		31%	29%	32%
People Have To Take Me As 26% 27% 29% They Find Me Good At Fixing Things 26% 24% 24% Like To Just Enjoy Life 25% 25% 24% Worried About Pollution Caused 20% 23% 26%		28%	26%	25%
They Find Me Good At Fixing Things 26% 24% 24% Like To Just Enjoy Life 25% 25% 24% Worried About Pollution Caused 20% 23% 26%	Have Keen Sense Of Adventure	27%	26%	27%
Like To Just Enjoy Life 25% 25% 24% Worried About Pollution Caused 20% 23% 26%	•	26%	27%	29%
Worried About Pollution Caused 20% 23% 26%	Good At Fixing Things	26%	24%	24%
	Like To Just Enjoy Life	25%	25%	24%
		20%	23%	26%

THEMES	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Consider Myself Interested In The Arts	16%	15%	17%
Is An Important Part Of Who I Am	16%	14%	13%
Real Men Don't Cry	15%	15%	16%
Looking for New Ideas To Improve Home	14%	14%	15%
Try Not To Worry About The Future	14%	14%	15%
Enjoy Spending Time With My Fam.	11%	10%	11%
Provide My Kids With The Little Extras	8%	6%	7%
Feel Very Alone In The World	5%	4%	4%
Like Spending Most Time With Fam.	4%	4%	5%
Decor Particular Interest To Me	4%	3%	4%
Children Should Be Allowed To Express Themselves	4%	4%	4%
Would Like To Set Up Own Business	4%	4%	3%

### **Potential Shared Places**

While missional strategy is important, unless someone begins a missional relationship with a non-believer and sharges the gospel with them the missional strategy is ineffective. A key component of missions is "hanging out" with lost people in order to build relationships and to allow the Holy Spirit to use us in moving them toward the claims of the gospel. This section provides some ideas of where to hang out -- where to meet people in the lifestyle segment you are attempting to reach.



## **Potential Shared Places:**

The tables below list 24 shared variables related to shared places at this mission site. For each of the three bands - 0-7 miles, 7-10 miles, 10-15 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that frequent the place is given. However, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

PLACE	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Fam. Restaurants/Steak	85.72%	84.87%	87.59%
Houses-Visit Any			
Fast Food/Drive-In	84.12%	82.92%	85.54%
Restaurant-Visit Any			
McDonald's	55.15%	54.6%	57.94%
Burger King	35.89%	34.8%	34.02%
Wendy's	31.7%	31.76%	33.82%
Subway	30.63%	29.88%	33.4%
Applebee's	30.26%	30.04%	33.3%
Taco Bell	28.22%	27.24%	31.09%
Kentucky Fried Chicken (KFC)	24.12%	23.82%	24.89%
Olive Garden	22.23%	22.55%	25.47%
Arby's	21.79%	19.28%	24.06%
Outback Steakhouse	18.86%	19.4%	20.35%

PLACE	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Pizza Hut	18.15%	17.78%	19.77%
Red Lobster	16.54%	15.47%	15.85%
Dairy Queen	16.42%	15.07%	14.93%
Cracker Barrel	15.63%	13.21%	16.31%
Dunkin' Donuts	14.68%	14.72%	12.38%
Starbucks	14.51%	18.5%	21.7%
TGI Friday's	14.4%	14.96%	16.56%
Chili's Grill and Bar	14.3%	16.22%	21.31%
IHOP (International House Of	12.71%	13.8%	15.38%
Pancakes)			
Panera Bread	12.7%	14.16%	14.52%
Quiznos Sub	12.59%	14.42%	15.37%
Denny's	12.29%	12.52%	12.45%

### Potential Shared Projects

Shared projects are those social or community projects in which people at this site location are involved that Christians could join in with them as a means of developing relationships while "serving with them." So often Christians either expect nonbelievers to come to them ("come to our church service or event") or the church creates a social type activity (church softball league, etc) in the community to invite nonbelievers to attend or join. These type of church-sponsored events and activities are often intimidating to a nonbeliever.

Shared projects are community activities that already exist in the missional site location in which nonbelievers are already participating. When missional believers, who live in the community, join community organizations and participate in community events, they have opportunities to be salt and light in their neighborhoods.

This section of the MissionSite report provides a list of common community activities together with the percentage of the site location that we would expect to be already participating. Find these community organizations, activities or events and join in with others in your community! What a way to build evangelistic relationships!

yright 2014, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry



## **Potential Shared Projects**

The tables below list 12 attitude variables related to shared projects at this mission site. For each of the three bands-- 0-7 miles, 7-10 miles, 10-15 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. However, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

PROJECTS	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Voted in fed/state/local election	55.19%	55.64%	54.14%
Recycled products	43.65%	47.25%	47.21%
Worked as volunteer (non political)	22.77%	24.03%	23.73%
Engaged in fund raising	13.03%	13.68%	13.62%
Religious club member	8.25%	8.19%	8.4%
Wrote to elected offcl about publ bus	7.85%	7.94%	8.27%

0-7	7-10	10-15
MILES	MILES	MILES
6.82%	6.43%	5.55%
6.75%	6.73%	6.79%
6.43%	6.17%	5.94%
6.19%	5.27%	4.44%
6.13%	6.96%	6.72%
5.73%	6.19%	6.65%
	MILES 6.82% 6.75% 6.43% 6.19% 6.13%	MILES       MILES         6.82%       6.43%         6.75%       6.73%         6.43%       6.17%         6.19%       5.27%         6.13%       6.96%

#### **Communication Media Content**

The media-saturated 21st century poses both unique challenges and unique opportunities for missional leaders today. A major challenge you will face in this missional site location is cutting through the many layers of media that bombard your intended audience. Through the din of competing voices, the message of the gospel needs to become a clarion call.

Understanding the type of media content people in your site location use may help you to begin planning a comprehensive media strategy. Combining an understanding of this media content with the cultural bridges, barriers, and themes mentioned on pages 36-45 will enable you to begin shaping targeted messages to your intended audience.

Mount Crested Butte Lafavette



## Potential Print Media Content:

The table below list 18 variables related to book and magazine usage at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-7 miles, 7-10 miles, 10-15 miles -- the percent of the household in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. However, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org

Security-Widefield

Westcreek

BOOKS	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Novel	21.13%	23.76%	24.25%
Children's Books	15.41%	16.72%	17.35%
Mystery	14.21%	15.76%	15.87%
Cookbooks	13.15%	14.24%	12.59%
Personal/Business	8.98%	9.65%	10.46%
Self-help			
Biography	8.95%	9.71%	9.33%
Religious (not Bibles)	8.58%	8.64%	9.6%
History	8.08%	9.64%	10.33%
Romance	7.64%	7.88%	8.34%

MAGAZINES	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Newspaper Distributed	73.67%	74.52%	73.95%
Gen. Editorial	47.67%	48.7%	48.68%
Womens	41.01%	42.57%	45.43%
Service	39.39%	40.51%	42.15%
Business/Finance	22.86%	25.89%	26.25%
Mens	17.5%	18.17%	19.95%
Sports	16.58%	17.7%	19.22%
Mature Market	15.47%	14.6%	11.83%
Health	13.51%	13.32%	13.53%

### Potential Print & Audio Media Content:

The tables below list 31 variables related to newspaper & radio usage at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-7 miles, 7-10 miles, 10-15 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. However, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behaviors and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

Security-Widefield

NEWSPAPERS	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Gen. News	61.3%	61.47%	60.93%
Business/Finance	36.49%	39.55%	39.26%
Editorial Page	34.25%	34.34%	32.42%
Sport	33.62%	35.21%	36.25%
Classified	31.18%	28.77%	29.11%
Comics	29.41%	28.97%	27.46%
Food/Cooking	29.25%	29.38%	28.11%
Movie Listings & Reviews	28.87%	30%	30.39%
TV/Radio Listings	25.29%	24.58%	23.98%
Home/Gardening	24.88%	26.42%	26.11%
Travel	23.73%	26.64%	26.83%
Science/Technology	22.47%	23.62%	22.6%
Fashion	15.38%	16.3%	16.58%

RADIO	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Adult Contemporary	20.57%	22.52%	23.63%
Country	19.38%	16.96%	19.79%
News/Talk	18.94%	19.92%	19.8%
CHR Contemp Hit Radio	16.08%	17.22%	18.63%
Rock	14.58%	15.13%	14.3%
Alternative	14.57%	16.06%	15.95%
Oldies	14.14%	14.43%	12.74%
Classic Rock	12.98%	13.08%	13.76%
Variety	9.3%	9.24%	8.16%
All News	8.17%	10.76%	9.04%
Soft Contemporary	7.87%	8.83%	10.34%
Religious	7.53%	7.08%	7.32%
Urban Contemporary	7.32%	7.57%	7.92%
Sports	5.99%	6.84%	7.64%
All Talk	5.87%	7.46%	6.88%
Classical	5.51%	6.19%	5.53%
Jazz	5.15%	5.69%	5.88%
Classic Hits	4.29%	4.87%	5.56%

## Potential Multimedia Content:

The tables below list 24 variables related to television content and delivery at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-7 miles, 7-10 miles, 10-15 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org

Centennial

Woodland Park

MULTIMEDIA: TV	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Fox News Channel	67.47%	69.9%	72.41%
Satellite Dish	59.86%	60.93%	64.09%
Soapnet	52.68%	53.12%	55.43%
Other Video-On-Demand	43.33%	41.2%	43.02%
Adult Pay Per View TV	41.32%	39.95%	36.09%
Comedy Central	40.62%	45.43%	45.78%
Sci-Fi Channel	38.3%	39.63%	41.16%
MSNBC	34.36%	36.49%	37.96%
Subscribe Digital Cable	31.81%	31.69%	31.31%
TV Info From Sunday TV	31.16%	31.9%	33.81%
Magazine			
Adult Swim	30.1%	29.32%	32.82%
ESPN Classic	29.67%	34.88%	34.84%

MULTIMEDIA: TV	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
ABC Fam.	29.57%	33.52%	34.86%
Nickelodeon	29%	29.3%	31.78%
BET (Black Entertainment	28.95%	28.87%	28.03%
TV)			
ESPN2	28.53%	30.47%	30.06%
Hallmark Channel	28.39%	32.05%	34.05%
TCM (Turner Classic	27.76%	28.48%	29.72%
Movies)			
Video-On-Demand Movies	27.66%	32.75%	35.48%
USA Network	27.61%	27.7%	29.12%
The Golf Channel	27.37%	29.77%	32.01%
TV Info From Newspapers	27.28%	27.08%	29.38%
Nick At Nite	27.21%	27.94%	30.17%
TV Info From Monthly Cable	24.78%	25.29%	27.63%
Guide			

### Communication Media Usage

It is not enough to understand the type of media that your intended audience uses. It may also be helpful to understand the extent to which people in your site location use various media types and when they use the media. Media usage is generally measured by frequency or extent. Time usage is measured in blocks of day, night and weekend usage.

Taken together, media content, media usage, and time usage may be combined with demographics, lifestyles, and consumer behavior to paint a picture of who does what and when at the site location.

Each of these components may help you to begin planning a comprehensive media strategy. Combining media with the cultural bridges, barriers, and themes mentioned on pages 36-45 will enable you to deliver targeted messages to your intended audience at times when they are apt to listen.

**Berthoud** 

Williamsburg

Oak Creek

©Copyright 2014, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry



## Potential Print Media Usage:

The tables below list 23 variables related to print media usage at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-7 miles, 7-10 miles, 10-15 miles -- the percent of the households that participate in the activity or an index value is given. For more detailed information you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

MEDIUM	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Book Readers			
Heavy Users (7+)	24.35%	27.29%	27.28%
Medium Users (4-6)	12.58%	13.38%	14.52%
Light Users (1-3)	21.19%	21.51%	21.78%
Quintiles (20%)			
Newspaper I (Heavy)	1.26%	1.14%	1.48%
Newspaper II	0.95%	0.98%	0.97%
Newspaper III	2.39%	2.3%	2.89%
Newspaper IV	0.28%	0.27%	0.69%
Newspaper V (Light)	1.12%	1.38%	1.19%

MEDIUM	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Quintiles (20%)			
Magazines I (Heavy)	16.61%	16.97%	21.36%
Magazines II	7.64%	7.1%	9.11%
Magazines III	9.07%	8.64%	10.23%
Magazines IV	8.31%	9.09%	12.27%
Magazines V (Light)	0.71%	0.79%	0.49%
Outdoor I (Heavy)	6.37%	7.02%	6.56%
Outdoor II	2.01%	2.18%	2.24%
Outdoor III	2.57%	2.66%	3.17%
Outdoor IV	15.78%	14.13%	13.65%
Outdoor V (Light)	25.16%	24.11%	22.45%
Yellow Pages I	13.42%	12.31%	11.49%
(Heavy)			
Yellow Pages II	5.31%	5.38%	5.27%
Yellow Pages III	4.65%	4.59%	3.98%
Yellow Pages IV	18.37%	16.26%	16.96%
Yellow Pages V	2.35%	2.17%	2.17%
(Light)			

## Potential Multimedia Usage

The tables below list 23 variables related to multimedia usage at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-7 miles, 7-10 miles, 10-15 miles -- the percent of the households that participate in the activity or an index value is given. For more detailed information you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

**Nederland** 

MEDIUM	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Radio Drive Time Quntiles			
(fifths / 20%)			
Drive Time I & II (Heavy)	2.46%	2.41%	2.61%
Drive Time III (Medium)	0.15%	0.16%	0.31%
Radio IV & V (Light)	1.81%	1.55%	1.79%
Radio Media Quntiles (fifths /			
20%)			
Radio I & II (Heavy)	7.2%	8.07%	11.4%
Radio III (Medium)	4.8%	4.47%	5.56%
Radio IV & V (Light)	3.12%	3.01%	3.04%
Cable TV Quntiles (fifths /			
20%)			
Cable I & II (Heavy)	15.97%	17.92%	19.5%
Cable III (Medium)	3.14%	3.7%	4.46%
Cable IV & V (Light)	31.59%	29.99%	31.79%

MEDIUM	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
TV Prime Time Quntiles (fifths / 20%)			
Prime Time I & II (Heavy)	3.01%	3.03%	2.92%
Prime Time III (Medium)	2.08%	1.94%	2.25%
Prime Time IV & V (Light)	6.38%	6.88%	10.62%
TV Early/Late Fringe Quntiles			
(fifths / 20%)			
Fringe I & II (Heavy)	42.03%	39.89%	38.99%
Fringe III (Medium)	51.5%	45.8%	45.26%
Fringe IV (Light)	53.96%	51.69%	50.55%
TV All Day Quntiles (fifths /			
20%)			
All Day I & II (Heavy)	11.13%	10.46%	11.92%
All Day III (Medium)	23.09%	21.83%	22.02%
All Day IV (Light)	12.09%	11.96%	12.27%

## Potential Audio & Prime Time TV Media Usage:

The tables below list 23 variables related to audio & prime time TV media usage at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-7 miles, 7-10 miles, 10-15 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

USAGE	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Day-time Radio			
Listeners			
Dayparts [summary]	14.45%	13.53%	12.97%
6:00am - 10:00am	19.79%	23.71%	23.74%
10:00am - 3:00pm	7.56%	7.8%	7.3%
3:00pm - 7:00pm	13.4%	12.34%	12.94%
7:00pm - Midnight	19.03%	18.58%	16.68%
Midnight - 6:00am	6.66%	6.63%	5.63%
Weekend Radio			
Listeners			
Dayparts [summary]	15.74%	14.52%	15.29%
6:00am - 10:00am	6.23%	6.28%	5.26%
10:00am-3:00pm	7.26%	8.38%	9.22%
3:00pm - 7:00pm	7.4%	7.47%	7.68%
7:00pm - Midnight	9.16%	10.04%	10.19%
Midnight - 6:00am	12.54%	13.14%	11.96%

USAGE	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Prime Time TV Viewers			
8:00-11:00pm	10.6%	11.62%	13.45%
Saturday:	8.25%	8.25%	8.02%
8:00-11:00pm			
Sunday: 7:00-11:00pm	10.5%	10.13%	12.55%
9:00am-1:00pm	27.21%	27.94%	30.17%
9:00am-4:00pm	31.17%	31.69%	33.69%
4:00pm-7:00pm	31.99%	35.17%	35.57%
11:00pm-1:00am	41.16%	43.62%	45.65%
AVG Prime time	3.25%	3.23%	3.69%
Mon-Sun			

# Potential Weekday & Weekend TV Media Usage:

The table below lists 28 variables related to weekday & weekend media usage at this mission site. For each of the three bands -- 0-7 miles, 7-10 miles, 10-15 miles -- the percent of the households in the entire missional site location that participate in the activity is given. For more detailed information, you can order a MISSIONDEX report that provides 497 consumer behavior and attitudinal variables, each broken down by the participation percent within each lifestyle segment and social group within your missional site location at MissionalContext.org.

TV VIEWERS	0-7	7-10	10-15
IV VIEWERS	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Weekday			
6-7am	19.17%	20.87%	20.6%
7-9am	28.53%	30.47%	30.06%
9am-12noon	23.33%	24.01%	25.67%
12noon-4pm	7.84%	7.68%	8.01%
4-6pm	54.28%	60.49%	63.64%
6-7pm	20.04%	20.46%	21.27%
7-7:30pm	2.09%	2.04%	1.87%
7:30-8pm	10.46%	10.55%	11.45%
8-11pm	10.6%	11.62%	13.45%
11pm-12am	34.36%	36.49%	37.96%
11pm-1am	41.16%	43.62%	45.65%
1-6am	36.04%	38.61%	39.63%

TV VIEWERS	0-7	7-10	10-15
	MILES	MILES	MILES
Weekend			
Sat: 7-10am	20.97%	23.96%	23.99%
Sat: 10am-1pm	8.83%	9.16%	9.79%
Sat: 1-4pm	25.25%	25.46%	28.15%
Sat: 4-6pm	7.42%	7.55%	8.6%
Sat: 6-7pm	3.23%	3.06%	2.11%
Sat: 7-8pm	1.96%	2.05%	1.68%
Sat: 8-11pm	8.25%	8.25%	8.02%
Sat: 11pm-1am	5.64%	5.77%	5.37%
Sat: 1am-7pm	27.61%	27.7%	29.12%
Sun: 7-10am	2.46%	1.94%	1.91%
Sun: 10am-1pm	7.08%	6.42%	6.97%
Sun: 1-4pm	6.56%	7%	8.13%
Sun: 4-7pm	12.99%	13.31%	16.81%
Sun: 7-11pm	10.5%	10.13%	12.55%
Sun: 11pm-1am	4.39%	4.67%	6.16%
Sun: 1-7am	21.98%	22.44%	26.26%

# Using the Cultural Bridges, Barriers and Themes

The Cultural Bridges provide lists of activities and interests that are common among some groups of people in this mission site. Missional team members and church planters may use this data as the basis for creating intentional redemptive relationships. The Cultural Barriers list gives attitudes that may become a barrier to the spread of the gospel. The Cultural Themes are attitudes that may be the basis for developing a gospel presentation.

#### Issues for Your Consideration

- 1. Please read pages 61 and 62 on Biblical Missional Multiplication and Establishing Redemptive Relationships before considering the following issues.
- 2. Look through the cultural bridges listed on pages 36-40. Has anyone on the missional team been personally involved or interested in any of these bridge areas in the past? How can the team get involved in these activities with people who live and/or work in the mission site area?
- 3. Review the cultural barriers listed on page 43. Discuss how each of the attitudes reflected in this mission site may become a barrier to the spread of the gospel. Also discuss what steps the missional team may take to overcome these barriers.
- 4. Review the cultural themes listed on page 45. Choose two or three of the major themes that are prevalent at this mission site and begin developing presentations that wrap the gospel around these themes. Discuss how to "steer" the conversation to these themes and bridge into the gospel presentation.

Marble

ntextual Ministry

# Using the Shared Places, Projects, Media Content and Usage

The Shared Places provides a list of restaurants and restaurant types that are frequented by some groups of people in the mission site. If a particular restaurant chain is not in your area, look for similar types of restaurants. The Shared Projects section lists various activities in which some people in the mission site are engaged. The Media Content and Usage sections give a window into the types of media content that is preferred and the times media is commonly used

#### Issues for Your Consideration

- 1. Review the shared places listed on page 47. Discuss these types of organizations that may be found at the mission site. Discuss how the mission team may become part of these organizations in order to develop redemptive relationships.
- 2. Review the shared projects listed on page 49. Discuss ways in which people in the mission site may be involved in these activities. Has anyone on the missional team been personally involved in these activities with people who live and/or work in the mission site area.
- 3. Review the print, radio, and television media content listed on pages 51-53. What do people in this mission site read, listen to or watch? Describe the "typical" types of people in the mission site based on this information. How does this information shape the ways in which the missional team should present the gospel and the church? What mediums could be used to communicate with people at ths mission site more effectively?
- 4. Review the print, radio and television media usage on pages 55-58. Describe the frequency and times of media usage by people at this mission site. How does this inform what media the missional team should use and when the media should be employed?

Silver Plume

De Beaue

pyright 2014, intercultural institute for Contextual Ministry Mancos




# Biblical Missional Multiplication

Biblical missional multiplication builds upon disciplemaking and spiritual reproduction.

Jesus and his disciples multiplied their efforts by investing in relationships with others. The diagram to the right illustrates how social networking in the New Testament era was behind the success of this multiplication.

The MissionSite report provides insights into ways that we too can build redemptive relationships and foster missional multiplication at this site location.

Missional Multiplication Principle 2nd Timothy 2:2




# Establishing Redemptive Relationships

Missional Multiplication occurs when redemptive relationships move people through a process to bring people to Christ. This process is most successful as we relate to people based on their identity. Five types of identity enable us to connect with people and see them move through this process.

### Communication Steps

- 1. Category-to-Category: Connect through a common social identity; some activity, interest, or behavior that you share with the person.
- 2. Face-to-Face: Begin interacting with the person; building a personal rapport.
- 3. Mind-to-Mind: After building a rapport, move the conversation towards spiritual issues.
- 4. Heart-to-Heart: As the Holy Spirit leads you, wrap the gospel presentation around a theme that connects with the person.
- Spirit-to-Spirit: This is the level at which the Holy Spirit works speaks to our inner self. The previous 4 steps facilitates the Holy Spirit doing his regenerative work.



#### Your MissionSite and the Missional Suite

The site location of this MissionSite report is based upon the SITESCAPE typology developed by the staff of IICM. The SITESCAPE is an analysis of the 0-1/2 mile, 0-1 1/2 mile, 0-3 mile, 3-7 mile, 7-10 mile, and 10-15 mile bands around each of the 65,370 US census tract centroids (or geographical centers). Each census tract has been assigned a large number of variables based upon this analysis. These variables include information regarding the spirituallity of this particular location. This report relects a "Top Unreached Location" meaning that this site has a significant number of households that have not been reached by the gospel of Jesus Christ. Sitescape definitions may be found online at the MissionalCyclopedia.org site.

Alma

De Beaue

Additional help regarding this MissionSite location may be obtained at www.iicm.net. IICM provides access to a number of resources:

- Online personal missional profile at APEPT.org
- Custom reports at MissionalContext.org
- Custom mapping at MissionalCulturescape.org
- Missional information at Missional Cyclopedia.org
- Networking & Zipcode level data at MissionalCorps.org
- Information on top lifestyle groups at MissionalZipcode.org

©Copyright 2014, Intercultural Institute for Contextual Ministry ndale



## **Notes and Sources**

Strasburg

Durango

- 1. Sitescape location and Evangelscape spirituality indicators data are datasets created by the staff of IICM by cross-tabulating multiple datasets from ScanUS, Experian, Simmons Market Research Bureau and TheAmericanChurch.org. These datasets are updated annually.
- 2. Demographic data are copyrighted by ScanUS and are licensed from ScanUS.
- 3. MOSAIC segmentation data are copyrighted by Experian US and are licensed through ScanUS
- 4. The consumer behavior data from Mediamark Research & Intelligence is copyrighted by them and is licensed through ScanUS.
- 5. The consumer behavior data from Simmons Market Research Bureau is copyrighted by them and is licensed through ScanUS.
- 6. The data from the departments of the US government is public data. US government sources include: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce; Economic Research Service, Department of Agriculture; National Center for Education Statistics, Department of Education.



6 Wateroak Court North Augusta, SC 29841

An evangelical mission agency supported by individuals, foundations, churches, denominations, mission agencies & para-church ministries. For more information about the mission and vision of IICM please visit www.iicm.net.

Dinosaur

#### In Partnership with:



#### **CONTACT US:**

Jamestown

Email:	cwatke@iicm.net
Office:	803-279-5828
Web:	www.iicm.net
	www.apept.org
	www.missionalcoach.org
	www.missionalcontext.org
	www.missionalcorps.org
	www.missionalcyclopedia.org
	www.missionalzipcode.org
	www.missionalpartners.org